# OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

\* \* \* \* \*

RE: IN THE MATTER OF ADVICE LETTER NO. 1712-ELECTRIC FILED BY PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO TO REPLACE COLORADO PUC NO. 7-ELECTRIC TARIFF WITH COLORADO PUC NO. 8- ELECTRIC TARIFF	) ) ) PROCEEDING NO. 16AL-0048E ) )
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO FOR APPROVAL OF ITS SOLAR* CONNECT PROGRAM	) PROCEEDING NO. 16A-0055E
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2017-2019 RENEWABLE ENERGY COMPLIANCE PLAN	) ) PROCEEDING NO. 16A-0139E )

## **NON-UNANIMOUS COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE (	OF CO	ONTENTS	2
ATTACH	HMEN	ITS	6
Introdu	CTION	I AND IDENTIFICATION OF THE PARTIES	7
BACKGR	OUND	REGARDING PROCEEDINGS	10
I.	Рн	ASE II RATE CASE, PROCEEDING NO. 16AL-0048E	10
II.	Soi	LAR*CONNECT, PROCEEDING No. 16A-0055E	13
III.		17-2019 RENEWABLE ENERGY COMPLIANCE PLAN, PROCEEDING NO. A-0139E	14
SETTLEM	ENT C	OF ELECTRIC PHASE II RATE CASE (PROCEEDING NO. 16AL-0048E)	16
I.	CLA	ASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY ("CCOSS")	16
	A.	MODIFICATIONS TO THE COMPANY-PROPOSED CCOSS	16
	B.	CCOSS STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS	19
II.	TAF	RIFF RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS	20
	A.	Auxiliary Service	20
	B.	INTERCONNECTION	20
	C.	SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICE	22
	D.	SCHEDULE SPVTOU	22
	E.	ELIMINATION OR CLOSING OF EXISTING SERVICE SCHEDULES	23
III.	Отн	HER PRICING ISSUES	24
	A.	COMPANY PRICING PROPOSALS ADOPTED IN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	24
	B.	GRID USE CHARGE – RESIDENTIAL AND ENERGY-ONLY TOU RATES	
	C.	GRID USE CHARGE - COMMERCIAL	25
	D.	GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION CHARGES FOR TG CUSTOMERS	25
	E.	SCHEDULE NM CUSTOMERS	26
IV.		SIDENTIAL ENERGY-BASED TOU BASE RATES AND THE ELECTRIC COMMODITY	
	A.	RATE STRUCTURE FOR RE-TOU TRIAL	26
		1. TIME PERIODS	26
		2. SUMMER/WINTER DIFFERENTIAL	27
		3. Proposed Rates	27
	B.	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RE-TOU TRIAL PROGRAM	27
		1 TIMEEDAME	27

		۷.	PROGRAM TO FINAL RE-TOU SCHEDULE FOR ALL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS	29
		3.	NUMBER OF VOLUNTARY TRIAL PARTICIPANTS	30
		4.	RE-TOU TRIAL PARTICIPANTS	31
		5.	LOW-INCOME TRIAL PARTICIPANTS	31
		6.	BRIDGE METERS FOR THE RE-TOU TRIAL:	32
		7.	FINAL SCHEDULE RE-TOU ADVICE LETTER FILING:	33
		8.	ADVANCED METERS:	34
		9.	OTHER ISSUES RELATED TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SCHEDULE RE-TO	U35
V.	NE	т Ме	TERING CONSIDERATIONS	35
	A.	PR	RODUCTION METERS:	36
	B.	Ex	CESS ENERGY TREATMENT:	36
		1.	ROLL OVER OPTION	37
		2.	CASH OUT OPTION	38
VI.	. DE	COUF	PLING	40
VII	l. Pil	от Р	ROGRAM FOR RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS	41
	A.	Gr	RID USE CHARGE	41
	В.	PII	LOT COSTS	42
	C.	SE	ELF-SELECTION BIAS	42
	D.	Siz	ze of Pilot	42
	E.	RE	PORTING	43
	F.	Su	INSET/TERMINATION OF PILOT	43
	G.	Lo	W-INCOME PARTICIPATION	43
	Н.	PA	ARTICIPATION:	44
VII	II. PIL	от Р	ROGRAM FOR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS	44
IX.	. Sti	REET	LIGHTING ISSUES	44
X.	RE	VENL	JE REQUIREMENT ISSUES	45
XI.	Co	LORA	ADO PUC No. 8 - ELECTRIC	45
SETTL	EMENT	of S	SOLAR*CONNECT APPLICATION (PROCEEDING NO. 16A-0055E)	46
I.	GE	NER	AL	46
II.	Sız	E OF	THE PROGRAM	46
III.	Ро	TENT	FIAL FUTURE RENEWABLE*CONNECT	46
IV.	. Su	BSCF	RIPTION ISSUES	47
	A.	CA	NPACITY:	47

	B. IERM:	47
	C. INITIAL SUBSCRIPTION AVAILABILITY:	47
	D. TRANSFERABILITY:	48
V.	RENEWABLE ENERGY CREDITS ("REC")	48
	A. CERTIFICATION:	48
	B. RETIREMENT:	48
VI.	BILL CHARGES	49
VII.	BILL CREDIT	49
VIII.	Unsubscribed Energy	50
IX.	RISK AND EXCESS REVENUES	51
Χ.	PROGRAM MARKETING/MANAGEMENT	51
XI.	PROGRAM REPORTING	53
SETTLEN	MENT OF THE 2017-2019 RE PLAN (PROCEEDING NO. 16A-0139E)	54
I.	Introduction	54
II.	Solar*Rewards	54
	A. Annual Capacity	54
	1. Small Solar*Rewards Program	55
	2. MEDIUM SOLAR*REWARDS PROGRAM	56
	3. Large Solar*Rewards Program	57
	B. REC INCENTIVE LEVELS	57
	1. Small Solar*Rewards program	58
	2. Medium Solar*Rewards program	58
	3. Large Solar*Rewards program	59
	C. OTHER PROVISION	59
III.	Solar*Rewards Community	59
	A. Annual Capacity	59
	B. CSG BILL CREDIT	61
	C. GRID INFORMATION FOR INTERCONNECTION	61
	D. Non Low-Income Standard Offer	62
	E. RFP	62
	1. EVALUATION CRITERIA	62
	2. 2 MW CLARIFICATION	63
	F. NEGATIVE RECS	64
I\/	LOW INCOME CONSIDERATIONS	64

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 5 of 89

	A.	Lc	W-INCOME ROOFTOP SOLAR PROGRAM	64
		1.	ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCING:	64
		2.	ELIGIBILITY:	65
		3.	Assignment:	65
		4.	RELATIONSHIP TO WEATHERIZATION:	66
		5.	PROJECT SIZE:	66
		6.	ANNUAL REPORTING:	67
		7.	STAKEHOLDER GROUP:	68
	B.	Sc	DLAR*REWARDS COMMUNITY	68
		1.	LOW-INCOME CUSTOMER DEFINITION CLARIFICATION	68
		2.	5% MINIMUM REQUIREMENT	69
		3.	LOW-INCOME RFP	69
		4.	LOW-INCOME STANDARD OFFER	72
V.	Win	IDSC	DURCE®	72
VI.	REC	CYCL	ED ENERGY	73
VII.	RET	AIL	RATE IMPACT	75
	A.		OCK DOWN THE INCREMENTAL COSTS OF RESOURCE FOR THE TERM OF THE ANNING PERIOD (2017-2026)	75
	B.	Е٧	ALUATION IN NEXT RE PLAN FILING	75
OTHER SI	ETTLE	MEN	NT COMMITMENTS	75
CENEDAL	DDO	VICIO	ONE	76

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 6 of 89

## **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment Identifier	Description		
A	Updated CCOSS		
В	Settlement Revenue Proof		
С	Customer Bill Impacts		
D	Complete Tariff Book 8 – Clean		
E	Complete Tariff Book 8 – Redline		
F	Stakeholder Group Details		
G	Revised Rate Case Expense Estimate		
Н	RESA Impact Update for Settlement		

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 7 of 89

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

\* \* \* \* \*

RE: IN THE MATTER OF ADVICE LETTER NO. 1712-ELECTRIC FILED BY PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO TO REPLACE COLORADO PUC NO. 7-ELECTRIC TARIFF WITH COLORADO PUC NO. 8- ELECTRIC TARIFF	) ) ) PROCEEDING NO. 16AL-0048E ) )
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO FOR APPROVAL OF ITS SOLAR* CONNECT PROGRAM	) ) PROCEEDING NO. 16A-0055E )
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2017-2019 RENEWABLE ENERGY COMPLIANCE PLAN	) ) ) PROCEEDING NO. 16A-0139E )

#### NON-UNANIMOUS COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

#### <u>Introduction and Identification of the Parties</u>

This Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve on a comprehensive basis all issues raised in three pending proceedings initiated by Public Service Company of Colorado ("Public Service" or "Company"): Proceeding No. 16AL-0048E (the Company's Phase II Electric proceeding); Proceeding No. 16A-0055E (the Company's application for authorization to implement its Solar\*Connect program); and Proceeding No. 16A-0139E (the Company's 2017-2019 Renewable Energy Plan ("2017 RE Plan")) (each a "Proceeding" and collectively the "Proceedings"). The Settling Parties, who are defined and identified below, believe that the interrelationship of the issues raised in

& 16A-0139E

Page 8 of 89

each of the three Proceedings has presented the opportunity to settle all three

Proceedings on a global basis, and will request and support consolidation of these three

proceedings by the Commission for ultimate resolution.

For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, a "Settling Party" is defined as any

party that is an intervenor in any of the three Proceedings that has indicated it is joining

the settlement in at least one of the Proceedings. A party is deemed a "Settling Party"

for any Proceeding in which it has indicated its joinder but is an "Opposing Party" for

each Proceeding in which it is an intervenor but has indicated its opposition. In the

event that a party is an intervenor in a Proceeding and is indicating it is "not opposed" to

the settlement of that Proceeding -i.e., neither supporting or opposing - that party is a

"Non-Opposing Party". Each Settling Party agrees to the Settlement Agreement insofar

as it resolves the Proceeding(s) in which the Settling Party is a party, and agrees not to

oppose the Settlement Agreement insofar as it resolves any Proceeding(s) in which it is

not a Party. A Non-Opposing Party to a Proceeding will not oppose the Settlement

Agreement insofar as it resolves that Proceeding, and likewise agrees not to oppose the

Settlement Agreement insofar as it resolves any Proceeding(s) in which it is not a Party.

All Settling Parties and Non-Opposing Parties agree to support the Other Settlement

Commitments and the General Provisions set out below. Public Service joins in the

Settlement Agreement in its entirety.

While most parties to the three Proceedings have entered into this Settlement

Agreement, it is not unanimous. Some parties are Settling Parties in one or more

Proceedings but have reserved the right to oppose the Settlement Agreement's

resolution of other Proceeding(s). With one exception – specifically the Office of

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 9 of 89

Consumer Counsel – the Settling Parties have entered into settlements for each Proceeding on a comprehensive basis. The following table lists all twenty-six (26) parties to one or more of the three Proceedings. It also identifies which Proceeding(s) each Party is in, and whether the Party is joining the Settlement Agreement. If a party is listed as "joining" in the table below that means it is a Settling Party for every Proceeding it has intervened. Where applicable, the table also details whether a Party is only joining the Settlement Agreement with respect to some but not all of the Proceedings in which it is a party, in which case the table specifies the position the party is taking in each of Proceedings it has intervened. In the case of the Office of Consumer Counsel, the table also explains how it is joining the Phase II settlement on a partial basis.

Intervenor	Phase II	Solar* Connect	2017 RE Plan	Settlement Position
Staff of the Colorado Public Utilities Commission ("Staff")	X	Х	Х	Joining
Office of Consumer Counsel ("OCC")	Х	Х	X	Joining (with a special provision for Decoupling)
CF&I Steel, L.P. ("CF&I")	X			Joining
City & County of Denver ("Denver")	X		Χ	Joining
City of Boulder ("Boulder")	Χ	Χ	Χ	Joining
Clean Energy Collective ("Clean Energy")			Χ	Joining
Climax Molybdenum Company ("Climax")	X		Χ	Joining Phase II; not opposing 2017 RE Plan
Colorado Communications and Utility Alliance ("CCUA")	X			Joining
Colorado Energy Consumers ("CEC")	X			Joining
Colorado Energy Office ("CEO")	Χ	X	X	Joining
Colorado Independent Energy Association ("CIEA")		Х		Joining
Colorado Solar Energy				
Industries Association ("COSEIA")	Х	Х	Х	Joining
Energy Freedom Coalition of America ("EFCA")	Х	X	X	Joining RE Plan and Phase II; Non-Opposing Party for Solar*Connect

Intervenor	Phase II	Solar* Connect	2017 RE Plan	Settlement Position
Energy Outreach Colorado ("EOC")	Х		Х	Joining
Grid Alternatives, Inc.			Χ	Joining
Interwest Energy Alliance ("Interwest")		Х	Χ	Non-Opposing Party for 2017 RE Plan & Solar*Connect
NextEra Energy Resources, LLC ("NextEra")		X		Joining
Ormat Nevada, Inc. ("Ormat")			Χ	Joining
Solar Energy Industries Association ("SEIA")	X	Х		Joining
Southwest Energy Efficiency Project ("SWEEP")	Х			Opposing Party for Phase II (has indicated that its disagreement is with some but not all substantive provisions of the Settlement Agreement in Phase II)
Sunrun, Inc.	X		Χ	Joining
SunShare	Х	Х	X	Joining Phase II; Opposing Party for Solar*Connect & 2017 RE Plan
Vail Summit Resorts ("Vail")	X			Non-Opposing Party for Phase II
Vote Solar	X	Χ		Joining
Walmart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West, Inc. ("Walmart")	X	Χ		Non-Opposing Party for Phase II; Opposing Party for Solar*Connect (indicates that it opposes QF Methodology)
Western Resource Advocates ("WRA")	Х	Х	Х	Joining

#### **Background Regarding Proceedings**

#### I. Phase II Rate Case, Proceeding No. 16AL-0048E

On January 25, 2016, Public Service filed Advice Letter No. 1712-Electric together with the supporting direct testimony and exhibits of six (6) witnesses. In this filing, Public Service proposed the first step in a long-term rate design overhaul centered on implementing revised base rates for all electric rate schedules with a proposed effective date of February 25, 2016,<sup>1</sup> and revising and replacing the Company's currently effective P.U.C. No. 7–Electric tariff with P.U.C. No. 8-Electric

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Company proposed to refile the Advice Letter "after the Commission suspends this Phase II Rate Case in order to extend the procedural schedule so that rates will not be effective until January 1, 2017."

> & 16A-0139E Page 11 of 89

tariff. The proposed revised base rates are based on rate design principles and a Class

Cost of Service Study ("CCOSS") that allocates among customer classes the total

annual revenue requirement approved by the Commission in the Company's most

recent Phase I rate case, Proceeding No. 14AL-0660E.

Specifically in the Phase II proceeding, the Company proposed instituting Grid

Use Charges for residential and small commercial customers; implementing an optional

Residential Demand Time-of-Use Service ("RD-TOU");2 revising the seasonal rate

differential for small commercial and primary, secondary, and transmission general

customers; instituting an on-peak demand charge for primary and transmission general

customers; instituting a pilot Critical Peak Pricing ("CPP") option for large commercial

and industrial customers; offering a Supplemental Service to primary, secondary, and

transmission general customers whose onsite generation is not as frequent or

predictable as generators for whom Standby Service is intended; instituting Auxiliary

Service for customers with onsite electric storage applications operating in parallel with

the Company; lowering the required maximum demand to qualify Secondary General

customers for the Time-of-Use Electric Commodity Adjustment ("ECA"); and closing

certain existing service options to new customers as they are rendered obsolete by (or

out of the scope of) the Company's proposed long-term rate design.

By Decision No. C16-0135, adopted February 10, 2016, the Commission

suspended the effective date of the Company's tariffs for 120 days to June 24, 2016,

and referred the matter to Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Robert Garvey.

Following a prehearing conference held on April 7, 2016, in Decision No.

<sup>2</sup> The Settling Parties have agreed that this pilot Schedule should be renamed as "Residential Demand -Time Differentiated Rates" or "Schedule RD-TDR".

Page 12 of 89

R16-0334-I mailed on April 19, 2016, ALJ Garvey adopted the procedural schedule.

approved the intervention by right of three parties, and granted permissive

intervention to sixteen (16) parties<sup>4</sup> (collectively, "Phase II Parties"). Additionally,

ALJ Garvey denied the permissive intervention request of Coal Creek Development

Village, Inc. ("Coal Creek"), but granted it amicus curiae status. The procedural

schedule approved included the filing of Answer Testimony by June 6, 2016,

Rebuttal and Cross-Answer Testimony by July 15, 2016, stipulations or settlements

by August 5, 2016, and hearings from August 10 to 23, 2016.

On May 24, 2016, pursuant to § 40-6-111(1), C.R.S. and Commission Rules

1305(c) and (e), ALJ Garvey issued Decision R16-0438-I, sua sponte, suspending

the effective date of the tariff changes an additional ninety (90) days to September

22, 2016.

In accordance with its proposal in the original Advice Letter, Public Service

filed an Amended Advice Letter on May 31, 2016, proposing to extend the tariff

effective date to June 4, 2016, such that the suspension period would expire

December 31, 2016, and new electric rates could go into effect on January 1, 2017.

In the Phase II proceeding, seventeen (17) parties filed answer testimony

with accompanying exhibits: Boulder; Denver; Climax and CF&I jointly; CCUA; CEC;

CEO; Staff; COSEIA; EFCA, EOC; OCC; SEIA; SWEEP; Vail; Vote Solar; Walmart;

and WRA. Sunrun and SunShare did not file Answer Testimony in the proceeding.

To accommodate further settlement discussions and a stay of the procedural

schedule, Public Service filed a Second Amended Advice Letter on July 26, 2016, with a

Staff, OCC, and CEO.
 CCUA; Boulder; COSEIA; Climax and CF&I jointly; Denver; EFCA; EOC; CEC; SEIA; Sunrun;

SunShare; SWEEP; Vail; Vote Solar; Walmart; and WRA.

> & 16A-0139E Page 13 of 89

tariff effective date of August 4, 2016, and tariff suspension expiring February 28, 2017.

By Decision No. R16-0698-I, ALJ Garvey vacated the remaining procedural

schedule, including the hearing. He also set a prehearing conference for August 18,

2016.

II. Solar\*Connect, Proceeding No. 16A-0055E

On January 27, 2016, together with the supporting direct testimony and

exhibits of four (4) witnesses, Public Service filed an Application for the approval of

its Solar\*Connect Program. Solar\*Connect will provide Public Service's customers

the option of obtaining solar energy through a new solar resource or resources to

cover some or all of their energy consumption. 5 Solar\*Connect is a voluntary

program offered pursuant to a Commission-approved tariff. The Company

proposed that customers who elect to participate pay their underlying tariff rate, pay

the tariffed bill charge and receive the tariffed bill credit consisting of the ECA price

for on-peak energy, plus a capacity credit. The Company proposed that if there is

any excess energy generated by the new solar resources that exceeds the amount

consumed by Program participants, the Company will sell the excess back to the

Public Service system at the on-peak ECA plus the capacity value of the solar

generation.

On March 9, 2016, the Commission deemed the Application complete and

referred the matter to ALJ Jennings-Fader. In Decision No. R16-0234-I on

March 21, 2016, ALJ Jennings-Fader approved the intervention by right of Staff,

<sup>5</sup> Concurrent with the Application, Public Service filed a motion for the release of a request for proposal ("RFP") to acquire utility-scale resource(s) to supply solar energy for the Program.

& 16A-0139E Page 14 of 89

OCC, and CEO, and granted permissive intervention to eleven (11) parties.<sup>6</sup>

ALJ Jennings-Fader held a prehearing conference on March 31, 2016, and

set the procedural schedule, which included the filing of Answer Testimony on

May 27, 2016, Rebuttal and Cross Answer Testimony on June 28, 2016, Settlement

or Stipulations by July 12, 2016, and hearing from July 18 through 22, 2016. The

ALJ adopted the procedural schedule in Decision No. R16-0318-I on April 12, 2016.

On May 27, 2016, nine (9) parties filed Answer Testimony: COSEIA, EFCA,

OCC, SEIA, Staff, SunShare, Vote Solar, Wal-Mart, and WRA. Interwest, NextEra,

Boulder, CIEA, and the CEO did not file Answer Testimony in the proceeding.

Public Service filed Rebuttal Testimony, and COSEIA, Vote Solar, Walmart and

WRA filed Cross-Answer Testimony as scheduled on June 28, 2016. Upon

Rebuttal, the Company modified a number of Solar\*Connect provisions, which are

included in the various terms set forth in the Solar\*Connect section of the

Settlement terms.

In Decision No. R16-0657-I on July 14, 2106, ALJ Jennings-Fader vacated

the remaining procedural schedule, including the hearing dates, to accommodate

settlement discussions.

III. 2017-2019 Renewable Energy Compliance Plan, Proceeding No. 16A-0139E

On February 29, 2016, Public Service filed an application for approval of its

2017 RE Plan together with the Direct Testimony and attachments of six (6)

witnesses. The Company proposed a three (3)-year plan beginning in 2017 to add

renewable energy to its system to meet or exceed Colorado's Renewable Energy

<sup>6</sup> Boulder; the CIEA; COSEIA; EFCA; Interwest; NextEra; SEIA; SunShare; Vote Solar; Walmart; and WRA.

WKA

> & 16A-0139E Page 15 of 89

Standard ("RES") by 2020. The Plan proposes increasing MW capacity for Public

Service's Solar\*Rewards and Solar\*Rewards Community programs, lowering costs

for participation in its Windsource® program, and launching its Recycled Energy

Program developed to generate energy from otherwise wasted heat or steam. The

Plan also modeled incremental and avoided costs for resources not previously

locked down in earlier renewable resource planning dockets, projecting that

previously approved customer contribution levels to the Renewable Energy

Standard Adjustment ("RESA") would be sufficient to cover the costs charged to the

RESA for the 2017 to 2019 compliance years. By operation of Commission Rule

1303(c)(III), the Application was deemed complete on April 15, 2016.

On April 8, Public Service filed a motion requesting the Commission sever

the issue of REC incentive pricing levels for the Small Solar\*Rewards program and

consolidate it with the Company's 2016 Phase II Electric Rate Case (Proceeding No.

16AL-0048E).

On May 3, 2016, the Commission referred the case to ALJ G. Harris Adams

by Decision No. C16-0369-I, as adopted in the Commissioners' Deliberation

Meeting on April 15, 2016. In the same decision the Commission denied the

Company's Motion to Sever and directed the Company to file Supplemental Direct

Testimony. The Commission also approved the intervention by right of Staff, OCC,

and CEO, and granted permissive intervention to twelve (12) parties. In Decision

R16-0442-I on May 24, 2016, ALJ Adams granted late permissive intervention to

Ormat.

Boulder; Clean Energy; Climax; COSEIA; Denver; EFCA; EOC; Grid Alternatives; Interwest; Sunrun;

SunShare; and WRA.

& 16A-0139E Page 16 of 89

As directed in Decision No. C16-0369-I, Public Service filed the

Supplemental Direct Testimony of a single witness on June 3, 2016.

ALJ Adams conducted a prehearing conference on June 7, 2016, and

scheduled the hearing and certain procedural deadlines, including filing Answer

Testimony by July 25, 2016, Rebuttal and Cross-Answer Testimony by August 25,

2016, settlements or stipulations by August 29, 2016, and hearings from September

26 through 28, 2016. The ALJ adopted these dates on June 8, 2016, in Decision

R16-0487-I.

In Decision No. R16-0680-I, ALJ Adams granted an extension of the Answer

Testimony deadline to August 8, 2016, to accommodate settlement discussions.

On August 2, 2016, a Joint Motion was filed by all parties to this proceeding

except EFCA, OCC, and SunShare, requesting the remaining procedural schedule

be vacated to allow for continued settlement negotiations. ALJ Adams granted this

request and vacated the remaining procedural schedule on August 4 in Decision

No. R16-0725-I.

Settlement of Electric Phase II Rate Case (Proceeding No. 16AL-0048E)

I. Class Cost of Service Study ("CCOSS")

A. Modifications to the Company-proposed CCOSS

The Settling Parties<sup>8</sup> agree that the CCOSS as proposed by the Company in

Direct Testimony and corrected for errors related to the application of loss factors, which

is based upon calendar year 2013 data consistent with the revenue requirement

<sup>8</sup> The following are Settling Parties of the Settlement Agreement for Phase II: Staff, OCC (with a special provision for Decoupling), Boulder, CCUA, CF&I, Climax, CEC, CEO, COSEIA, Denver, EFCA, EOC, SEIA, Sunrun, SunShare, Vote Solar, and WRA. The following are Opposing Parties of the Settlement

Agreement for the Phase II: SWEEP. The following are Non-Opposing Parties of the Settlement

Agreement for the Phase II: Vail and Walmart.

& 16A-0139E

Page 17 of 89

approved in the Company's last Electric Phase I rate case, Proceeding No. 14AL-

0660E, should be approved except as modified through this Settlement Agreement.

Among other things and as proposed by the Company in its direct testimony, the

Settling Parties agree that the AED-4CP methodology used to allocate fixed generation

and transmission ("G&T") costs should be approved by the Commission. This is the

methodology approved in the Company's 2009 Electric Phase II (Proceeding No. 09AL-

299E ("2009 Phase II")) for allocating fixed generation costs. The parties also agree

that the Company's proposed methodology for allocating Demand-Side Management

("DSM") costs should be approved by the Commission. If the terms of this Settlement

Agreement do not modify a Company-proposed CCOSS methodology utilized to

assign or allocate specific costs, then the proposed methodology is adopted. The

Settling Parties believe that the CCOSS as modified by this Settlement Agreement

reasonably assigns or allocates costs for use to design rates in this proceeding.

The Settling Parties agree that the CCOSS as proposed by Company in Direct

Testimony will be modified with respect to the allocation of service lateral costs. As

proposed by the OCC and supported by EOC, the Company has modified the CCOSS

to use the methodology approved in the 2009 Phase II to allocate service lateral costs.

The method approved in the 2009 Phase II uses a 2001 ratio to establish the

apportionment among service lateral types and the share of these costs allocated to

customer classes.

In consideration of the OCC's proposals regarding changes to the CCOSS in

relation to the concepts of stratification, DSM cost allocation to the different classes, and

the sales volume adjustment proxy for load changes since the last Phase I test period,

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 18 of 89

the Parties agree to modify the CCOSS study and the associated class revenue requirements as set forth in the table below. These changes will be implemented through a change to the Schedule R and C rates in each tier and season, to the demand rates for Schedules SG, PG, and TG customers, and through the fixed charges for street lighting customers. No changes will be made to the billing determinants included in the Company's CCOSS.

Rate Class	CCOSS Class Revenue Requirement Modification		
R	\$(7,500,000)		
С	\$(500,000)		
SG	\$4,000,000		
PG	\$3,500,000		
TG	\$125,000		
Lighting	\$375,000		

Attachment A to this Settlement Agreement shows the results of the revised CCOSS to reflect the above modifications. Attachment B provides the Revenue Proof incorporating both the revised CCOSS and the agreements on Phase II pricing and tariff issues discussed in the following sections of this Settlement Agreement. Attachment C is the resulting bill impacts on typical customers of the five services schedules under which the Company provides the majority of our retail electric service.

The Settling Parties also agree that in its next Phase I electric rate proceeding, the Company will assign distribution load dispatching costs to all distribution functions rather than to only distribution substations, and investigate the need for related changes.

> & 16A-0139E Page 19 of 89

CCOSS Stakeholder Meetings<sup>9</sup> B.

Public Service will convene an informal collaborative group of interested parties<sup>10</sup>

in 2017 to discuss alternative methodologies for the classification and allocation of

production plant. This collaborative group will investigate and discuss a variety of cost

classification and allocation methodologies, including average and excess demand

("AED"), stratification, and others, and will discuss whether and the degree to which

alternative methodologies might be reasonably employed in future Public Service Phase

II rate proceedings. Participants in the stakeholder group and other future parties are

free to advocate any classification and allocation methodology in future rate

proceedings. The Company will provide a summary of the group's discussions and

conclusions, if any, in its next Phase II rate case.

In their Answer Testimony, CF&I/Climax raised a concern regarding the Service

and Facility ("S&F") Charges for Transmission General ("TG") customers who have

specifically assigned substation facilities and experience a permanent load reduction.

The Settling Parties agree to not propose changes to the methodology for calculating

the S&F charges for TG customers in this proceeding. However, the Company commits

to engage with interested stakeholders, including Staff and the industrial intervenors in

the Phase II proceeding, to discuss possible long-term solutions. Stakeholder meetings

will be completed prior to the next Phase II rate case, with any agreed-upon changes

incorporated in that filing.

<sup>9</sup> The Settling Parties identified many issues, such as the calculation of S&F charges for TG customers, that were not necessary to resolve in this Settlement Agreement, but which the Settling Parties decided should be discussed in various Stakeholder Groups. These issues are discussed throughout this

Settlement Agreement, and identified (and sometimes elaborated upon) in Attachment F.

10 The Company will send the meeting invite/notice to all parties that have intervened in this Phase II proceeding.

& 16A-0139E

Page 20 of 89

II. Tariff Rates, Rules and Regulations

The Settling Parties agree that the tariff rates, rules, and regulations in Colorado

PUC No. 8 - Electric as proposed by the Company should be approved by the

Commission except as modified through this Settlement Agreement. Attachment D of

this Settlement Agreement is a complete set of clean tariff rates, rules and regulations

that will go into effect if this Settlement Agreement is approved. Attachment E is a

redline that shows how Attachment D differs from the terms, conditions, and rates that

the Company originally proposed in this proceeding. Attachments H and I also contain

the tariff sheets for Street Lighting Electric Service.

Α. **Auxiliary Service** 

The Company withdraws its proposed Auxiliary Service. The Settling Parties

agree that the Company will convene a stakeholder group to discuss whether and if so,

how to potentially structure this auxiliary service. Public Service will make necessary

filings to implement any changes that the Distribution Grid and Interconnection

Stakeholder Group agree are needed.

B. Interconnection

The Settling Parties agree that unless and until the Company's policies for

interconnection and operation of customer-sited storage are further refined by the

Distribution Grid and Interconnection Stakeholder group, the stakeholder group in

Proceeding No. 15A-0847E, or other processes, the Company will follow the following

approaches:

1. Customers with stand-alone battery interconnection are not required to

have an interconnection agreement with the Company if they are in

& 16A-0139E Page 21 of 89

compliance with NEC 702, obtain an appropriate safety inspection, and

can provide verifiable proof that those systems are operated such that

they do not serve their main electrical panel. Customers with stand-

alone battery interconnections are required to have an interconnection

agreement when their system is operated in parallel with the grid by

serving their main electrical panel.

2. The Company will allow an energy storage system that is paired with

net energy metering ("NEM") eligible onsite renewable generation to be

operated in parallel with the grid provided that (a) an interconnection

review is completed; and either (b) the storage system is charged

exclusively by the NEM eligible on-site generation, or (c) the customer

can demonstrate the storage system will never export to the grid. 11

3. The Company will allow an energy storage system to be located on the

same side of the production meter as a NEM eligible onsite renewable

generator provided that the storage system is charged exclusively by

the onsite renewable generation and that only the production recorded

by the production meter will be eligible for REC incentives.

The technical specifications to effectuate these principles will be resolved between the

Settling Parties by January 1, 2017. In the event that the Settling Parties are unable to

resolve the technical specifications in this timeframe, Public Service agrees to file a

report with the Commission in this Proceeding by January 31, 2017, and interested

parties will have the opportunity to comment on the Company's report within 30 days.

<sup>11</sup> The parties agree that momentary exports from the battery system will not render the customer NEM

ineligible.

& 16A-0139E Page 22 of 89

C. <u>Supplemental Service</u>

The Settling Parties agree that the Supplemental Service under the standard or

optional three-part service schedules (to the extent customers are either required to or

opt to take service under such schedules) will be implemented as proposed by the

Company in its Direct Testimony with one clarification. The service schedules under

which Supplemental Service is available will include a provision explaining that

Supplemental Service will apply only to customers not receiving service under Schedule

Net Metering ("Schedule NM"). Specifically, Supplemental Service will apply only to

customers who install a distributed generation system that generates more than 120

percent of their historical total annual energy consumption measured at the time of

interconnection or who elect to not take service under Schedule NM.

D. <u>Schedule SPVTOU</u>

The Settling Parties agree that Schedule SPVTOU (Secondary Photovoltaic

Time-of-Use), which is applicable to electric service supplied at secondary voltage for

customers who install on-site photovoltaic systems ("PV systems") between ten (10) kW

and 500 kW, will not be terminated as the Company proposed in its Direct Testimony. A

revised Schedule SPVTOU will be available to new customers who are awarded

capacity in the Medium Solar\*Rewards program on or after January 1, 2017. Customers

on Schedule SPVTOU as of December 31, 2016, will remain eligible for service under

Schedule SPVTOU at rates incorporating the current SPVTOU rate design, as will any

customers who are awarded capacity in calendar year 2016 but do not have their

photovoltaic system online prior to the end of 2016. Eligibility qualifications for new

construction for Schedule SPVTOU where twelve (12) months of historical usage is

& 16A-0139E Page 23 of 89

unavailable will be reviewed based on the submitted Electric Load forecast as part of

the solar application. Discussions regarding the ability of commercial customers, such

as Secondary General ("SG") customers, to participate in voluntary renewable programs

will continue as part of the Existing Voluntary Renewable Programs Stakeholder Group

as described in Attachment F. Participation in Schedule SPVTOU after January 1, 2017,

will be capped by the approved annual capacity for the Solar\*Rewards medium

program. The Settling Parties agree that in the event in a calendar year the Company

has new participants in the SPVTOU tariff totaling 36 MW in aggregate service demand,

the Company will temporarily suspend the SPVTOU tariff<sup>12</sup> to new entrants and

convene a meeting of the Existing Voluntary Renewable Stakeholder Group to discuss

the issue and seek a resolution. All demand based riders to the SPV-TOU schedule

shall be designed using the 2pm-6pm G&T demand charge structure in the SPV-TOU

schedule.

E. <u>Elimination or Closing of Existing Service Schedules</u>

The Settling Parties agree with the Company's proposals in its direct case with

respect to the restriction/elimination/closing of Schedules STOU (Secondary Time-of-

Use), PTOU (Primary Time-of-Use), TTOU (Transmission Time-of-Use), and SGL

(Secondary General Low- Load Factor) except as modified below:

1. Schedule STOU will be closed to new customers as of January 1,

2017. For existing customers on Schedule STOU, the Company will

continue to offer Schedule STOU through 2022. Schedule STOU will

expire on January 1, 2023, unless the Commission explicitly extends it.

<sup>12</sup> In the event that the suspension occurs prior to the fourth quarter of the year, the Stakeholder group

will work to resolve the issue within a 60 day period.

Page 24 of 89

2. Schedule PTOU will be closed to new customers as of January 1,

2017. For existing customers on Schedule PTOU, the Company will

continue to offer Schedule PTOU through 2022. Schedule PTOU will

expire on January 1, 2023, unless the Commission explicitly extends it.

III. Other Pricing Issues

A. Company Pricing Proposals Adopted in Settlement Agreement

The Settling Parties expressly acknowledge that the service schedules included

in Attachment D reflect agreement to implement the following pricing elements as

proposed by the Company in its direct case:

The seasonal rate differentials for Schedule SG and Schedule PG G&T

Demand Charges.

The period over which the billing demands applicable to the G&T Demand

Charge will be determined.

The 50% distribution demand ratchet for Schedule SG and Schedule PG,

as approved by the Commission in the 2009 Phase II rate case.

B. Grid Use Charge – Residential and Energy-Only TOU Rates

In consideration for the compromises reached in this Settlement Agreement

relating to all three Proceedings, the Company withdraws its proposed Grid Use Charge

for Schedule R. The Settling Parties agree that the Company should initiate a trial

Residential energy-only time-of-use ("TOU") rate under a new Schedule Residential

TOU ("RE-TOU"). The methodology and timeline for Schedule RE-TOU is described in

Section IV of this Settlement Agreement. In accepting this proposal, the Settling Parties

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075

Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E, & 16A-0139E

Page 25 of 89

also recognize the policy value of an appropriately designed Decoupling Mechanism.

See Section VI of this Settlement Agreement regarding Decoupling.

C. <u>Grid Use Charge - Commercial</u>

The Company also withdraws its proposal regarding the Grid Use Charge for the

small commercial service (Schedule C) in consideration for the compromises reached in

this Settlement Agreement. The Settling Parties agree that Schedule C energy charges

will be based on the Optional Energy Charges and lower Service and Facilities ("S&F")

charge proposed by the Company in Direct Testimony (Direct Testimony of Steven W.

Wishart, Attachment SWW-2). These proposed rates will be revised as necessary to

reflect the changes to the CCOSS explained above in Section I. Please see Section

VIII below regarding a pilot for the Schedule C customers.

D. <u>Generation and Transmission Charges for TG Customers</u>

The Settling Parties agree that the Company's proposed time-based assessment

of G&T demand charges for Schedule TG warrants further collaboration. Thus, the

Company withdraws its mandatory time-based assessment for Schedule TG at this time

and agrees to continue to work with TG customers to move such a concept forward in

the future. To facilitate such progress, the Company agrees to meet with interested

parties prior to the next Phase II rate case to achieve the goal of providing Schedule TG

customers additional information regarding the impacts of the time-based demand

charge assessment.

& 16A-0139E

Page 26 of 89

E. Schedule NM Customers

The Settling Parties agree that customers who receive service under Schedule

NM (Net Metering), regardless of whether they are participating through Solar\*Rewards,

will have equivalent treatment to comparable Solar\*Rewards customers regarding base

rate design. Public Service will not propose prior to the next Phase II rate case any new

tariff that would alter this treatment. Public Service further agrees to cease sending the

notification letters concerning the possibility of future tariff changes to customers who

elect to participate in net metering, but not through a Solar\*Rewards program.

IV. Residential Energy-Based TOU Base Rates and the Electric Commodity

Adjustment ("ECA")

The Settling Parties agree that the Commission should consider whether to

authorize Public Service to implement energy-based TOU rates for all residential

customers. This Section IV discusses the implementation of an RE-TOU trial to study

and analyze whether residential energy-based TOU rates should be implemented for all

residential customers.

The Settling Parties agree to the Schedule RE-TOU on a trial basis and timeline

of implementation for this trial as set forth below.

Α. Rate Structure for RE-TOU Trial

1. Time Periods

The trial Schedule RE-TOU features an energy-based TOU rate design with

three periods. To promote customer understanding, the Settling Parties agree that the

time periods should not vary by season. The time periods included in the trial Schedule

RE-TOU are as follows:

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 27 of 89

On Peak: 2 PM through 6 PM (weekday, non-holiday)

Off Peak: 9 PM through 9 AM Shoulder: All Other Hours

#### 2. Summer/Winter Differential

Just as the Company's current tiered rates are higher in the summer, the energy-based Trial Program's RE-TOU rates will be higher in the summer than in the winter. The Summer period is defined as June 1 through September 30, and the Winter period is defined as all other months.

#### 3. Proposed Rates

The Proposed Rates are as follows:

	<u>Summer</u>	<u>Winter</u>
On Peak:	\$0.13814	\$0.08880
Shoulder:	\$0.08420	\$0.05413
Off Peak:	\$0.04440	\$0.04440

These Proposed Rates are for base rates only and do not include riders and other charges that will be in addition to the Proposed Rates shown above.

#### B. <u>Implementation of the RE-TOU Trial Program</u>

#### 1. Timeframe

The trial Schedule RE-TOU rates will be effective and available to residential customers commencing January 1, 2017, 13 for any customer on Schedule R whose

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> There is a possibility that the availability of Schedule RE-TOU and RD-TDR may be delayed beyond January 1, 2017 due to software programming by the Company. In the event that this occurs, the promotion of both Schedule RE-TOU and RD-TDR will be delayed and made available to customers simultaneously. The Company will endeavor to complete the software programming and implement these changes as expeditiously as possible.

& 16A-0139E Page 28 of 89

existing meter is exchanged for either (1) "a bridge meter" 14 or (2) an advanced grid

meter (as defined and provided below in subsection B.8).

Public Service has made a filing, the Advanced Grid Intelligence and Security

Application ("AGIS Application") in Proceeding No. 16A-0588E, to implement advanced

metering infrastructure in its service territory. This proposed metering infrastructure

change would enable full deployment of the energy-based TOU rate design across the

entire Residential class if approved by the Commission. As proposed in the Company's

AGIS Application, it is anticipated that the roll-out of advanced metering to all residential

customers will begin in the fourth quarter of calendar year 2018 and be completed in

late 2021. Although several provisions of this Settlement Agreement reference Public

Service's AGIS Application, this Settlement Agreement should not be construed as

presupposing the Commission's approval of that application or indicating any of the

Settling Parties' position on that filing. The Settling Parties are free to take any position

they deem appropriate regarding the AGIS Application. In the event that the

Commission does not approve the Company's AGIS Application, the Company will file

an Advice Letter to amend Schedule RE-TOU to close the schedule to new customers

after December 31, 2019. In the event of this occurrence, the Company will also

commence discussions in the Existing Voluntary Renewable Program stakeholder

group regarding how to migrate existing customers on Schedule RE-TOU that also are

subject to Schedule NM to other tariffs.

1

<sup>14</sup> "Bridge meter" is defined and discussed further below.

& 16A-0139E Page 29 of 89

2. Commission Consideration for Transition from RE-TOU Trial Program to Final RE-TOU Schedule for All Residential Customers

The Parties and the Commission will analyze and study the results of the trial

contemporaneously with the filing of the Company's Advice Letter for a final Schedule

RE-TOU for all residential customers. If the results warrant that the trial should be

transitioned to all residential customers, then the Settling Parties propose to undertake

several steps in order to ensure a successful implementation of the final Schedule RE-

TOU schedule to all of Public Service's 1.2 million residential customers and ensure

residential customers are well-informed. These steps are conditioned on Commission

approval of the AGIS Application and the final Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter

discussed below.

First, prior to the Commission consideration for transition of all residential

customers to final Schedule RE-TOU, the parties believe it would be informative to have

a voluntary and early recruitment of diverse trial participants participating on the trial

Schedule RE-TOU schedule who are representative of the residential population.

Second, the Company's Advice Letter for a potential final RE-TOU rate schedule

will utilize all data gathered from the trial participant group up to five months before the

Company's Advice Letter to inform its final Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter filing. The

stakeholders will convene to analyze and discuss the data and the trial participants'

response prior to the filing of the final Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter filing. Pursuant

to the Pilot and Trial Program Stakeholder Group detailed in Attachment F, parties shall

have access to data regarding the trial in advance of the Advice Letter filing. 15 The final

<sup>15</sup> This stakeholder group will receive similar information on the RD-TDR pilot, described below.

Page 30 of 89

& 16A-0139E

Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter filing is discussed in further detail in Section IV.B.7 of this Settlement Agreement.

Third, the parties believe it is reasonable that prior to implementation of final RE-TOU rates for all residential customers, Public Service shall file an Advice Letter (a final "Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter filing") for at least three reasons: (1) to provide the Commission, Public Service, and stakeholders a decision point as to whether Schedule RE-TOU should become the rate for all residential customers based on the efficacy of the initial design of Schedule RE-TOU rate structure on different residential customer demographics, specifically including low-income customers; (2) to provide an opportunity to revise Schedule RE-TOU; or (3) to discontinue Schedule RE-TOU.

#### 3. Number of Voluntary Trial Participants

As a goal for participation, the target number of voluntary participants for enrollment in the Trial Schedule RE-TOU rate is the same number of customers as in the Schedule RD-TDR (Residential Demand – Time Differentiated Rates) pilot, which is 10,000 in 2017, 14,000 in 2018 and 18,000 in 2019 of cumulative participation by year. The Schedule RD-TDR pilot is explained in further detail below in Section VII of this Settlement Agreement. The participation goals and caps for the RD-TDR pilot and the RE-TOU voluntary trial participant levels are cumulative, and are summarized below:

	2017	2018	2019
RD-TDR Participation Goal	10,000	14,000	18,000
RD-TDR Participation Cap	10,000	14,000	18,000
RE-TOU Participation Goal	10,000	14,000	18,000
RE-TOU Participation Cap	10,000	20,000	30,000

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075

Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E, & 16A-0139E

Page 31 of 89

Public Service will pursue similar budgets, marketing opportunities, participant

characteristics, and goals for participation of voluntary trial participants in the Schedule

RE-TOU service as the Schedule RD-TDR pilot.

4. RE-TOU Trial Participants

The Company will seek voluntary participants in the trial Schedule RE-TOU to

determine whether a final RE-TOU rate for all residential customers is prudent and in

the public interest. Additionally, the trial will provide an opportunity for: (1) adequate

educational materials to be prepared; (2) testing the impact of the trial RE-TOU rate

differentials and pricing time periods; and, (3) testing the trial RE-TOU rate with existing

and new DSM or energy efficiency tools, including special offers enabling information

technology such as control and price/usage feedback devices and software for

Schedule RE-TOU trial participants. Specifically, at a minimum, Public Service commits

to promoting programmable thermostats as available through our DSM program to any

residential customer that goes on the new Schedule RE-TOU service or pilot Schedule

RD-TDR, including offering an incentive (rebate) on the smart thermostat under its DSM

programs. Public Service commits to working with the stakeholders to recruit a

representative and diverse group of residential customers to be trial participants in order

to minimize the self-selection bias as discussed in Attachment F.

5. Low-income Trial Participants

Up to 500 low-income residential customers will also be actively recruited to

participate in the voluntary RE-TOU trial group described above. This will provide an

opportunity to understand how this rate design works for this subset of customers.

Recognizing these customers are a protected customer class under the Colorado

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075

Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E, & 16A-0139E

Page 32 of 89

Utilities Law and the benefits of obtaining information on how TOU rates impact low-

income customers, a "hold harmless" provision is instituted for this subset of customers.

The "hold harmless" provision would allow low-income trial participants to pay the lower

of their monthly bills determined under Schedule R and trial Schedule RE-TOU. If a low-

income customer's bill under Schedule R is less than the bill under Schedule RE-TOU,

then this dollar difference will be deferred and collected later through residential rates

from the entire residential customer class. A low-income customer will be charged the

Schedule RE-TOU rate, but will receive a bill credit on the current or subsequent bill for

any amount that exceeds what the customer would have been charged under Schedule

R. Low-income voluntary trial participants will be clearly notified at the commencement

of their service under Schedule RE-TOU, and again on their bills, as to how this credit

will work and the difference between the billings under the two tariffs. For the purposes

of any approved Decoupling Mechanism, the calculated Schedule RE-TOU rates will be

utilized while the "hold harmless" provision of the trial is in place.

6. Bridge Meters for the RE-TOU Trial:

The metering that is currently in place for residential customers is not capable of

time-of-use billing. For voluntary trial participants, Public Service will install a "bridge

meter" that would allow the Company to measure and bill a customer's monthly electric

usage under Schedule RE-TOU prior to such time that the advanced meter is installed

and/or the Commission has issued a decision in the final Schedule RE-TOU Advice

Letter filing described below. Trial participants would have the right to withdraw from the

Trial RE-TOU tariff up to the end of the sixth billing cycle. The additional metering costs

attributable to the bridge meter will be recovered through the S&F Charge assessed to

> & 16A-0139E Page 33 of 89

voluntary RE-TOU trial participants, subject to the hold harmless provision for low-

income trial participants, discussed above.

7. Final Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter Filing:

On December 2, 2019<sup>16</sup>, Public Service will file with the Commission an Advice

Letter including the results of its analysis regarding participation in the Trial Schedule

RE-TOU, along with all underlying data. This final Advice Letter is intended to inform the

Commission whether Schedule RE-TOU requires modification prior to implementing the

final RE-TOU rate design for all Residential customers, whether Schedule RE-TOU is

working well as originally implemented, or whether it should be discontinued. The

Advice Letter will specifically address the evaluation of the impact of Schedule RE-TOU

on low-income participants. All parties reserve their rights to take any position in the

Advice Letter proceeding, including on the low-income issues raised therein and/or the

presented materials and recommendation of Public Service. Additionally, parties may

offer other recommendations as related to the final Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter

filing, including but not limited to: discontinuing Schedule RE-TOU, modifying the

Schedule RE-TOU, grandfathering of RE-TOU rate designs for trial participants, and

implementing a net metering offset credit approach for those trial participants that are

net metered. In the event of a Commission decision approving a final Schedule RE-TOU

Advice Letter, all residential customers with adequate metering to bill the approved

Schedule RE-TOU rates will be transitioned to that service schedule as of the

customer's next billing cycle if practical, or no later than the billing cycle subsequent to

<sup>16</sup> This requirement to file an Advice Letter on Schedule RE-TOU is moot if the Commission denies the AGIS application. If the AGIS Application is denied, the Company shall provide a final set of data, analysis, and results from both the RD-TDR pilot and the RE-TOU to the Pilot and Trial Program Stakeholder Group. This analysis shall include an evaluation of the impact of each rate design on low

income customers.

16

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075

Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E, & 16A-0139E

Page 34 of 89

the next billing cycle. The Settling Parties recognize that the Commission has the right

to deny the Advice Letter which at a minimum closes Schedule RE-TOU.

8. Advanced Meters:

Under the anticipated timeline for advanced meter installations, Public Service

will begin installing meters in the third/fourth quarter of 2018, provided that the

Commission approves Public Service's AGIS Application. To allow sufficient time to

gather data and process such data for an informed final Schedule RE-TOU Advice

Letter filing, the Company will offer, as discussed above, the trial Schedule RE-TOU on

a voluntary basis prior to the Company's proposed advanced meter deployment

pursuant to its AGIS Application and as described in this Settlement Agreement. In

addition, some customers may receive their Advanced Meters prior to the Commission's

decision on the final Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter. The parties agree that these

customers should also be moved to the trial Schedule RE-TOU prior to a Commission

decision regarding the final Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter, and be afforded an opt-out

during their first six billing cycles, but prior to the end of the seventh billing cycle. If a

customer opts-out of the trial Schedule RE-TOU rate prior to a Commission Decision on

the final Schedule RE-TOU Advice Letter and after their Advanced Meter deployment,

such customer will remain on Schedule R or an alternative residential service schedule:

however, the customer will continue to pay the S&F charge in Schedule RE-TOU to

cover additional metering costs attributable to the Advanced Meter.

Customers, who do not migrate to the trial Schedule RE-TOU or the Schedule

RD-TDR pilot between January 1, 2017, and the installation of their advanced meter,

will remain on Schedule R as set forth above.

& 16A-0139E Page 35 of 89

The S&F Charge for trial Schedule RE-TOU includes recovery of the additional

metering costs explained above and \$330,000 of estimated one-time programming and

billing expenses for both the RE-TOU and RD-TDR implementation. Any additional

programming and billing costs of implementing the RE-TOU and RD-TDR services as

explained above shall be treated as miscellaneous trial program/pilot expenses eligible

for deferred accounting and recovery in a subsequent proceeding, as explained in more

detail below.

9. Other Issues related to the Implementation of Schedule RE-TOU

The following items are other issues related to the implementation of Schedule

**RE-TOU:** 

The Settling Parties agree that the tiered rate structure and rate

differential for residential customers remain as proposed by the

Company in Schedule R. Customers not yet moved to Schedule RE-

TOU will remain on the revised Schedule R as described above.

• In order to simplify a residential customer's ability to track their energy

periods, the Settling Parties agree that a TOU ECA should be

assessed on Schedule RE-TOU customers. The Company agrees to

work to implement an ECA that has an off-peak period defined

consistently with the off-peak period designated for Schedule RE-TOU.

V. Net Metering Considerations

In light of the agreements reached regarding the Electric Phase II and RE Plan

issues, the Settling Parties agree that changes to when a production meter is required

& 16A-0139E Page 36 of 89

and how excess energy is treated for Schedule NM customers are necessary. As such,

the Settling Parties agree as follows:

A. <u>Production Meters:</u>

Customers included under the Company's Solar\*Rewards programs are

governed by applicable terms of the voluntary PV and NM tariffs. Under such tariffs,

Solar\*Rewards customers are required to pay for applicable production meter costs as

approved by the Commission. <sup>17</sup> Customers not participating in the Solar\*Rewards

programs but taking service under Schedules PV and NM will also be subject to

production metering; however, in recognition that such meters are not necessary for the

tracking of production based incentives, the cost of those production meters will be

assessed to the Company and funded through the RESA account.

B. <u>Excess Energy Treatment:</u>

Under a TOU-based rate design, it is feasible to measure customer consumption

and customer renewable energy production within TOU time periods. The parties agree

that within a monthly billing period, netting of customer renewable energy production

and customer consumption inside of the TOU time periods is appropriate. This will

allow, for example, customer renewable energy production in the On Peak time period

to offset customer consumption within this same time period. However, the question of

how to compensate customers for any monthly excess energy production needs to be

addressed. With respect to monthly excess energy, § 40-2-124(1)(e)(I)(B), C.R.S.,

states a customer is able to make a one-time election regarding how he or she is

<sup>17</sup> See Decision R14-0902 in Proceeding No. 13A-0836E, R12-0261 in Proceeding No. 11A-418E and

tariff approval through Proceeding 15AL-0120E.

& 16A-0139E Page 37 of 89

credited for excess energy. A customer may either elect to (1) roll such monthly excess

energy forward to offset future monthly bills in perpetuity (the "Roll Over Option") or (2)

accumulate such monthly excess energy from month-to-month, and to the extent that

any remains at the end of the year, the customer will be compensated at the Average

Hourly Incremental Cost ("AHIC") (the "Cash Out Option"). Current customers that have

enrolled in net metering have already made this selection and new customers will

continue to make this selection.

Commission Rule 3664(b) requires monthly excess energy generation to be

credited against a customer's next month's bill on a one-to-one basis. However, a TOU

rate structure assigns different values to kilowatt-hours ("kWhs") based on the time of

energy generation and consumption. Parties agree that a one-to-one retail credit for

monthly excess generation may be achieved consistent with a TOU rate approach

through one of two approaches, depending on whether a customer elects the Roll Over

Option or the Cash Out Option. Accordingly, on a going forward basis under a TOU rate

design, the Settling Parties agree that the following approach should be adopted for all

net metering customers, new and existing.

1. Roll Over Option

For any Customer who is net metered and on a service schedule featuring time-

differentiated base energy charges, the Company will track the Customer's excess

energy by the time period that the energy was generated (On Peak, Shoulder, or Off

Peak, as applicable). A Customer's excess energy by billing period will then be

multiplied by the prevailing total energy rate (base energy rate plus riders assessed on

a per-kWh basis) for the same time period that the excess energy was generated (On

Peak, Shoulder, Off Peak, as applicable) to determine a dollar credit. This credit will

> & 16A-0139E Page 38 of 89

then be used to offset the Customer's bill for retail electric consumption, except for the

Service and Facilities charge. To the extent that a remaining credit exists, it will roll from

Month-to-Month in perpetuity until the Customer leaves the premise. The

implementation of this Roll Over Option is dependent on a customer's service schedule:

a. Schedule R, Schedule C, Schedule SG, Schedule PG, and Schedule

TG - A customer's excess energy credit will be calculated by

multiplying the prevailing monthly base energy rate by the excess

energy generated (in kWh) in that month.

b. Schedule RE-TOU and Schedule SPVTOU - A customer's excess

energy will be multiplied by the prevailing base energy rate for the time

period that the excess energy was generated (On Peak, Shoulder, or

Off Peak as applicable) by the excess energy generated (in kWh)

during that time period.

2. Cash Out Option

For any Customer who is net metered and on a service schedule featuring time-

differentiated base energy charges, the Company will track the Customer's excess

energy by the time period that the energy was generated (On Peak, Shoulder, or Off

Peak, as applicable). Inside of a billing period, excess On Peak energy may be utilized

to offset either Shoulder or Off Peak energy, and excess Shoulder energy may be

utilized to offset Off Peak energy. Across billing periods, the Company will first apply

accumulated excess On Peak energy to the On Peak period if the Customer has On

Peak net consumption, then apply any remaining excess On Peak energy to the

Shoulder Energy or Off Peak energy, as applicable. Shoulder energy will first be applied

& 16A-0139E

Page 39 of 89

to Shoulder Month consumption, then applied to Off Peak consumption. At the end of

the Year, any remaining excess energy shall be compensated at the Average Hourly

Incremental Cost ("AHIC"). The implementation of this Cash Out Option is dependent on

a customer's service schedule:

a. Schedule R, Schedule C, Schedule SG, Schedule PG, and Schedule

TG – No changes will be implemented to how customers are currently

being provided compensation for excess energy.

b. Schedule RE-TOU, Schedule RD-TDR, and Schedule SPVTOU–

Public Service will accumulate the customer's excess energy credit as

it pertains to the time period that the energy was generated (On Peak,

Shoulder, or Off Peak). In the month the energy is generated, excess

On Peak energy generation at the end of the month will be utilized to

offset Shoulder consumption first then Off Peak energy consumption

second. Excess Shoulder energy generation at the end of the month

will be utilized to offset Off Peak energy. Across months, Public

Service will first apply excess On Peak energy from the prior month (or

months) to the On Peak period in the event that the customer has On

Peak net consumption before applying such excess On Peak energy to

the Shoulder net consumption. The same will apply to excess Shoulder

month energy: across months it will first be applied to Shoulder net

consumption prior to being applied to Off Peak net consumption. At

the end of the year, to the extent any excess kWhs remain, such

excess energy shall be compensated at the AHIC.

> & 16A-0139E Page 40 of 89

Rule 3664(b) was adopted at a time that did not contemplate TOU rates. The

Settling Parties, however, believe this approach is consistent with § 40-2-

124(1)(e)(I)(B), C.R.S. Accordingly, to implement this provision, the Settling

Parties agree to seek a waiver of Commission Rule 3664(b).

VI. <u>Decoupling</u>

The Company has proposed a decoupling mechanism for its residential

(Schedule R) and small commercial (Schedule C) customers in Proceeding No. 16A-

0546E. For purposes of this proceeding and this Settlement Agreement, the Company

is agreeing to: (1) withdraw the Grid Use Charge proposal, (2) implement Schedule RE-

TOU, and (3) expand the capacity in the RE Plan beyond that originally offered in the

Company's Direct Testimony. In consideration for these agreements, while not

necessarily agreeing with the Company regarding the reasons a decoupling mechanism

may be appropriate for residential (Schedule R) and small commercial (Schedule C)

customers, the Settling Parties who are joining this Phase II settlement agreement in its

entirety agree not to oppose the principle that the Company should be permitted to have

some form of a decoupling mechanism in place for its residential (Schedule R) and

small commercial (Schedule C) customers for a period of time, the duration of which will

be determined in Proceeding 16A-0546E. 18 However, the Settling Parties are free to

take any other position they deem appropriate regarding the form and mechanics of the

decoupling mechanism including, but not limited to, positions on how such a mechanism

should be implemented, how it should be structured, appropriate rate levels, and

. .

<sup>18</sup> The limitation set out in the Decoupling section shall not apply to the OCC, which has retained the right to advocate any position regarding the Company's proposed revenue decoupling application including

denial of the Company's application.

> & 16A-0139E Page 41 of 89

appropriate terms and conditions for the mechanism. The Settling Parties are also free

to take any position they deem appropriate regarding the broader implications of the

existence of such a mechanism on how the Company is regulated and its rates are set.

For example and without limitation, the Settling Parties are free to argue whether the

existence of such a mechanism changes the level of risk borne by the Company and

whether such a change should be reflected in the determination of the reasonable

return on equity for Public Service. Finally, Settling Parties are free to take any position

they deem appropriate on whether decoupling should be implemented for any other

customer class.

VII. Pilot Program for Residential Customers

The Settling Parties agree that Public Service shall implement the Schedule

Residential Demand - Time Differentiated Rate ("RD-TDR") Pilot, which was originally

named the RD-TOU pilot in the Company's Direct Testimony, as modified below in this

Settlement Agreement. The Settling Parties agree to the rate and implementation of

Schedule RD-TDR Pilot as described below. By agreeing to the Schedule RD-TDR pilot,

the Settling Parties are not expressing support for the rate designs being tested through

that pilot. The Settling Parties can express opposition to the tested rate design in any

stakeholder group meeting related to a long-term rate design or in any Commission

proceeding.

A. Grid Use Charge

Effective January 1, 2017, the Schedule R-TDR pilot will be implemented as

proposed in the Company's Direct Testimony except that the Grid Use Charge will be

converted into an around-the-clock demand charge to recover the same costs included

> & 16A-0139E Page 42 of 89

in the proposed Grid Use Charge. Attachment D to this Settlement Agreement provides

a clean version of the tariff modified from the Company's direct case as specified above.

B. Pilot Costs

The S&F Charge assessed on RD-TDR customers will collect the incremental

metering costs of the service and \$330,000 of one-time programming and billing costs

for both the RD-TDR and RE-TOU implementation. Any additional programming and

billing costs of implementing the RD-TDR and RE-TOU service as explained above

shall be treated as rate-case expenses eligible for deferred accounting and recovery in

a subsequent proceeding, as explained in more detail below.

C. <u>Self-Selection Bias</u>

As part of the Pilot Stakeholder process more fully described below and in Schedule

B, the Settling Parties agree to collaborate and determine prior to December 31, 2016

adequate methods to mitigate self-section bias with respect to participation in not only

the Schedule RD-TDR pilot but also the participation in the Schedule RE-TOU trial.

D. Size of Pilot

The participation pool of customers for the Schedule RD-TDR pilot is unchanged

from Public Service's Direct Testimony and the cumulative participation by year is

detailed in the table below for convenience. Public Service will pursue similar budgets,

marketing opportunities, participant characteristics, and goals for participation in the

Schedule RE-TOU trial as the Schedule RD-TDR pilot.

 Cumulative Number of Participants
 2017
 2018
 2019
 2020
 2021

 10,000
 14,000
 18,000
 18,000
 18,000

& 16A-0139E Page 43 of 89

E. Reporting

Public Service will submit a study and evaluation plan by November 15, 2016, in

this proceeding. Additionally, Public Service will institute a stakeholder group, the Pilot

Stakeholder Group, to review the implementation, testing and evaluation plans, and

information received as part of this pilot over the course of testing. The Pilot

Stakeholder Group is detailed further in Attachment F to this Settlement Agreement.

F. <u>Sunset/Termination of Pilot</u>

The Schedule RD-TDR pilot will end January 1, 2022, unless explicitly otherwise

changed by the Commission.

G. <u>Low-Income Participation</u>

Up to 500 low-income residential customers will also be actively recruited to

participate in the Schedule RD-TDR pilot described above. This will provide an

opportunity to understand how this rate design works for this subset of customers.

Recognizing these customers are a protected customer class under Colorado utility law

and the benefits of obtaining information on how demand rates impact low-income

customers, a "hold harmless" provision is instituted for this subset of customers. The

"hold harmless" provision would allow low-income participants to pay the lower of their

monthly bills determined under Schedule R and Schedule RD-TDR. If a low-income

customer's bill under Schedule R is less than the bill under Schedule RD-TDR, then this

dollar difference will be deferred and collected later through residential rates. A low-

income customer will be charged the Schedule RD-TDR rate, but will receive a bill credit

on the current or subsequent bill for any amount that exceeds what the customer would

have been charged under Schedule R. Low-income participants will be clearly notified

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075

Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E, & 16A-0139E

Page 44 of 89

at the commencement of their service under Schedule RD-TDR, and again on their bills,

as to how this credit will work and the difference between the billings under the two

tariffs. For the purposes of any approved Decoupling Mechanism, the calculated

Schedule RD-TDR rates will be utilized.

H. <u>Participation:</u>

Public Service commits to implement marketing and encourage participation in

the Schedule RD-TDR pilot and Schedule RE-TOU trialgroup on an equal basis. Public

Service will strive to achieve equivalent participation levels of the Schedule RD-TDR

pilot and the Schedule RE-TOU trial, to the extent possible. Pilot participants would

have the right to withdraw from the Schedule RD-TDR pilot prior to the end of the

seventh billing cycle.

VIII. <u>Pilot Program for Commercial Customers</u>

The Settling Parties agree that a pilot program for "Commercial class" customers

similar to that being proposed for the Residential customers is not appropriate at this

time. However, because there may be merit in testing other rate design methods for the

Commercial class, the Settling Parties agree to include this issue in the Pilot

Stakeholder group discussions. Public Service may bring such a pilot to the

Commission prior to the next Phase II rate case.

IX. Street Lighting Issues

The Settling Parties agree that the Company's proposed Tariff R141, which

concerns attachments to the Company's Street Light facilities, be deemed approved as

revised in Attachment D to this Settlement Agreement. The Company agrees to

& 16A-0139E Page 45 of 89

withdraw proposed Tariffs R142 and R143 regarding the relocation and removal of

street light facilities, respectively.

X. Revenue Requirement Issues

The Settling Parties agree that the rate revenue level to be allocated in this

proceeding is comprised of the revenue requirement of \$1,558,026,498 approved in the

last Electric Phase I rate case, Proceeding No. 14AL-0660E, plus the costs associated

with Public Service's Electric Affordability Program ("EAP") of \$4,000,000, for a total of

\$1,562,026,498. This \$4,000,000 of EAP expenses is currently being collected through

the General Rate Schedule Adjustment. The Settling Parties agree that the costs

associated with the Company's Electric Affordability Program is a valid utility cost that is

appropriate for continued recovery through base rates.

The Settling Parties also agree that Public Service will defer its actual rate case

expenses for recovery in the next Electric Phase I rate case. All actual expenses are

deemed eligible for recovery. Public Service will defer and track the actual costs in an

accounting asset without interest until they are included for recovery in the next Electric

Phase I rate case. In the next Electric Phase I rate case, parties will be free to challenge

the recovery of these amounts and the manner in which those amounts may be

recovered to the extent Public Service incurred those amounts imprudently or the

recovery as proposed by the Company would be unjust or unreasonable. Attachment G

provides an updated Phase II Rate Case Expense estimate as of August 1, 2016.

XI. Colorado PUC No. 8 - Electric

The Company's existing Colorado PUC No. 7 – Electric became effective on

May 5, 1997, and has been altered by approximately 450 Advice Letters over the last

& 16A-0139E Page 46 of 89

twenty (20) years. Following an evaluation of Colorado PUC No. 7 - Electric, Public

Service in its Direct Filing proposed to replace Colorado PUC No. 7 - Electric with

Colorado PUC No. 8 – Electric. The Settling Parties support approval of Colorado PUC

No. 8 – Electric, as set forth in Attachment D.

Settlement of Solar\*Connect Application (Proceeding No. 16A-0055E)

I. <u>General</u>

The Settling Parties<sup>19</sup> agree that the Commission should authorize Public

Service's Solar\*Connect Program, and allow the issuance of the RFP necessary for

Public Service to acquire the solar resource(s) for that program. The terms of the

Program shall be as set out in Public Service's direct and rebuttal cases, unless

expressly modified through this Settlement Agreement. As part of the settlement

provisions, the program name will be changed to Renewable\*Connect and shall be

referred to as Renewable\*Connect throughout the remainder of this Settlement

Agreement.

II. Size of the Program

The new solar resource or resources acquired for the Renewable\*Connect

Program shall not exceed fifty (50) MW (nameplate rating).

III. Potential Future Renewable\*Connect

In the event that in the future Public Service wishes to expand

Renewable\*Connect to include more than fifty (50) MW of resources, it must file an

<sup>19</sup> The following are Settling Parties of the Settlement Agreement for Solar\*Connect: Staff, OCC, Boulder, CEO, CIEA, COSEIA, NextEra, SEIA, Vote Solar, and WRA. The following are Opposing Parties of the Settlement Agreement for Solar\*Connect: SunShare and Walmart. The following are Non-Opposing

Parties of the Settlement Agreement for Solar\*Connect: EFCA and Interwest.

& 16A-0139E

Page 47 of 89

application with the Commission to obtain its authorization. All parties are free to

take any position regarding such future application or applications. Furthermore,

Public Service is committing to exploring future Renewable\*Connect alternatives or

complements through the Future Voluntary Renewable Programs Stakeholder

process that would potentially combine Windsource® and Renewable\*Connect.

IV. <u>Subscription Issues</u>

A. <u>Capacity:</u>

Each customer subscribing to Renewable\*Connect may subscribe up to 100

percent of its annual energy consumption translated to a kilowatt basis. No one

customer may subscribe to more than ten (10) percent of the total

Renewable\*Connect capacity. In addition, a corporate entity with multiple premises

cannot subscribe to more than forty (40) percent of the total Renewable\*Connect

capacity, and each corporate premise is limited to an allocation not to exceed 100

percent of that premise's energy consumption.

B. Term:

Participation may be under any one of three (3) terms – month to month, five

(5) years or ten (10) years.

C. Initial Subscription Availability:

Public Service will limit the availability of the initial Renewable\*Connect

offering to Residential and Commercial Service level customers for the first eight (8)

weeks of the Program offering. After that period, Public Service will make the

remaining capacity available to all retail customers throughout its service territory.

& 16A-0139E Page 48 of 89

D. <u>Transferability:</u>

A customer subscribing to Renewable\*Connect may transfer its subscription

associated with a current premise to a new premise provided that the following

conditions are met:

1. The new location is within Public Service's service territory;

2. The subscription does not exceed 100 percent of the customer's

load at the new location;

3. Should the subscription exceed the load of the new location, the

customer will pay a pro-rata portion of the penalty that applies

for early termination, calculated using the percentage decrease

in the subscribed amount multiplied by the full penalty amount

for the customer; and,

4. The original subscription term will continue to apply to the

transferred subscription.

V. Renewable Energy Credits ("REC")

A. <u>Certification:</u>

Public Service will certify RECs associated with the energy under the

Program via Green-e.

B. <u>Retirement:</u>

Renewable\*Connect subscribers may choose one of the following two

options for how the RECs will be handled on their behalf:

1. Public Service will retire RECs on the customer's behalf allowing

the customer to claim the environmental attributes associated

& 16A-0139E Page 49 of 89

with its subscription; or

2. The customer may elect to have Public Service transfer RECs to

> subscriber's Western Renewable Energy Generation

Information System ("WREGIS") account commensurate with

their subscription. Any customer electing to take possession of

the RECs must register with WREGIS and assume the financial

obligations under WREGIS associated with RECs in their

account.

VI. Bill Charges

The Renewable\*Connect Bill Charge shall include: (1) the cost of the

resource in the Purchase Power Agreement ("PPA") as executed by Public Service;

(2) Solar Integration costs as updated through the 2016 Electric Resource Plan in

Phase I; and (3) the Program's administrative costs, marketing, and IT costs. The

Bill Charge shall be updated on an annual basis to reflect changes in PPA charges,

Program administration costs, and IT costs. The risk factors applied to each of the

terms will remain as proposed by the Company in its rebuttal case. 20 In each annual

Advice Letter the Company will represent the respective cost allocation associated

with the program cost to the respective tariff term offering.

VII. **Bill Credit** 

The Renewable\*Connect Bill Credit will be calculated using a Qualifying

<sup>20</sup> Direct Testimony of Steven W. Wishart page 18 line 14 through page 19 line 13 and Rebuttal

Testimony of Steven W. Wishart page 19 line 9 through page 20 line 5.

> & 16A-0139E Page 50 of 89

Facility ("QF") methodology<sup>21</sup> as follows:

A. The avoided energy credit will be calculated based on the approved small

QF energy component per Decision No. R15-1177, with the exception that

the marginal energy cost would be based on an annual 8,760 hour

forward-looking calculation based on a 50 MW resource. The energy

benefit will not include any line loss savings.

B. The avoided energy credit will be updated annually.

C. The avoided capacity credit will be calculated based on the 2018 projection

of a 50 MW solar resource over the following ten (10) years starting in

2018. This capacity credit will be locked in for the term of the PPA.

VIII. Unsubscribed Energy

Public Service will sink the Renewable\*Connect energy that is unsubscribed

to the system at the Unsubscribed Energy Rate, which will be calculated as follows:

A. The energy component of the Unsubscribed Energy Rate will be

calculated consistent with the energy benefit for the Bill Credit described

in subsection VII.

B. The capacity component of the Unsubscribed Energy Rate will be

calculated consistent with the capacity benefit for the Bill Credit described

in subsection VII.c. The capacity rate will be locked down for the life of the

PPA.

All unsubscribed energy will be sunk to the system at the rate detailed above

and the associated RECs will be transferred to the general pool of RECs. The rate

The parties agree to discuss further as part of the Future Voluntary Renewable Programs Stakeholder Group the appropriate methodology to utilize to provide a bill credit for future voluntary programs.

21

& 16A-0139E Page 51 of 89

at which this energy is sunk to the system will be assessed to the ECA.

Public Service agrees to only charge for unsubscribed energy at the lower of

(1) the PPA cost plus the administrative, marketing, and IT costs or (2) the

Unsubscribed Energy Rate described above.

IX. Risk and Excess Revenues

Public Service will be allowed to retain certain excess revenues associated

with the Renewable\*Connect Program. "Excess revenues" shall mean the total

annual revenues collected under the Program minus Program costs (including PPA,

administration, marketing, and IT costs).

Public Service agrees to limit the amount of excess revenues it is able to

retain under the Renewable\*Connect Program to its prevailing weighted average

cost of capital ("WACC"). Public Service agrees to limit the retained excess

revenues under this provision to those associated with program revenues paid

through customer subscriptions. In other words, revenues from unsubscribed

energy will not be included in the excess revenue limit calculation. This calculation

will be performed annually. Excess program revenues above that to which Public

Service is allowed to retain shall be credited back to customers through the

Renewable Energy Standard Adjustment ("RESA").

X. Program Marketing/Management

To address various competitive concerns that have been raised regarding the

Program, Pubic Service agrees to the following:

As proposed in its Direct Testimony, Public Service will provide a common

platform web site landing page for all of the Company's voluntary renewable

& 16A-0139E Page 52 of 89

energy programs (Windsource®, Solar\*Rewards, Solar\*Rewards Community,

and Renewable\*Connect) where customers may access information on all

four (4) programs.

In addition to the common landing page on Public Service's website, Public

Service will also utilize this common landing page when providing marketing

materials for Renewable\*Connect as well as mention the other options

customers have access to in these marketing materials.

Public Service will not market Renewable\*Connect to existing Solar\*Rewards

or Solar\*Rewards Community participants with one exception. To the extent

a customer has a Key Account manager, that Key Account manager is

permitted to provide the customer with information regarding all of the

programs available to that customer, including Renewable\*Connect, even if

one or more of that customer's premises in Public Service's service territory

is subscribed to Solar\*Rewards or Solar\*Rewards Community. Existing

Solar\*Rewards or Solar\*Rewards Community customers will not be

precluded from participating in Renewable\*Connect. Key account managers

shall not be incentivized by the Company to subscribe Renewable\*Connect.

To further address competitive concerns, Public Service commits to enable

online sign up of Net Metering only requests for solar installations.

Through the Existing Voluntary Renewable Programs Stakeholder group, the

parties will discuss the timeline for enabling customer download of forms

through the My Account portal that prepopulate or aggregate the necessary

customer information to inform an investigation by the customer into a rooftop

& 16A-0139E Page 53 of 89

solar, or community solar option, including consent to disclose forms and

resulting customer data.

To provide additional insight, Public Service agrees to maintain a waiting list

of customers who have indicated a desire to participate in

Renewable\*Connect but are unable to because the program is fully

subscribed. Reporting on the size (in terms of number of customers and

potential subscription amounts) of the waiting list by rate class will be

provided in the annual reporting of the Renewable\*Connect program.

Data Access and Personnel Clarification - Public Service will ensure

Company resources that work to complete Solar\*Rewards or Solar\*Rewards

Community interconnections are not also working to subscribe

Renewable\*Connect, provided, however, that this restriction does not apply

to Key Account managers as described above. Access to Data and

Information limitations will be as filed in Public Service's testimony.

XI. Program Reporting

Public Service will file with the Commission annual reports including total

program expenditures, total revenues, number of participants, program term

capacities, unsubscribed energy volumes, excess revenue applied to the RESA,

participation by rate class, and number and capacity of customers on the waitlist.

The annual tariff filing to update the factors of the Renewable\*Connect Bill

Charge/Bill Credit will be made on or before November 15 of each year to be

effective January 1st of each subsequent year.

& 16A-0139E Page 54 of 89

Attachment D to this filing reflects the clean copy of the tariffs implementing Renewable\*Connect and Attachment E reflects the redline version of the tariffs.

## Settlement of the 2017-2019 RE Plan (Proceeding No. 16A-0139E)

#### I. Introduction

The Settling Parties<sup>22</sup> request that the Commission approve Public Service's 2017 RE Plan, as modified by this Settlement Agreement. The Settling Parties agree that the 2017 RE Plan, as proposed by the Company in its application and modified by the following commitments, establishes a framework for the expansion of eligible energy resources in a measured and prudent manner that both addresses growing customer preferences for renewable energy offerings and adds renewable energy to Public Service's system in an economically reasonable way. Attachment H provides the estimated impact of the Settlement Agreement on the RESA balances.

#### II. Solar\*Rewards

### **Annual Capacity**

The Settling Parties agree that the Company will have the following capacity targets (in MW) for its Solar\*Rewards program for the 2017-2019 Compliance Years:

Program	2017 Capacity	2018 Capacity	2019 Capacity	Total RES Capacity	
Sm Opt A	24	24	24	123	
Sm Opt B	9	18	24	123	
Medium	24	24	24	72	
Large	6	10	14	30	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The following are Settling Parties of the Settlement Agreement for the 2017 RE Plan: Staff, OCC, Denver, Boulder, Clean Energy, CEO, COSEIA, EFCA, EOC, Grid Alternatives, Ormat, Sunrun, and WRA. The following are Opposing Parties of the Settlement Agreement for the 2017 RE Plan: SunShare. The following are Non-Opposing Parties of the Settlement Agreement for the 2017 RE Plan: Climax and Interwest.

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 55 of 89

<b>Total Program Capacity</b>	63	76	86	225

## 1. Small Solar\*Rewards Program

Option A is the standard Solar\*Rewards program for small (under 25 kW) rooftop systems that has existed for several years. The Settling Parties agree that the distinction between customer-owned and third-party-owned systems shall be eliminated, and that Public Service shall offer capacity for this Option at 2 MW per month.

Option B is a new Small Solar\*Rewards program offering designed to operate in parallel with the Company's Schedule RD-TDR pilot as agreed to in the Phase II portion of this Settlement Agreement. The Settling Parties anticipate that a ramp-up period for customer and industry education will be needed in association with this offering and therefore anticipates that Public Service will launch this Option in the second quarter of 2017. Capacities for Option B will increase over each subsequent year of the RE Plan, as shown in the table above. The Settling Parties further agree that in the event that at the end of calendar year 2017, the capacity allocated to this Option B has not been utilized, that capacity will be rolled into the capacity available in 2018 for the Option A program. Such rolled capacity will then be distributed evenly over 2018, on a monthly basis.<sup>23</sup> For calendar years 2018 and 2019, Public Service will evaluate on a quarterly basis whether the previous quarter's Option B capacity was fully subscribed. In the event that this capacity is not fully subscribed, it will be made available to the Option A offering, again evenly distributed in monthly tranches, over the subsequent quarter. In

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> By "evenly" the Settling Parties present the following example: 6 MW is "rolled" from Option B at the end of 2017 into Option A for calendar year 2018. The per month capacity limit in 2018 for Option A would therefore no longer be 2 MW, but would instead be 2.5 MW.

& 16A-0139E Page 56 of 89

the event that the RD-TDR pilot is not approved, the Sm Opt B capacity would be

eliminated.

2. Medium Solar\*Rewards Program

The Settling Parties agree that this program should be expanded to 24 MW a

year for each of the three (3) years in the Plan. This program is larger than in past years

to reflect potential program participation, as evidenced by reservation requests received

by Public Service. Recently, Public Service has received reservation requests of 12 MW

in a single quarter, which is equivalent to the total annual offering in this category during

the 2014-2016 RE Plan.<sup>24</sup>

The Medium Solar\*Rewards program will contain the following program

administration features:

a. Public Service will allocate the yearly allotment of capacity on a quarterly

basis.

b. Unsubscribed program capacity may roll from quarter to quarter.

c. A bid to utilize Medium Solar\*Rewards capacity will not be deemed

"subscribed" until Public Service has received all deposits required by the

participant.

d. Commercial and Industrial customers on service schedules with demand

charges (e.g., Schedules SG, PG, and TG) who install a solar system less

than 25 kW will be eligible for the Medium Solar\*Rewards program and

<sup>24</sup> The Settling Parties acknowledge because Public Service is offering a Large Solar\*Rewards program, as discussed further below, C&I customers who previously only had the Medium program option may

instead reserve capacity in the Large program.

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075

Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E, & 16A-0139E

Page 57 of 89

associated REC incentive. These customers will remain on their respective

service schedule for billing purposes.

In addition, the Settling Parties agree to continue discussions regarding

subscription and attrition associated with the Medium program through the Existing

Voluntary Renewable Programs Stakeholder Group as discussed in Attachment F to

this Settlement.

3. Large Solar\*Rewards Program

The Settling Parties agree that the Large Solar\*Rewards program will be

reestablished to give larger C&I customers an option for systems larger than 500 kW. A

Large Solar\*Rewards program has not been offered since 2012. No individual system

may be sized to exceed 120% of the customer's average annual electric consumption,

however no other restriction on the size of the project bid into the program, will be

imposed. The Company will roll any unsubscribed capacity from one year into the

following year.

B. REC Incentive Levels

The Settling Parties acknowledge that the increases in Solar\*Rewards capacities

agreed-to above will affect the number of systems for which REC incentives are paid.

The Settling Parties also recognize that the capacities for the Solar\*Rewards programs

are being increased due to demand for such programs, therefore the Settling Parties

agree to a reduction in the amount to incent participation with the use of RESA funding.

Page 58 of 89

& 16A-0139E

1. Small Solar\*Rewards program

The Small Solar\*Rewards program REC incentives (per kWh) agreed-to through this Settlement are as follows:

Year	Option A	Option B
2017	\$0.005	\$0.0500
2018	\$0.005	\$0.0475
2019	\$0.005	\$0.0350

The \$0.005/kWh REC incentive for Option A is a \$0.015 reduction in the amount currently authorized for customer-owned solar systems and a \$0.005 reduction in the amount currently authorized for third-party-owned systems, as compared to the 2014-2016 plan.

The incentives for Option B are based on participation in the RD-TDR pilot. The higher REC incentive for Option B participants is designed to offset expected bill increases associated with this rate design, while also providing an additional financial incentive intended to attract participation in the RD-TDR pilot. The settling parties agree this additional financial incentive to attract RD-TDR pilot participation should be reduced for 2018 and eliminated for 2019.

Public Service will engage with stakeholders in further discussions on how to achieve the goal of financial neutrality for the average customer in relation to REC incentives and the expiration of the Schedule RD-TDR pilot program.

2. Medium Solar\*Rewards program

The Medium Solar\*Rewards program REC incentives (per kWh) agreed-to through this Settlement are as follows:

Year	Medium	
2017	\$0.0475	
2018	\$0.0425	
2019	\$0.0375	

### 3. Large Solar\*Rewards program

The REC incentives ultimately paid for the Large Solar\*Rewards program will be determined through a competitive solicitation process. The Company will award Request for Proposals ("RFP") bids up to the annual capacity limit each year provided such bids are reasonable. Non-economic criteria will also remain part of Public Service's evaluation of the proposals.

#### C. Other Provision

As discussed in the Phase II settlement portion of this Settlement Agreement in Section II.B, clarification is provided regarding the ability of customers who are eligible for Schedule NM and PV to be able to also install a storage device behind the meter without impacting their eligibility for Schedule NM and PV.

### III. Solar\*Rewards Community

## A. <u>Annual Capacity</u>

Public Service presently has 18.1 MW of solar garden capacity online in its Solar\*Rewards Community program. Public Service recently awarded 30 MW of CSG RFPs for the 2015 Compliance Year. <sup>25</sup> In Proceeding No. 13A-0836E, Public Service and solar garden developers have received approval of a Settlement Agreement which contemplates the award of up to 60 MW of new solar garden capacity through its 2016

The Commission recently issued a final decision on the 2015 RFP process and, therefore, the Company will soon be proceeding with final execution of those contracts. See Proceeding No. 13A-0836E, Decision No. C16-0747.

& 16A-0139E

Page 60 of 89

RFPs.<sup>26</sup> Public Service anticipates this RFP process will begin in the third quarter of this

year.

Through this Agreement, the Settling Parties request that Public Service be

allowed to acquire up to 105 MW of additional CSGs in the 2017-2019 Compliance

Years, not including a separate process which will be conducted for 100% low-income

CSGs. The low-income CSG provisions discussed at further length below in Section

IV.B will allow Public Service to add an additional 4 MW per year, thereby potentially

increasing the total CSG capacity that Public Service may acquire in the 2017-2019

timeframe up to 117 MW.

The Commission is charged with setting minimum and maximum levels by which

Public Service will purchase the output of CSGs. The Settling Parties agree the

minimum amount Public Service should be required to award in the 2017-2019

Compliance Years be increased from 6.5 MW, in effect during the 2014-2016

Compliance Years, to 15 MW per year for the term of this RE Plan. The Settling Parties

also agree the Company should be directed to acquire up to a maximum of 30 MW in

2017, 35 MW in 2018, and 40 MW in 2019 through competitive solicitations and

standard offers, not including the additional 4 MW of dedicated low-income CSG

capacity the Company may acquire annually.

In summation, the Settling Parties seek Commission approval for the following

annual CSG acquisition levels:

This includes 30 MW for 2016 and 30 MW for 2014.

	2017	2018	2019	Total
Minimum	15	15	15	45
Maximum <sup>27</sup>	30	35	40	105
100% Low-Income CSGs	4	4	4	12
Combined Maximum	34	39	44	117

## B. <u>CSG Bill Credit</u>

The parties support continuation of a class average bill credit for all customer classes as recently approved in Proceeding No. 13A-0836E, Decision No. C16-0747. This approach shall be reflected in the Company's CSG tariff, and the Settling Parties request further waiver of Rule 3665(c)(I) for the term of this RES Plan in the Motion for Waivers in Support of the Settlement Agreement.

# C. <u>Grid Information for Interconnection</u>

The Settling Parties recognize that understanding current distribution constraints on Public Service's system could provide several benefits, including assisting CSG developers in siting CSG facilities. Public Service commits to developing a study to make available possible interconnection points on the system for CSGs via a red-light green-light type of demarcation. The details of such a study will be discussed further in the Distribution Grid and Interconnection Stakeholder group as noted in Attachment F. The Settling Parties agree that Public Service should receive deferred accounting treatment for the monies needed to complete this study. The study will be capped at a cost of \$250,000 without further approval. The recovery methodology (e.g., through the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> As described below, of this Maximum amount, 1) 0.5 MW per year will be set aside for a Non-Low-Income Standard Offer; 2) up-to 2 MW per year of this capacity may be used by the Company to own low-income CSG; and 3) 0.5 MW per year will be set aside for a low-income standard offer.

Page 62 of 89

& 16A-0139E

RESA or base rates) of amounts included in this regulatory asset will be determined at

the time the Company requests such recovery through rates.

D. **Non Low-Income Standard Offer** 

Of the maximum amount of the CSG program, 0.5 MW will be set aside annually

for a standard offer CSG offering. The maximum size for each individual CSG for the

standard offer shall be 100 kW. In recognition of this size limitation, the REC incentive

to be paid to the standard offer participants will be the average annual awarded REC

plus \$0.02/kWh. The REC incentive for the standard offer shall be paid on a production

basis. The maximum size for each individual CSG for the standard offer shall be 100

kW.

E. RFP

1. Evaluation criteria

The Company will use a variety of factors including, but not limited to, cost,

location, geographic diversity, innovative project features, and whether a project is

focused on serving higher levels of low income customers, in selecting CSGs.

Notwithstanding the provisions below addressing (1) the potential for Public Service to

own low-income CSGs and (2) a 4 MW set-aside for 100 percent low-income CSGs to

be awarded through a separate process, Public Service is open to evaluating and

accepting bids in the general CSG solicitation that offer a higher REC price in order to

incent projects that provide higher levels of low-income participation, provided that any

low-income minimum proposed through this solicitation, as well as through the low-

income solicitation, must be maintained through the life of the Solar\*Rewards

Community contract. Public Service will publicize to interested bidders at the initial RFP

> & 16A-0139E Page 63 of 89

bidders' meeting, on the RFP website, and in relevant documentation, that these factors

will be considered in scoring bids. In the Company's annual Renewable Energy

Compliance report, the Company will provide a summary explanation of the prior

compliance year's awarded CSGs and the basis for selection if other than price.

2. 2 MW Clarification

CSGs are defined in Colorado statute<sup>28</sup> and Commission Rules<sup>29</sup> as facilities

limited to 2 MW in size. To give effect to this size restriction in the RFP process, the

Settling Parties agree to the clarifications contained in this section. In response to a

single annual Request for Proposal issued by the Company, the location of CSGs may

not result in more than 2 MWs of commonly owned total capacity of CSGs energized

within a 0.5 mile distance as measured from point of interconnection30 to point of

interconnection for rural CSGs.31 In urban areas the distance between points of

interconnection between commonly owned CSG will be maintained at 0.5 miles;

however, the capacity allowed within this distance will be increased to 4.0 MW.

Furthermore, each awarded CSG must be contained on its own legal parcel of land.

Ownership shall be considered common ownership where awarded CSGs have

common ownership arrangements (including through legal affiliates or partnerships

other than common debt or tax equity partners). However, in subsequent years, the

same developer would be eligible to bid and be awarded a CSG within 0.5 miles so long

§40-2-127(2)(b)(I)(A), C.R.S.

Rule 3652(e). 4 C.C.R. 723-3.

 $^{30}$  For the purposes of this agreement, an interconnection point is defined as the location of equipment

where energy is transferred from a CSG to Public Service.

<sup>31</sup> For the purposes of this agreement, an area classified as "rural" by the Census Bureau – i.e., areas that are not classified as urban by the Census bureau. See

https://www.census.gov/geo/reference/ua/urbanrural-2010.html

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075

Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E, & 16A-0139E

Page 64 of 89

as the later vintage CSG has its own point of interconnection and separate parcels of

land.

F. <u>Negative RECs</u>

The Settling Parties have agreed to leave unresolved the question of whether

negative REC price bids are appropriate from a legal or policy standpoint.

IV. <u>Low Income Considerations</u>

A. <u>Low-Income Rooftop Solar program</u>

Public Service will partner with CEO to create a low-income rooftop solar

offering. The objectives of the initiative are to: (1) reduce participating low-income

customers' bills, thereby decreasing their electric energy cost burden; and (2) increase

access to distributed generation for customers that currently have limited opportunity to

utilize existing voluntary renewable programs.

1. Administration and Financing:

The program will be administered by the CEO in partnership with Public

Service. The CEO will contract with its existing weatherization or solar installation

partners to install rooftop solar PV on qualified low-income-occupied dwellings located

within the Company's service area as part of a package that includes both solar and

weatherization measures.

CEO will fund the initial installation of the solar PV system using:

a. Department of Energy ("DOE") funds of up to \$3,545 per home to

offset the cost of the solar PV system.

& 16A-0139E Page 65 of 89

b. Public Service will provide an upfront incentive of \$2.00 per installed

watt to offset the remaining costs of the solar PV system. These

incentives will be paid from the RESA account.

c. In addition, Public Service will provide a production-based incentive

equal to \$0.034/kWh for the electricity generated by the PV system.

Public Service will retain all RECs generated from the solar systems. And, all net

metering credits will be used to offset the low-income customers' electricity bill in

accordance with the net metering structure outlined in Commission Rule 3664.

2. Eligibility:

CEO will analyze participation metrics to ensure that participating premises are

low-income homes with a high probability of remaining low-income occupied for the

useful life of the PV system, and where low-income inhabitants will reap the highest

benefits from a solar PV system. This includes:

A preference for non-profit owned properties or housing providers with long-

term agreements to serve low-income customers;

Homes with high solar capacity factors;

Customers with high average electricity use; and/or

Customers without access to low-income CSG offerings.

3. Assignment:

All application and contract documents issued by the CEO to low-income

weatherization customers will include language specifying that all Solar\*Rewards

upfront incentives and production based incentives issued by Public Service to the low-

income customer will be assigned to the CEO.

> & 16A-0139E Page 66 of 89

4. Relationship to Weatherization:

Rooftop solar systems will be installed on dwellings that are also receiving

weatherization measures. The solar PV system will be installed in addition to all other

cost-effective weatherization measures, and will serve as an additional tool to decrease

a low-income customer's bill. CEO will work with its qualifying partners to ensure that

participating homes meet all eligibility requirements, and will only install rooftop solar

systems at low-income homes where the savings to investment ratio ("SIR") meets DOE

requirements.

5. Project size:

The Low-Income Rooftop Solar program will be scaled up over three years for no

more than a total of 300 systems. In year one no more than seventy-five (75) systems

will be installed; in year two 100 systems will be installed, and in year three 125 systems

may be installed. The program parameters are listed below:

a. CEO and the Company will phase-in this 3-year project. CEO and the

Company will assess the performance at the end of years 1 and 2 against

pre-determined criteria (listed below). If the program achieves the thresholds

in those criteria, the project will continue into the next year.

b. Each installed system will have a maximum size of 3.5 kW.

c. Performance Criteria:

All DOE funding available per project will be utilized first, to best leverage

the RESA-supported incentives.

Installed systems will yield at least a 1.0 SIR calculation using the DOE

approved methodology.

& 16A-0139E Page 67 of 89

The CEO subgrantees, using either in-house labor or sub-contracted

labor, will install at least ninety (90) percent of the number of systems

proposed for the year.

All homes receiving PV systems will receive the full list of DOE-approved

energy efficiency measures (all measures with an SIR of 1.0 or greater),

within the established CEO/DOE program parameters for determining

measures to install.

PV systems installed will yield a weighted average generation capacity

factor of at least fourteen (14) percent.

6. Annual Reporting:

The CEO shall provide an annual summary of the Low-Income Rooftop Solar

program to be included in the Company's annual RES Report. The CEO shall provide

this summary to the Company thirty (30) days prior to the due date of the RES Report.

The report shall include the following:

• A description with specificity of how the program has met the objectives of

reducing bills for participating low-income customers and how the program has

increased access to distributed generation for these customers.

The total number of rooftop solar systems that serve low-income residents

installed under this program, and the subtotals of installations on: (a) customer-

owned premises, (b) non-profit owned properties, and (c) by housing providers.

For the latter, the report shall include detail concerning the length of the long-

term low-income occupancy agreements.

& 16A-0139E Page 68 of 89

The average contribution per customer from RESA funds and DOE

weatherization funds utilized to install distributed generation including the SIR.

Information by zip code concerning (a) the number of low-income customers that

have had rooftop solar installed, and (b) the number of low-income customers

eligible for receiving weatherization.

7. Stakeholder group:

The CEO commits to reporting on program implementation as part of the low-

income participation sub-group within the "Existing Voluntary Renewable Programs

Stakeholder Group" outlined in Attachment F.

B. <u>Solar\*Rewards Community</u>

Commission Rule 3665(d)(IV) requires that at least five (5) percent of energy

from CSGs be provided to low-income subscribers. The Settling Parties have

considered methods to make CSG more readily available to low-income customers and

agree to the following provisions in order to meet and potentially exceed the 5 percent

minimum subscription in this RE Plan.

1. Low-Income Customer Definition Clarification

Section 40-3-106, C.R.S., specifies that a "reasonable preference" may be

provided for low-income customers. Furthermore, the statute defines a low-income

customer to be at or below 185% of the Federal Poverty Line. The Settling Parties

agree nonprofit affordable housing buildings or public housing authority buildings<sup>32</sup> will

be considered "low-income subscribers" so long as: (1) the building's residents meet the

<sup>32</sup> Including homes and multi-family residential buildings.

\_

> & 16A-0139E Page 69 of 89

"low-income" definition set forth in § 40-3-106, C.R.S.; and (2) the housing authority

provides verifiable information that these residents are the beneficiaries of the CSG

subscription(s).

2. 5% Minimum Requirement

To date, the low-income CSG availability obligation has been met through a five

(5) percent low-income subscription requirement for each individual CSG. However,

this approach has proven inefficient. To improve low-income customers' access to

CSGs, the Settling Parties agree to the following:

a. The Company will assume the five (5) percent low-income subscription

obligation through ownership of dedicated low-income CSGs.

b. These facilities will be subject to all other CSG requirements applicable to

other CSG facilities (2 MW maximum, etc.).

c. The capacity of these gardens will be included in the maximum requirement

outlined in section 3(a) above.

d. The Company will receive an incentive for RECs generated by Company-

owned CSGs equal to the standard offer REC incentive for low-income

gardens as detailed below in subpart (iii) for each annual RFP.

e. The Company will not seek recovery for its investment in such CSGs through

base rates.

3. Low-Income RFP

Additionally, on an annual basis the Company will solicit through the RFP

process up to 4 MW of CSGs that commit to provide 100% of their output to qualified

low-income customers. This capacity amounts is in addition to the "maximum" amounts

Page 70 of 89

& 16A-0139E

each year as reflected in the Table in Section 3(a), which includes the five (5) percent

minimum low-income CSG rules requirement.

The entity submitting a CSG into this segment of the RFP may submit a bid in

one of two forms.

The vendor may submit a production based incentive over the twenty (20) -

year term of the CSG contract. For example, such a bid would include a

dollar per REC rate paid as the awarded CSG produces energy over the

twenty (20) - year term of the CSG.

In the alternative, the vendor may submit a bid with the production incentive

paid on an up-front basis. In this instance, the bid would include a dollar per

REC rate that would be multiplied by the projected energy production over the

life of the CSG contract and paid to the awarded CSG provider within the first

quarter that the awarded CSG begins production. The production would be

estimated by the bidder and such estimation will be verified by the Company

through information provided by the bidder prior to interconnection approval.

The Company will utilize a net present value comparison of the provided bids into

the low-income CSG RFP, at a discount rate to be specified in each RFP, to rank the

costs of the CSGs on a comparable basis. All reasonable bids up to and including 4

MW will be accepted. The incentive payments of the approved bids will be charged to

the Renewable Energy Standard Adjustment ("RESA"). Once all reasonable bids up to

and including 4 MW have been selected, the Company is not precluded from accepting

additional CSG bids with low-income subscribers. However, any capacity amount

Attachment A Decision No. C16-1075

Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E, & 16A-0139E

Page 71 of 89

above the 4 MW Low-Income RFP will count toward the maximum capacity of the CSG

program.

To the extent that additional commitments that benefit low-income subscribers

and low-income communities are included in a CSG bid and the entity submitting the bid

provides a viable method for verification of the same, such additional commitments will

be taken into account in the bid evaluation process. Additional commitments may

include, but are not limited to: (1) percentage of expected electric utility bill reduction for

the low-income customer, (2) provision of solar installation job training for low-income

individuals at the bid CSG, and (3) coordination with installation of energy efficiency

measures. Any entity providing additional commitments to a CSG bid, if awarded, will

provide proof to the Company via a Company established methodology on an annual

basis, as applicable to the specific measure. In the event the entity providing the

additional bid commitments does not provide adequate proof of delivery of the

commitments or does not produce the amount of energy made in the awarded bid, the

Company may seek a cure from the developer or take that factor into consideration in

future offerings from that same entity up to and including rejection of that entity's bid for

past non-compliance.

Bidding information by vendor is considered Highly Confidential. The Settling

Parties seek additional review of low-income bids, prior to bids being awarded, for the

successful expansion of the CSG program to low-income customers, and assurance

that additional commitments that benefit low-income customers are incorporated in the

bid evaluation. Therefore, the Company and the Staff will confer regarding: (1) the

reasonableness of the bids received, (2) the Company's evaluation, and (3) the

& 16A-0139E

Page 72 of 89

Company's recommendation for awards following the CSG low-income RFP and prior to

awards being issued.

4. Low-Income Standard Offer

Of the maximum amount of the CSG program, 0.5 MW will be set aside annually

for a low-income standard offer. The REC incentive to be paid for standard offer

participants will be the average annual awarded REC for the low-income CSG RFP plus

\$0.01/kWh. The REC incentive for the standard offer shall be paid on an up-front basis

within the first guarter that the CSG is providing energy to the system. The maximum

size of each individual CSG for the standard offer shall be 100 kW, and eligible CSGs

shall commit to provide 100% of their output to qualified low-income customers.

V. **Windsource**®

The Settling Parties agree the current Windsource® premium price will be

reduced to \$1.50 per 100 kilowatt-hour block, or 1.5¢/kWh, on a market basis as

described by the Company in its 2017 RE Plan direct testimony and attachments.<sup>33</sup> As

discussed in the Solar\*Connect section of the Settlement above, the Company agrees

to explore the future of the Windsource® program (including its goals and possible

consolidation with Renewable\*Connect) through the Future Voluntary Renewable

Program Stakeholder Group discussions in advance of the next RE Plan filing as

discussed Attachment F to this Settlement. The Windsource® tariff revisions, and

changes in other tariffs associated with those changes, are included in Attachment D to

this Settlement.

See Direct Testimony and Attachments of Public Service witness Kerry Klemm.

& 16A-0139E

Page 73 of 89

VI. Recycled Energy

The Settling Parties agree to revise Schedule RE as shown in the agreed-upon

Schedule RE, included in Attachment D to this Settlement. The following items are the

principal substantive revisions to the Company's proposals originally presented in its

2017 RE Plan:

Recycled Energy generators may be either owned or leased by the customer.

Recycled Energy generators may be constructed to offset more than 100% of

a customer's load. Excess energy generated by a Recycled Energy

generator up to 120 percent of a customer's annual on-site consumption will

be compensated at \$0.043 in accordance with previous Commission

decisions.

In the event that a customer's recycled energy system produces excess

energy over 120 percent of a customer's annual on-site consumption, the

customer may sell such excess energy over 120 percent of a customer's

annual on-site consumption to Public Service provided that it has requisite

authority from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") to make

a wholesale sale of energy to Public Service. Excess energy generated

beyond 120 percent of a customer's annual on-site consumption will be

compensated at rates as negotiated through a PPA.

A customer also retains the right to sell excess energy at wholesale to third

parties, although in such event, transmission service must be arranged and

paid for pursuant to Public Service's FERC-jurisdictional open-access

transmission tariff.

& 16A-0139E Page 74 of 89

• Upon written request for interconnection, the Company will evaluate the

request pursuant to its small generator interconnect process as described in

the tariffs.

Amounts over avoided costs will be recovered through the RESA, based on a

RES/no-RES analysis.

Standby rates under Schedule RE reflect two weeks of unforced outages and

four weeks of scheduled maintenance. Fluctuations in RE system output of

up to twenty (20) percent of Contract Standby Capacity that occur outside of

the hours of noon to 8 p.m. Mountain Time on non-holiday weekdays will not

count against the amount of Annual Grace Energy.

Clarifications have been added to Schedule RE regarding the terms and

conditions of scheduled maintenance.

Corrections have been added to Schedule RE G&T Standby Capacity

Reservation Fees.

When calculating the credit per kWh over ten (10) years necessary to provide

the \$500 per kW incentive, the Company will apply a discount rate equal to

the after-tax weighted average cost of capital.

The Company will pay customer incentives for the twenty (20) year term over

ten (10) years as described in detail in the Recycled Energy Incentive

Contract.

Given the escalation of incentive payments over ten (10) years, the Company

retains the right to seek liquidated damages if the Recycled Energy generator

ceases to operate before the number of hours for which it is receiving

& 16A-0139E Page 75 of 89

incentive payments is reached. This same protection has been afforded to the

Company and its customers in the Solar\*Rewards contracts, wherein

advanced payment commitments were made. The Recycled Energy Incentive

Contract details how liquidated damage calculations will be performed.<sup>34</sup>

VII. Retail Rate Impact

A. Lock down the incremental costs of resource for the term of the

planning period (2017-2026)

The Settling Parties agree that Eligible Energy Resources which were previously

locked down are now reset under this RE Plan and allocated for cost recovery through

the ECA/RESA based on the new model runs for the ten (10)-year planning period

defined in Commission Rule 3661(f).

B. Evaluation in Next RE Plan Filing

In addition to its required RES/No-RES reporting, in its next RE Plan filing, the

Company will present a comparison of the RES/No-RES cost analysis of the locked

down resources as set through this Settlement, to a RES/No-RES analysis based on

market conditions at the time of the next RE Plan filing.

Other Settlement Commitments

1. All tariff changes resulting from this Settlement will be effective January 1, 2017.

2. Public Service will file a complete set of tariffs in compliance with this Settlement

by December 2, 2016, for implementation on January 1, 2017. This filing will

include a revenue proof similar in form to Attachment SWW-2 to the Direct

Testimony of Steven W. Wishart

<sup>34</sup> The Company will file the updated contract provisions, as well as updated RFP documents, as part of

its Opening Testimony on the Settlement Agreement.

& 16A-0139E Page 76 of 89

3. The Settling Parties recognize that certain provisions of the Settlement

Agreement may require waiver of Commission Rules. The Settling Parties will

support any waiver requests and cooperate in preparing a separate motion to

request any such required waiver. The Settling Parties will file such motion -

Joint Motion Requesting Waivers in Support of the Settlement Agreement - within

ten (10) days of the date of the filing of the Settlement Agreement.

4. Concurrent with this Settlement Agreement, the Settling Parties will jointly file a

motion to approve this Settlement Agreement, requesting consolidation of the

three proceedings and establishing procedures for the Commission's

consideration of this Settlement Agreement en banc. However, all parties

understand a particular Settling Party is not deemed to have joined in the Motion

to Approve the Settlement Agreement to the extent that it has reserved the right

to oppose the Settlement Agreement's resolution of a particular Proceeding.

**General Provisions** 

1. Each Settling Party understands and agrees that this Settlement Agreement

represents a negotiated resolution of all issues that the Settling Party either

raised or could have raised in the Proceeding(s) to which it is a party. Each

Settling Party understands that the Commission's approval of this Settlement

Agreement shall constitute a determination that the Settlement Agreement

represents a just, equitable, and reasonable resolution of these issues. Each

Settling Party additionally agrees not to contest the Settlement Agreement

insofar as it resolves issues in any of the Proceedings to which it was not

originally a party. Accordingly, the Settling Parties state that reaching resolution

Page 77 of 89

& 16A-0139E

of these issues through this negotiated Settlement Agreement is in the public

interest and that the results of the compromises and agreements reflected in the

Settlement Agreement are just, reasonable, and in the public interest; provided,

however, that it is understood that some Settling Parties have expressly reserved

the right to contest the Settlement Agreement with respect to its resolution of

specified Proceedings as shown in the Party/Proceeding Table above. The

Settling Parties recognize that any party to the three Proceedings that has not

become a Settling Party may contest the entirety of the Settlement Agreement.

2. Each Settling Party agrees to make available at hearing (1) any witness that filed

pre-filed testimony in any of the Proceedings if called by Opposing Parties or

parties not joining in this Settlement Agreement, or (2) any witnesses the Settling

Party may sponsor to file testimony addressing this Settlement Agreement. Each

Settling Party agrees to reasonably seek approval of this Settlement Agreement

before the Commission against challenges that may be made by non-executing

parties or Opposing Parties. Notwithstanding the foregoing, where a party is

Settling Party for some Proceeding(s) but an Opposing Party for other

Proceedings, as identified in the Party/Proceeding Table above, this provision

shall only limit the testimony that party may sponsor that relates to the

Proceeding(s) the party is agreeing to settle. In no event, however, shall a

Settling Party oppose the Settlement Agreement's resolution of a Proceeding to

which the Settling Party did not intervene prior to consolidation.

3. The Settling Parties agree that all pre-filed testimony and exhibits in any of the

Proceedings submitted prior to the filing of this Settlement Agreement by any

& 16A-0139E

Page 78 of 89

party (whether or not the party supports this Settlement Agreement) shall be

admitted into evidence. All Settling Parties agree they may not cross-examine a

witness on an issue where the witness supports their position (i.e., no "friendly

cross"). A Settling Party may, however, cross-examine the witness of Opposing

Parties (which may include those parties that are Settling Parties for only certain

Proceedings).

4. Except as expressly stated herein, nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall

resolve any principle or establish any precedent or settled practice. Moreover,

nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall constitute an admission by any

Settling Party of the correctness or general applicability of any claim, defense,

rule, or interpretation of law, allegation of fact, regulatory policy, or principle

underlying or thought to underlie this Settlement Agreement or any of its

provisions in this or any other ongoing or future proceeding. As a consequence,

no Settling Party in any future negotiations or proceedings whatsoever (other

than any proceeding involving the honoring, enforcing, or construing of this

Settlement Agreement in those proceedings specified in this Settlement

Agreement, and only to the extent so specified) shall be bound or prejudiced by

any provision of this Settlement Agreement. 5.

5. The discussions among the parties that produced this Settlement Agreement

have been conducted with the understanding, pursuant to Colorado law, that all

offers of settlement, and discussions relating thereto, are and shall be privileged,

inadmissible, and without prejudice to the position of any party. Such

& 16A-0139E

Page 79 of 89

communications shall not be used in any manner in connection with this or any

other proceeding.

6.

This Settlement Agreement shall not become effective until the issuance of a

final Commission Order approving the Settlement Agreement, which Order does

not contain any modification of the terms and conditions of this Settlement

Agreement as they may apply to any of the three Proceedings that is

unacceptable to any of the Settling Parties. In the event the Commission modifies

this Settlement Agreement in a manner unacceptable to any Settling Party, that

Settling Party shall have the right to withdraw from this Agreement and proceed

to hearing on any issue(s) that may be appropriately raised by that Settling Party

in any applicable Proceeding. The withdrawing Settling Party shall notify the

Commission and the Settling Parties to this Settlement Agreement by e-mail

within three business days of the Commission modification that the party is

withdrawing from the Settlement Agreement and that the party desires to

proceed to hearing; the e-mail notice shall designate the precise issue or issues

on which the party desires rehearing (the "Hearing Notice").

7. The withdrawal of a Settling Party shall not automatically terminate this

Agreement as to any other party. However, within three (3) business days of the

date of the Hearing Notice from the first withdrawing party, all Settling Parties

shall confer to arrive at for each of the Proceedings a comprehensive list of

issues that shall proceed to hearing and a list of issues that remain settled as a

result of the first party's withdrawal from this Settlement Agreement. Within (5)

five business days of the date of the Hearing Notice, the Settling Parties shall file

& 16A-0139E

Page 80 of 89

with the Commission in each Proceeding a formal notice containing the list of

issues that shall proceed to hearing and those issues that remain settled together

with a proposed procedural schedule. The Settling Parties who proceed to

hearing shall have and be entitled to exercise all rights with respect to the issues

that are heard that they would have had in the absence of this Settlement

Agreement.

8. All Parties have had the opportunity to participate in the drafting of this

Settlement Agreement and the term sheet upon which it was based. There shall

be no legal presumption that any specific Settling Party was the drafter of this

Settlement Agreement.

9. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which when

taken together shall constitute the entire Settlement Agreement with respect to

the issues addressed by this Agreement.

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 81 of 89

### By: <u>/s/ William M. Dudley</u>

William M. Dudley, #26735
Assistant General Counsel – Lead
Carla Scarsella, #47396
Assistant General Counsel
Christopher M. Irby, #35778

Assistant General Counsel 1800 Larimer Street, Suite 1100

Denver, Colorado 80202

Telephone: (303) 294-2842 (Dudley)
Telephone: (303) 294-2504 (Irby)
Telephone: (303) 294-2556 (Scarsella)
Email: <a href="mailto:bill.dudley@xcelenergy.com">bill.dudley@xcelenergy.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:carla.scarsella@xcelenergy.com">carla.scarsella@xcelenergy.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:christopher.m.irby@xcelenergy.com">christopher.m.irby@xcelenergy.com</a>

# ATTORNEYS FOR PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO

### By: /s/ Michael J. Santisi

Anne K. Botterud, 20726 First Assistant Attorney General

Michael J. Santisi, 29673\*
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Jean S. Watson-Weidner, 21036
Assistant Attorney General
Paul J. Kyed, 37814\*
Assistant Attorney General
Revenue and Utilities Section
Ralph L. Carr Colorado Judicial Center
1300 Broadway, 8th Floor
Denver CO 80203

Telephone: (720) 508-6331 Fax: (720) 508-6038

Email: anne.botterud@coag.gov

### ATTORNEYS FOR TRIAL STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 82 of 89

#### By: /s/ Cindy Schonhaut

Cindy Schonhaut

Director

Office of Consumer Counsel 1560 Broadway, Suite 200

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (720) 894-2224

Email: cindy.schnohaut@state.co.us

#### By: /s/ Thomas F. Dixon

Thomas F. Dixon, #500 First Assistant Attorney General Office of Consumer Counsel 1300 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (720) 508-6214

Email: <a href="mailto:thomas.dixon@coag.gov">thomas.dixon@coag.gov</a>

### ATTORNEY FOR OFFICE OF CONSUMER COUNSEL

#### By: /s/ Debra S. Kalish

Debra S. Kalish, #18858 Senior Assistant City Attorney City of Boulder Box 791 1771 Broadway

Boulder, CO 80306-0791 Telephone: (303) 441-3020

Email: kalishd@bouldercolorado.gov

#### ATTORNEY FOR CITY OF BOULDER

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 83 of 89

#### By: /s/ Luke Hagedorn

Luke Hagedorn, KS Atty Reg No. 24731 Richard Marray, Atty. Reg. No. 38940

Polsinelli PC

900 W. 48<sup>th</sup> Place, Suite 900 Kansas City, MO 64112 Telephone: (816) 572-4756 Email: lhagedorn@polsinelli.com

# ATTORNEY FOR CLEAN ENERGY COLLECTIVE, LLC.

### By: /s/ Richard L. Fanyo

Richard L. Fanyo, 7238 Dufford & Brown, P.C. 1700 Broadway, Suite 2100

Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: (303) 861-8013 Fax: (303) 832-3804

Email: rfanyo@duffordbrown.com

### ATTORNEY FOR CLIMAX MOLYBDENUM COMPANY

#### By: /s/ Ellen Howard Kutzer

Ellen Howard Kutzer, #46019 Assistant Attorney General Natural Resources and Environment Section 1300 Broadway, 7th Floor Denver, Co 80203

Telephone: (303) 508-6271 Fax: (303) 866-3558

Email: <u>ellen.kutzer@coag.gov</u>

## ATTORNEY FOR COLORADO ENERGY OFFICE

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 84 of 89

#### By: /s/ Vincent P. Calvano

Vincent P. Calvano, #40634 Vincent P. Calvano, LLC

290 39th Street Boulder, CO 80305

Telephone: (703) 975-6085

Email: vincecalvano@gmail.com

# ATTORNEY FOR COLORADO SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION

### By: /s/ Mark D. Detsky

Mark D. Detsky, #35276

Gabriella Stockmayer, #43770

Dietze and Davis, PC 2060 Broadway, Suite 400

Boulder, CO 80302

Telephone: (303) 447-1375 Fax: (303) 440-9036

Email: <a href="mailto:mdetsky@dietzedavis.com">mdetsky@dietzedavis.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:gstockmayer@dietzedavis.com">gstockmayer@dietzedavis.com</a>

# ATTORNEYS FOR ENERGY OUTREACH COLORADO

#### By: /s/ Victoria R. Mandell

Victoria R. Mandell, #17900

145 South 36<sup>th</sup> Street Boulder, CO 80305

Telephone: (303) 915-4601

Email: vmandell@comcast.net

### ATTORNEY FOR GRID ALTERNATIVES COLORADO

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 85 of 89

#### By: /s/ Emanuel Cocian

Robert M. Pomeroy, Jr., #7640 Emanuel T. Cocian, #36562

6380 South Fiddlers Green circle, Suite 500

Greenwood Village, CO 80111 Telephone: (303) 290-1600 Facsimile: (303) 713-6297

Email: etcocian@hollandhart.com

#### ATTORNEYS FOR ORMAT NEVADA INC.

#### By: /s/ Kevin T. Fox

Kevin T. Fox, 16PPA0084 Keyes, Fox & Wiedman, LLP 1580 Lincoln Street, Suite 880

Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: (510) 314-8201 Email: kfox@kfwlaw.com

#### ATTORNEY FOR SUNRUN, INC.

### By: /s/ Erin A. Overturf

Erin A. Overturf Senior Staff Attorney

Western Resource Advocates 2260 Baseline Road, Suite 200

Boulder CO 80302

Telephone: (720) 763-3724 Fax: (720-786-8054

Email: erin.overturf@westernresources.org

### ATTORNEY FOR WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 86 of 89

By: /s/ Charles T. Solomon

Charles T. Solomon #26873 Assistant City Attorney Benjamin T. Figa, #41302

Noah Cecil, #48837 Assistant City Attorney City and County of Denver

201 West Colfax Ave., Dept. 1207

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (720) 913-3286(Solomon) Telephone: (720) 913-3287 (Figa)

Fax: (720) 913-3180

Email: <a href="mailto:charles.solomon@devergov.org">charles.solomon@devergov.org</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:benjamin.figa@denvergov.org">benjamin.figa@denvergov.org</a>

### ATTORNEYS FOR CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER

By: /s/ Mark T. Valentine

Mark T. Valentine, #29986

Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4000

Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: (720) 292-2045

Email: mark.valentine@lewisbrisbois.com

#### ATTORNEY FOR CF&I STEEL LP

#### By: /s/ Thorvald A. Nelson

Thorvald A. Nelson, #24715 Michelle Brandt King, #35048 Nikolas S. Stoffel, #44815

Holland & Hart LLP

6380 South Fiddlers Green Circle, Suite 500

Greenwood Village, CO 80111 Telephone: (303) 290-1600 Fax: (303) 416-4415

Email: <a href="mailto:tnelson@hollandhart.com">tnelson@hollandhart.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:mbking@hollandhart.com">mbking@hollandhart.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:nsstoffel@hollandhart.com">nsstoffel@hollandhart.com</a>

# ATTORNEYS FOR THE COLORADO ENERGY CONSUMERS

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 87 of 89

By: /s/ Kenneth S. Fellman

Kenneth S. Fellman, #11233 Brandon M. Dittman, #47583 Kissinger & Fellman, P.C.

3773 Cherry Creek North Drive, Suite 900

Denver, CO 80209

Telephone: (303) 320-6100 Fax: (303) 327-8601

Email: <a href="mailto:kfellman@kandf.com">kfellman@kandf.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:kfellman@kandf.com">kfellman@kandf.com</a>
Brandon@kandf.com

# ATTORNEYS FOR COLORADO COMMUNICATIONS AND UTILITY ALLIANCE

By: /s/ John Putnam

John Putnam, #23353 Lee Zarzecki, #44573

Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell, LLP 1675 Broadway, Suite 2300

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (303) 825-7000 Fax: (303) 825-7005

Email: jputnam@kaplankirsch.com Email: lzarzecki@kaplankirsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION, INC.

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 88 of 89

By: <u>/s/ Michael Hiatt</u>

Michael Hiatt, #41178 Earthjustice

633 17th Street, Suite 1600

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (510) 314-8201 Email: kfox@kfwlaw.com

Susan Stevens Miller, PHV #14PHV4097

Earthjustice

1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 702

Washington, DC 20036-2212 Telephone: (202) 797-5246

Email: smiller@earthjustice.org

Sara Gersen, #46420 Earthjustice 800 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: (415) 217-2005

Email: <a href="mailto:sgersen@earthjustice.org">sgersen@earthjustice.org</a>

#### ATTORNEYS FOR VOTE SOLAR

By: /s/ Scott F. Dunbar

Scott F. Dunbar, #44521 Keyes, Fox & Wiedman, LLP 1580 Lincoln Street, Suite 880

Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: (720) 216-1184

Email: <u>sdunbar@kfwlaw.com</u>

### ATTORNEY FOR SUNSHARE

Attachment A
Decision No. C16-1075
Proceeding Nos. 16AL-0048E, 16A-0055E,
& 16A-0139E
Page 89 of 89

By: /s/ Jacob J. Schlesinger

Jacob J. Schlesinger, 41455 Keyes, Fox & Wiedman, LLP 1580 Lincoln Street, Suite 880 Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: (720) 639-2190

Email: jschlesinger@kfwlaw.com

# ATTORNEY FOR THE ENERGY FREEDOM COALITION OF AMERICA

By: /s/ Emanuel Cocian

Michelle Brandt King #35048 Emanuel T. Cocian, #36562

Holland & Hart LLP

6380 South Fiddlers Green circle, Suite 500

Greenwood Village, CO 80111 Telephone: (303) 290-1600 Facsimile: (303) 713-6297

Email: etcocian@hollandhart.com mbking@hollandhart.com

# ATTORNEYS FOR NEXTERA ERNERGY RESOURCES, LLC

By: /s/ Michelle Brandt King

Michelle Brandt King #35048 Emanuel T. Cocian, #36562

Holland & Hart LLP

6380 South Fiddlers Green circle, Suite 500

Greenwood Village, CO 80111 Telephone: (303) 290-1600 Facsimile: (303) 713-6297

Email: etcocian@hollandhart.com mbking@hollandhart.com

ATTORNEYS FOR COLORADO INDEPENDENT ENERGY ASSOCIATION