

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

CASE NO. 18F-0365EC

---

**ASPIRE TOURS, LLC and ULLR TOURS, LLC D/B/A THE COLORADO SIGHTSEER,**

Complainants,

v.

**ILIMO LIMOUSINE AND TOUR COMPANY,**

Respondent

---

**STIPULATED MOTION FOR AN ORDER**

---

Complainants, Aspire Tours, LLC and ULLR Tours LLC, d/b/a The Colorado Sightseer (“Complainants”) by and through their counsel, Charles Kimball of Kimball & Nespor PC, and iLimo Limousine and Tour Company (“Respondent”), by and through its counsel, Igor Raykin of Kishinevsky & Raykin, LLC, hereby submit this **Stipulated Motion For an Order**. In support thereof, the parties show the Colorado Public Utilities Commission’s (PUC or Commission) as follows:

1. On May 31, 2018, Complainants filed a formal complaint (“Complaint”) against Respondent, alleging that Respondent was advertising and providing intrastate transportation services without authority from the Commission. That filing commenced this Proceeding.
2. A hearing is set for this matter on September 20, 2018.

3. The parties stipulate to the entry of an order settling this case with the following language:
  - a. Respondent will not use its current authority to advertise per person pricing or provide service with per person charges.
  - b. Respondent will not provide sightseeing services.
4. Nothing in these restrictions is meant to apply to any future PUC authority that Respondent obtains or may obtain.
5. Respondent will have seven days from the adoption of this order by this Honorable Court to adhere to the restrictions.
6. Complainants and Respondent advise the PUC that they believe that stipulation for an order is restrictive in nature, unambiguous, and capable of enforcement. The parties request that the proposed order be entered. Complainants further advise the PUC that if this Stipulation is approved by the PUC and the proposed order is entered, their interest in the Complaint will be satisfied and their Complaint can be deemed satisfied.
7. In the event the proposed restrictions in this Stipulation are rejected, or accepted in a form other than as submitted above, Complainants' interests in the Complaint will not have been satisfied, and Complaint will continue.

**Request for Waiver of Response Time**

1. Since this is a Stipulated Motion between the parties, the parties request that the response time to the within Stipulated Motion be waived.


WHEREFORE, Complainants and Respondent request that the Commission grant their Stipulated Motion as requested and that the response time to the Stipulated Motion be waived.

Respectfully submitted 9/20/2018

KISHINEVSKY & RAYKIN



Charles Kimball

  
s/Charles Kimball

---

Igor Raykin #43081  
2851 South Parker Road  
Suite 150  
Aurora, CO 80014  
Phone: 303-720-748-8888  
Fax: 303-720-748-8894  
[igor@coloradolawteam.com](mailto:igor@coloradolawteam.com)  
Attorney for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on 9/20/2018, the foregoing **Stipulated Motion for an Order** was E-filed and served by the Public Utilities Commission's E-Filing System to registered users of the System, and/or either e-mailed or sent via U.S. mail to the non-registered E-Filing System parties in accordance with applicable law via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows:

---

Igor Raykin  
Kishinevsky & Raykin  
2851 South Parker Road  
Suite 150, Aurora, CO 80014  
[igor@coloradolawteam.com](mailto:igor@coloradolawteam.com)  
Counsel for Applicant

*Respondent*

/s/Igor Raykin