BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 1 of 14

STATE OF COLORADO

Docket No. 03S-246T 🖌

RE: THE INVESTIGATION AND SUSPENSION OF TARIFF SHEETS FILED BY ROGGEN TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE COMPANY.

Docket No. 03V-170T

IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST OF ROGGEN TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE COMPANY FOR A CHANGE IN RATES PURSUANT TO ADVICE LETTER NO. 47

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into by and between Roggen Telephone Cooperative Company (Roggen), Staff of the Public Utilities Commission (Staff), and the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel (OCC). Roggen, Staff and OCC are referred to collectively as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party." This Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions by which the Parties have agreed to resolve all issues that have or could have been contested in Docket Nos. 03S-246T and 03V-170T. Each Party to this Agreement pledges its support of this Agreement and states that each will defend the settlement reached by the Parties as reflected below.

INTRODUCTION

OCT 2 3 2003

1. On May 5, 2003, Roggen filed Advice Letter No. 47 with accompanying tariffs. By Advice Letter No 47, Roggen sought authority, pursuant to the Commission's Rules Prescribing the High Cost Support Mechanism (HCSM) and Prescribing the

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 2 of 14

Procedures for the Colorado High Cost Administration Fund, 4 CCR 723-41 (HCSM Rules), to increase its composite switched access rates by approximately 11.3% and its composite special access rates by 5.3%. If approved, this increase in rates would qualify Roggen for additional HCSM funding of approximately \$7,000 annually. The tariffs accompanying Advice Letter No. 47 were suspended by the Commission on June 12, 2003 per Decision No. C03-0647 in Docket No. 03S-246T.

2. On May 5, 2003, Roggen filed a motion for waiver of Rule 17 of the HCSM Rules. Through the motion for waiver, Roggen sought authority to recover with HCSM funding the difference between the local revenue requirement and the revenue attributable to its local rates (the Local Shortfall). If granted, Roggen would qualify for approximately \$60,000 annually in additional HCSM support. The Commission assigned Docket No. 03V-170T to Roggen's waiver request.

3. By Decision No. C03-0647, referenced above, the Commission consolidated Docket Nos. 03S-246T and 03V-170T and set the hearing for October 3, 2003. By Decision No. 03-0900-I, the hearing was rescheduled to November 4, 2003.

4. Staff and the OCC timely filed notices of intervention.

5. On September 12, 2003, Roggen filed the direct testimony of Peggy Manino and the direct testimony and exhibits of Chad Duval in support of the various rate increase and HCSM funding requests.

6. The answer testimony of Staff and the OCC were to be filed no later than October 22, 2003. However, as a result of prehearing investigation, formal and informal exchanges of information and settlement negotiations, this Agreement preempts the need for

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 3 of 14

the filing of the answer testimony of Staff and the OCC. Instead, these discussions and sharing of information have resulted in the Parties reaching a settlement of all of the issues that were or could have been contested in this consolidated docket.

7. The following sets forth the Parties' agreement in resolution of this consolidated proceeding.

AGREEMENT

8. <u>Switched Access and Special Access Composite Rate Increases</u>. The Parties agree that Roggen's proposed composite rate increases for switched access (11.3%) and special access (5.3%) are just and reasonable. Through their respective investigations, Staff and the OCC have each concluded that the changes to Roggen's switched access and special access rates, as fully described and supported in the direct testimony of Chad Duval (see generally, pages 13-19) and the tariffs accompanying Advice Letter No. 47, are in the public interest and should be permitted to go into effect. The *pro forma* tariffs are appended hereto and incorporated by reference as Exhibit A.

9. <u>HCSM Funding Related to High Loop Costs and High Local Switching Costs</u>. The Parties agree that Roggen's request for HCSM funding is governed by § 40-15-208, C.R.S. and the HCSM Rules. Further, per Rule 4 CCR 723-41-18.6.1.1, Roggen's level of HCSM funding may only change upon proper support in a rate proceeding. It should also be noted that Roggen is a cost based rural telecommunications service provider.

In this consolidated proceeding, Roggen has presented its Part 36/69 revenue requirements and the support for an overall rate of return on rate base of 9.64%. Staff and the OCC planned to challenge various aspects of this revenue requirement study if this consolidated matter proceeded to hearing. Staff and the OCC have agreed, for purposes of settling this consolidated proceeding, to waive their right to challenge the revenue requirement study filed in this matter on behalf of Roggen. Thus, for purposes of this Agreement, the Parties agree that Roggen's revenue requirement study should be accepted without modification. It then follows that the Parties agree to the properly supported requests for increases in HCSM funding.

The Parties agree that Roggen has provided proper support for an increase in HCSM funding for high loop costs consistent with Rule 4 CCR 723-41-18.1 of \$3,039 annually, as fully described and supported in the direct testimony of Chad Duval (see generally, page 11).

The Parties agree that Roggen has provided proper support for an increase in HCSM funding for high local switching costs consistent with Rule 4 CCR 723-41-18.2 of \$4,111 annually, as fully described and supported in the direct testimony of Chad Duval (see generally, page 11).

The Parties agree that the above levels of HCSM funding, totaling \$7,150, shall be effective October 1, 2003.

10. <u>HCSM Funding Related to the Local Shortfall</u>. The Parties agree that Roggen is not entitled to recover the Local Shortfall in this consolidated proceeding. Roggen therefore seeks leave to withdraw the motion for waiver as part of this Agreement. The Parties agree that Roggen is not entitled, in this consolidated proceeding, to a waiver of the method prescribed at Rule 4 CCR 723-41-17 for calculating its average loop, local switching, and exchange trunk costs for purposes of determining its level of HCSM support. As result, Roggen has agreed not to seek HCSM funding in the amount of \$58,120 (*see* direct testimony

)

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 5 of 14

of Chad Duval, page 12) in this proceeding. Roggen will, if necessary, raise the Local Shortfall issue in a future filing that seeks to raise Roggen's local residential and business rates from the current level of \$10.50. In the event of such a filing, no Party is restricted by the position that it might take with respect to the proposed local rates or the Local Shortfall issue. Roggen further represents that, given the other terms of this Agreement, it will be able to continue to provide adequate service without HCSM funding related to the Local Shortfall.

11. <u>Table Reflecting the Agreement of the Parties</u>. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a table that reflects the Parties agreement regarding the switched access and special access rate increases and the HCSM funding.

12. <u>Resolution of Important Policy Considerations</u>. The Parties recognize that, per the terms of Roggen's original filings, important policy considerations were at issue in this proceeding. The Commission's recognition of this fact is set forth at paragraph I.B.9. of Decision No. C03-0647. The Commission's policies can be, and often are, at issue when a public utility makes a request that implicates the applicability of Commission rules and regulations. Such a request typically takes the form of either a novel application of the rule or a motion for a waiver. As originally presented, this matter implicated the HCSM Rules and, specifically, the administration and support level rules found at 4 CCR 723-41-18. The Parties' resolution of this matter in the manner described above has resulted in neither a novel application nor a waiver, partial or complete, of the HCSM Rules. As such, the Parties have resolved this consolidated proceeding in a manner that has avoided the need to consider the policy issues that the Commission foresaw when it issued Decision No. C03-0647 and that has maintained the integrity of the HCSM Rules.

.

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 6 of 14

13. <u>Implementation of Agreement</u>. To implement the agreed upon tariffs, the Parties request that the Commission authorize Roggen to file tariff sheets identical in form to the *pro forma* tariff sheets contained in Exhibit A, which are attached hereto and made a part hereof by this reference, with the applicable references to the Commission's anticipated decision in this docket inserted in the spaces provided, on not less than one day's notice.

ADDITIONAL MISCELLANEOUS SETTLEMENT TERMS

14. This Agreement has been entered into solely to resolve issues in this consolidated proceeding involving the Parties. Therefore, the issues and matters resolved by this Agreement apply only to this consolidated docket and the issues raised herein. Notwithstanding the resolution of the issues set forth in this Agreement, no methodology or principle contained herein shall be deemed or construed as a settled practice or of precedential value for the purposes of any other proceeding. No Party shall be deemed or construed to have agreed to any principle or methodology by entering into this Agreement, other than for the purpose of settling this consolidated docket without further litigation. The Parties reserve the right to advocate positions different from those stated in this Agreement in the future. Nothing herein shall constitute a waiver by any Party with respect to any matter not specifically addressed in this Agreement.

15. The Parties acknowledge that this Agreement is just and reasonable, reasonably balances the interests of the Parties and is in the public interest. In addition, the Parties submit that reaching the Agreement set forth herein by means of a negotiated settlement, rather than through a formal adversarial process, is also in the public interest.

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 7 of 14

)

16. The Parties acknowledge that this Agreement represents a compromise of the positions each would assert if the issues resolved herein were litigated. Accordingly, evidence of conduct or statements made in negotiations and discussions in connection with this Agreement shall not be admissible in any proceeding. The Parties further agree that nothing contained in this Agreement shall constitute any precedent, admission, concession, acknowledgment or agreement that may be used by or against the Parties in any subsequent proceedings before the Commission or otherwise.

17. The Parties agree to present, to support and to defend, this Agreement before the Commission and urge the Commission to approve same, without modification. The Parties agree, if necessary, to present testimony and exhibits to the Commission to secure the approval of this Agreement.

18. This Agreement is an integrated whole. To the extent that any individual term is later determined to be unlawful or administratively unenforceable, this entire Agreement shall be declared null and void and of no further effect.

19. This Agreement shall not become effective until the issuance of a final Commission order approving this Agreement, which order does not contain any modification of the terms and conditions of this Agreement that is unacceptable to any Party hereto. In the event that the Commission imposes modified terms or conditions that are unacceptable to any Party hereto, then this Agreement shall be considered null and void and of no force and effect in this or any other proceeding. Notice of unacceptability shall be provided to the Commission and the other Parties to this Agreement in writing within ten (10) days of the date of the Commission order. In the event that this Agreement is not approved, the

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 8 of 14

settlement terms and conditions, as well as the negotiations or discussions undertaken in

conjunction with the Agreement, shall not be admissible into evidence in this or any other

proceeding.

DATED this 22d day of October, 2003.

APPROVED:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ROGGEN TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE COMPANY DUFFORD & BROWN, P.C.

Peggy/Sue Manino General Manager 519 Front Street Roggen, Colorado 80652

By:

Mark A. Davidson, #10364 1700 Broadway, Suite 1700 Denver, Colorado 80290 (303) 837-6357

ATTORNEYS FOR ROGGEN TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE COMPANY

APPROVED:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

By:

Karlton R. Kunzie Rate/Financial Analyst 1580 Logan Street, OL2 Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone (303) 894-2882

KEN SALAZAR Attorney General

By:

David A. Beckett, #23098 Assistant Attorney General 1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 866-5135

ATTORNEYS FOR STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

)

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T Decision No. R03-1257 03V-170T November 7, 2003 Page 9 of 14

APPROVED:

COLORADO OFFICE OF CONSUMER COUNSEL

sehth By:

P. B. Schechter Rate/Financial Analyst 1580 Logan Street, Suite 740 Denver, Colorado 80203

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

KEN SALAZAR Attorney General

By:

Stephen W. Southwick, #30389 First Assistant Attorney General 1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 866-5869

ATTORNEYS FOR COLORADO OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER COUNSEL

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T Decision No. R03-1257 03V-170T November 7, 2003 Page 10 of 14

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing **STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** was served on this 22nd day of October, 2003 upon the following:

P.B. Schechter Pat Parker James Greenwood Rate/Financial Analyst Office of Consumer Counsel 1580 Logan Street, Suite 740 Denver, CO 80203

Chad Duval, Senior Consultant GVNW Consulting, Inc. 2270 La Montana Way P.O. Box 25969 Colorado Springs, CO 80936

Karlton Kunzie Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street, OL-2 Denver, CO 80203

Jamie Jack Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street, OL-2 Denver, CO 80203

Peggy Manino, General Manager Roggen Telephone Cooperative Company 519 Front St. Roggen, CO 80652

Stephen W. Southwick First Assistant Attorney General Office of Consumer Counsel Unit Office of the Attorney General 1525 Sherman St., 5th Floor Denver, CO 80203 Gary Klug Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street, OL-2 Denver, CO 80203

John Epley Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street, OL-2 Denver, CO 80203

Rebecca Quintana Advisory Staff Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street, OL-2 Denver, CO 80203

Vinson Snowberger Advisory Staff Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street, OL-2 Denver, CO 80203

Michael Zimmerman Advisory Staff Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street, OL-2 Denver, CO 80203

David A. Beckett Assistant Attorney General Business and Licensing Section 1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor Denyer, CO_80203

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 11 of 14

		ş	•)	Page 11 of 14	
OGGEN TELEI			IVE COMPA		DLO PUC NO.	- 2 Page	hibit 1 of
tate of Colorado		e of Utility			<u>vised</u> Sheet No. <u>ised</u> Sheet No.	43	
	<u> </u>	COl	NCURRENCI	28	CMA:	<u> </u>	
• ·			ZUU	3 MAY -5 PM 2			
		OPER	ATOR SERVI	CES	42		
	vices Ta	riff, Colorado Pl		Qwest Corporation for the provision			
-			CESS SERVIC	ES		х	
General		•	•				
Roggen Tele	ephone	Cooperative C	ompany conc	urs in the Haxtu	1.		
.	~	ny Intrastate Ac with the except		umber 11 for the owing:	provision		
The rates an	nd char	ges for the serv	ices offered in	n that tariff are sl	nown in		
this section.	Refer	ence is made fo	or each rate el	ement to the app	ropriate		
	n where	e the regulation	s describing a	application of the	rate are		
located.	•			•			
Rates and (<u>Charge</u>	<u></u>					
Car	rrier Co	ommon Line Se	ervice				
					Haxtur	1	
					Number Tariff	11	
					Section	· ·	
	4	•		Rates	<u>Referen</u>	<u>ce</u>	
	(A)	Originating C	arrier Comm	on Line			
		•	•			1	
		D A	••	\$ 0071	2.0		
		Per Access M	linute	\$.0271	3.6	(R))
•	(B)	<u>Per Access M</u> <u>Terminating (</u>			3.6	(R))
•	(B)		Carrier Comm			(R) (R	
	(B)	<u>Terminating</u> (Carrier Comm	<u>non Line</u> \$.0572	3.6	(R	
	(B)	<u>Terminating</u> (Carrier Comm	<u>non Line</u> \$.0572	3.6 C utilities commi	(R	
	(B)	<u>Terminating</u> (Carrier Comm	non Line \$.0572 The public	3.6 CUTILITIES COMMI FILED	(R	
	(B)	<u>Terminating</u> (Carrier Comm	non Line \$.0572 The public	3.6 CUTILITIES COMMI FILED AY 0.5 2003	(R	
	(B)	<u>Terminating</u> (Carrier Comm	<u>ion Line</u> \$.0572 The publi	3.6 CUTILITIES COMMI FILED AY 0.5 2003 BY 44	(R	
	(B)	<u>Terminating</u> (Carrier Comm	<u>ion Line</u> \$.0572 The publi	3.6 CUTILITIES COMMI FILED AY 0.5 2003	(R	
Advice Letter N		<u>Terminating (</u> <u>Per Access M</u>	Carrier Comm linute Peggy Mar	non Line \$.0572 The public M STAT	3.6 CUTILITIES COMMI FILED AY 0.5 2003 BY 44 FE OF COLORADO	(R	
Advice Letter N Decision or Authority No.	Jo. <u>47</u>	<u>Terminating (</u> <u>Per Access M</u>	Carrier Comm	non Line \$.0572 THE PUBLI M STAT	3.6 CUTILITIES COMMI FILED AY 0.5 2003 BY 44 FE OF COLORADO	(R SSION] 2 te <u>5/6/03</u>	

,

4

.**^*** . .

• '.

			• • •		03V-17 Decision N November Page 12 of	s. 03S-246T 0T 10. R03-125 7, 2003
	ROGGEN TE	<u>LEPHONE C</u> Name of) OOPERATIVE COMPANY	sth F		ge 2.of
	State of Color		Ca	uncels <u>4th F</u>	Revised Sheet No. 43A	
			CONCURRENCES (Cont'd)	· .		
			ACCESS SERVICES (Cont'd)			1
		Switched Acc	ess Service			
		· · ·	· .		Haxtun Number 11 Tariff	
				Rates	Section <u>Reference</u>	
		(A)	Non Recurring Charges			
		· .	Per Line or Trunk Connected Feature Group A, B, C or D	\$324.00	6.7.1(A)	
		(B)	Local Transport*			
			Per Access Minute	\$0.0021	6.2(A)	(Ì)
		(C)	End Office	, [`]		
			(1) <u>Local Switching</u>			
:			Per Access Minute (All Feature Group	\$.0325 ps)	6.2(B)(1)	(I)
			(2) <u>Reserved for Future Use</u>	·		
		· ·	(3) <u>Directory Assistance</u> <u>Info. Surcharge</u>			
		• .	(Per Access Minute)	\$0.00	6.2(B)(3)	
		(D)	800 Data Base Access Service	r		
			 Basic Rate - per query Vertical Features Rate per query (replaces basic 	\$.0075 \$.0077 rate)	6.3.6(A)(4)(a) 6.3.6(A)(4)(a)	
	*.		ransport rate includes non-chargeable atures as set forth in section 6.2(A)(3) and 6.2(A)	(4).	
				TI	IE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMIS FILED	Sidn
					MAY 0.5 2003	
	· · ·	, , , , , , , , _ , _ , _ , 			STATE OF COLORADO)
	Advice Lette Decision or Authority No	er No. <u>47</u>	Peggy Manino Signature of Issuing Off General Manager	ficer	Issue Date <u>5/</u> Effective Date <u>6/1</u>	
	radioncy IN	~·	Title	·		<u> </u>

,

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 13 of 14

	ì).		Exhibit A Page 3 of 3
ROGGEN TELEPHONE C Name of			<u>1</u> ;	st Revised S) PUC NO. Sheet No	43C ··
State of Colorado				els <u>Origina</u>	<u>l Sheet No.</u>	<u>43C</u>
	CC	NCURRENCES (Co	ont'd)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AC	CCESS SERVICES (Co	ont'd)			
Special Access Se	rvice ((Cont'd)				
			Monthl <u>Rates</u>	Non y Recurring <u>Charges</u>	Haxtun Number 11 Tariff Section <u>Reference</u>	
(B)	Meta	allic Channel (Cont'd)				
	(3)	<u>Channel Mileage</u> <u>termination</u>	N/A	N/A	7.1.1(B)(2)	
	(4)	<u>Channel Mileage</u> per mileage Section	\$7.44	N/A	7.1.1(B)(3)	D
. (C)	Digi	tal Data	· .			
	(1)	<u>Channel Termination</u> <u>per termination</u> * 2.4-19.2 Kbps 56-64 Kbps	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	7.1.1(A) 7.1.1(A)	
	(2)	<u>Channel Mileage</u> per mile	N/A	N/A	7.1.1(B)(1))
	(3)	<u>Channel Mileage</u> <u>termination</u>	N/A	N/A	7.1.1(B)(2))
* The Channe	Term	ination rate includes n	on-chara	eable Chann	al Interfaces	ac

The Channel Termination rate includes non-chargeable Channel Interfaces as set forth in section 7.1.4.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION FILED
MAY 0.5 2003
BY HE STATE OF COLORADO

Advice Letter No. <u>47</u> Decision or Authority No. _____ Peggy Manino Signature of Issuing Officer General Manager Title Issue Date <u>5/6/03</u> Effective Date <u>6/16/03</u>

Appendix A Docket Nos. 03S-246T 03V-170T Decision No. R03-1257 November 7, 2003 Page 14 of 14

Exhibit B

)

SWITCHED ACCESS CHARGES

(Only Applies to Interexchange Carriers)

	Existing Rate	Proposed Rate
Originating	\$0.0376	\$0.0271
Terminating	\$0.0792	\$0.0572
Local Transport	\$0.0000	\$0.0021
Local Switching	\$0.0109	\$0.0325
l	Ferminating Local Transport	Driginating \$0.0376 Ferminating \$0.0792 Local Transport \$0.0000

SP	ECIAL	ACCESS	CHARGES	

	(Applies to Interexchange Carriers and Certain End Users)			
Service		Existing Rate	Proposed Rate	
Channel Determination	2-Wire	\$165.00	\$158.92	
	4-Wire	\$264.00	\$254.27	
Channel Mileage	Per Mileage Section	\$0.00	\$7.44	

Colorado High Cost Funds	Current Amount	Proposed
Annual Amount Effective		
October 1, 2003	\$0.00	\$7,150.00