BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

2960 00 ; 29 AN 9: 13

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO FOR APPROVAL OF ITS ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT (DSM) PLAN FOR CALENDAR YEARS 2009 AND 2010 AND TO CHANGE ITS ELECTRIC AND GAS DSM COST ADJUSTMENT RATES EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2009, AND FOR RELATED WAIVERS AND AUTHORIZATIONS.))) DOCKET NO. 08A-366EG))	Harris acl 2 P
--	--------------------------------	----------------

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

Public Service Company of Colorado ("Public Service" or "the Company"), the Staff of the Colorado Public Utilities Commission ("Staff"), the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel ("OCC"), the Southwest Energy Efficiency Project ("SWEEP") and Western Resource Advocates ("WRA"), Colorado Energy Consumers ("CEC"), the City of Boulder and Boulder County (collectively "City"), Energy Outreach Colorado ("EOC"), the Governor's Energy Office ("GEO"), Wal-mart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West, Inc. (Wal-Mart"), The Kroger Co. on behalf of its King Soopers and City Market Divisions ("Kroger"), Nancy LaPlaca, the Energy Efficiency Business Coalition ("EEBC"), collectively referred to as the "Settling Parties", hereby enter into this Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("Stipulation") resolving, as between these Parties, all issues that have been raised or could have been raised in Docket No. 08A-366EG relating to the Company's 2009-2010 DSM Biennial Plan. This Stipulation sets forth all the terms and conditions of such settlement.

The Parties to this Stipulation state that the results of the compromises reflected herein are a just and reasonable resolution of the issues addressed in this Stipulation, and that reaching agreement as set forth herein by means of a negotiated settlement is in the public interest. Each Party hereto pledges its support of this Stipulation and states that each will defend the settlement reached. The Parties respectfully request that the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado ("Commission" or "CPUC") approve this Stipulation.

II. BACKGROUND

On August 11, 2008, Public Service filed its Application For Approval Of Its Electric And Natural Gas Demand-Side Management Plan For Calendar Years 2009 And 2010 And To Change Its Electric And Gas DSM Cost Adjustment Rates Effective January 1, 2009, and For Related Waivers and Authorizations. By Decision No. C08-0986, the Commission referred this matter to an administrative law judge (ALJ) for preparation of an initial Commission decision. On September 29, 2008, the ALJ issued Decision No. R08-1033-I establishing pre-filing deadlines and setting this case for three days of hearings commencing on November 19, 2008.

Public Service's 2009-2010 DSM Biennial Plan is a combined electric and natural gas DSM plan under which the Company proposes to offer a total of 31 direct impact and 4 indirect impact DSM programs targeted to residential, business and low-income customer classes over the course of two years. The Company's plan also includes a Planning and Research component consisting of four additional programs: DSM Market Research; DSM Planning and Administration; DSM Product Development; and Evaluation, Measurement and Verification. As originally filed the Company's proposed

plan was designed to achieve annual electric and natural gas energy savings of approximately 181 GWh and 318,000 Dth, respectively, in 2009 and 244 GWh and 403,000 Dth, respectively, in 2010, at a proposed total cost of \$61 million and \$76 million for 2009 and 2010, respectively.

By this Stipulation, the Settling Parties recommend that the Commission authorize the Company to implement the DSM plan as amended by the Stipulation, and grant it the discretion to modify the plan, within the limits set forth in the Stipulation, and consistent with the Company's commitment to use its best efforts to meet or exceed the energy savings and demand reduction goals approved in Docket No. 07A-420E with respect to the electric DSM plan and approved in this case with respect to its natural gas DSM plan.

III. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

The Settling Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

1. The 2009-2010 DSM Biennial Plan. The Settling Parties agree that Public Service's 2009-2010 DSM Biennial Plan ("the DSM Plan"), as modified by the terms of this Stipulation, is consistent with §§ 40-3.2-103 and 40-3.2-104, C.R.S.; Decision Nos. C08-0560 and C08-0769 issued by the Commission in Docket No. 07A-420E; and the Commission's Gas DSM Rules, 4 C.C.R. 723-4-4750 through 4760, except to the extent such rules have been waived as recommended in Paragraph 9 to this Stipulation.

The Settling Parties agree that Public Service has the discretion and the responsibility to manage the proposed gas and electric DSM Plan to meet and attempt to exceed the electric energy savings and demand reduction goals established by the

Commission in Docket No. 07A-420E and the natural gas savings goals established in this proceeding. In implementing the 2009-2010 DSM Biennial Plan, Public Service agrees to launch all of the programs identified and described in the DSM Plan and not to discontinue or significantly modify such programs except after notice as described in Paragraph 2.b *supra*.

The Settling Parties recommend that the Commission authorize the Company to implement each of the programs described in the DSM Plan, together with the amendments and additions to such programs that are described in Appendix A. The Settling Parties further recommend that, subject to the budgetary restrictions and other limitations described in this Stipulation, the Commission grant the Company the discretion to modify the specific DSM programs set forth in the DSM Plan as amended by this Stipulation, including but not limited to, changing the level of rebates paid to participants, shifting budget dollars between programs within the natural gas or electric DSM portfolios, and adding new programs or discontinuing DSM programs without the requirement to obtain the Commission's pre-approval of such modifications. The Company may in its discretion file an application seeking pre-approval of the technical assumptions associated with any new program offerings, or approval to incur costs in excess of 115% of its annual budget for its electric DSM portfolio or 125% of the annual budget for its natural gas DSM portfolio. The Settling Parties recommend that the Commission endeavor to act upon such an Application as expeditiously as possible.

2. Modifications to the DSM Plan.

a. Changes to the DSM Plan filed with the Application. In the course of negotiations, the Settling Parties have discussed with Public Service various

details of the Company's proposed programs and the associated technical assumptions. As a result of these discussions, Public Service has agreed to make certain changes to the DSM Plan originally filed with its Application. An updated version of the DSM Plan that reflects changes agreed to as part of this Settlement, together with errata correcting certain errors, shall be filed with the Commission within sixty days following issuance of a final Commission order approving this Stipulation.

The program-related changes that Public Service has agreed to make are summarized in Appendix A. Some of these changes require increases to the Company's originally proposed budgets which are also specified in Appendix A. The Settling Parties agree that the additional budget amounts for 2009 will be recovered over six months through adjustments to the electric and natural gas DSMCA filed on April 1, 2009 to be effective July 1, 2009. The full-adjusted budget amounts for 2010 will be recovered from ratepayers over twelve months beginning January 1, 2010.

Certain changes to the DSM Plan that Public Service has agreed to make will further result in changes in the expected electric and gas savings for 2009 and 2010. As a consequence of these changes, the Settling Parties agree to the modified levels of expected savings as set forth in Paragraph 6 below.

b. Process for Potential Changes to the DSM Plan in the Future. At the time of the quarterly roundtable meeting described in paragraph 10, interested persons may submit new program ideas or proposed revisions to existing programs to the Company in writing in a format to be provided by the Company. The Company agrees to act in good faith in considering new program ideas and proposed revisions to existing programs. The Company currently uses an initial screening process to score

and prioritize all new DSM program ideas for further research and development. Within three months of receipt, the Company agrees to evaluate all written DSM program ideas received from interested persons in accordance with its existing initial screening process and to consider all proposed revisions to existing programs and to report the results of such screening and consideration as part of its next written quarterly update. The Company retains discretion whether to implement proposed revisions to existing programs and new program ideas presented to it by interested persons.

In the event the Company decides to discontinue any DSM program identified in the DSM Plan, it shall provide ninety-days notice and the basis of such decision to all persons who have asked to be included on the DSM Roundtable distribution list ("DSM Roundtable Distribution List"). The Company shall provide sixty-days advance notice to the DSM Roundtable Distribution List of any decision to add a new DSM program, to reduce rebate levels, to adopt new or discontinue existing measures, or to change technical assumptions or eligibility requirements for any DSM program. Persons receiving such notices shall have thirty-days following receipt within which to provide a response to the Company's notification. The Company agrees to act in good faith to consider any responses received in making its final decision regarding the proposed modification and/or discontinuation.

3. **Self-Directed Custom Efficiency Program.** As directed by the Commission at Paragraph 156 of Decision No. C08-0560, Public Service met with representatives of its large industrial customers who participated in Docket No. 07A-420E as part of its planning for its Self-Direct Program. The Company also met with the large commercial customers and other interested persons who had participated as

Intervenors in Docket No. 07A-420E. The Settling Parties agree that the Company's proposed Self Direct Program shares many of the features of its proposed Custom Efficiency Program and should therefore be viewed as a subset of the Company's Custom Efficiency Program rather than as a traditional Self-Direct program. The only significant difference between the Company's proposed Self-Direct program and the proposed Custom Efficiency Program is that customers participating in the Self-Direct program will perform their own engineering evaluation of the anticipated energy savings and will conduct their own measurement and verification of achieved energy savings after the fact, resulting in a lower cost to the Company. The Company will verify the results of customers' energy savings calculations and evaluation, measurement and verification results. Participants in the Company's proposed Self-Direct program will pay the DSMCA just as all other participants in the Company's DSM programs.

In recognition of the fact that the proposed Self-Direct Program is designed to operate as a subset of the Custom Efficiency Program, the Settling Parties agree that the Self-Direct Program should be renamed, "Self-Directed Custom Efficiency Program." The Settling Parties agree to recommend to the Commission that it authorize the Company to provide rebates under the Self-Directed Custom Efficiency Program in any case where the customer meets the eligibility requirements, provided that the program has a Total Resource Cost (TRC) test value, as defined in § 40-1-102, C.R.S., that is at least equal to one (1) rather than limiting this program to installations that have a TRC value at least equal to the TRC value for the overall DSM portfolio as specified in Paragraph 158 of Decision No. C08-0560.

The Company shall offer the Self-Directed Custom Efficiency Program to commercial and industrial customers who have an aggregated peak demand at all meters of at least 2 MW in any single month and an aggregated annual energy usage of at least 10 GWh. The customer of record must be the same for all meters aggregated to qualify for this program. The Company agrees that rebates will not be given under the Self-Directed Custom Efficiency Program for applications with expected paybacks of less than one year or paybacks greater than fifteen years. Rebate levels will be adjusted downward so that no project (with rebates included) has a payback less than one year. The Company agrees to track the expenditures, energy savings, and paybacks associated with each approved project under the Self-Directed Custom Efficiency Program.

4. Confidentiality of Participant O&M Data. The Settling Parties understand that, in the absence of a written agreement signed by the Participant authorizing disclosure of the Participant's operations and maintenance savings or expense data ("Participant O&M data"), all such Participant O&M data shall be treated as proprietary and trade secret information that is privileged and highly sensitive. Accordingly, the Company agrees that, while Participant O&M data shall be used to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of all DSM projects and programs that use the custom-efficiency analysis process, Public Service will not include Participant O&M data in its incentive calculations unless it has been authorized to disclose such Participant O&M data by written agreement as set forth above.

In the absence of a written agreement authorizing disclosure of Participant O&M data, the Company agrees to treat Participant O&M data as proprietary and trade secret

information that is privileged and highly sensitive and shall not disclose such information except as provided in this paragraph. For the sole purpose of achieving settlement in this proceeding, the Settling Parties agree that the Company may only disclose the results, by cost category, of calculations made using the privileged values, but not the values themselves, by making such results available for inspection by members of the Staff of both the Commission and the Office of Consumer Counsel at the Company's Colorado offices, pursuant to the following procedures. The Company will provide the Participant customer ten (10) business-days notice of the place and time of the inspection and provide the opportunity for a representative of the customer to be present during the inspection. The Company shall maintain a log of the persons, dates, times and documents reviewed. Participant O&M data shall not be disclosed to any other party or by any other means, except after receipt of written authorization from the Participant. Within forty-five days following the end of each quarter, the Company agrees to provide a report to the Staff of the Commission and the Office of Consumer Counsel on the number and value of rebates spent on measures whose cost effectiveness depends on the Participant O&M data (i.e., the TRC for the measures would be less than one (1) without the Participant O&M data).

5. Participation by All Classes of Customers. The parties agree that, with respect to the targeted customer segments (i.e., residential, business, and low-income) and to the breadth of program offerings contemplated for each segment, Public Service's proposed electric and gas DSM portfolios, as set forth in the DSM Plan as amended by this Stipulation, have been designed to afford all classes of customers an opportunity to participate as required by §§ 40-3.2-103 and 40-3.2-104, C.R.S.

6. **Energy and Demand Savings.** The Settling Parties agree that Public Service shall use its best efforts to achieve at least 175.8 GWh in electric energy savings in 2009 and at least 237.5 GWh in electric energy savings in 2010, both of which exceed the energy savings goals prescribed by the Commission in Decision No.C08-0560 issued in Docket No. 07A-420E. These electric savings include a reduction of approximately 6 GWh each year due to a decrease in the Residential Home Lighting Program's Net-to-Gross ratio from .93 to .83 as explained in Appendix A. The Settling Parties also agree that the Company shall use best efforts to achieve at least 58 MW and 75 MW in demand reductions in 2009 and 2010, respectively, from its proposed electric energy efficiency programs and from its expanded Saver's Switch program.¹ These demand reductions equal the demand reduction goals prescribed by the Commission in Decision No.C08-0560 issued in Docket No. 07A-420E.

The Settling Parties further agree that the Company shall use its best efforts to achieve natural gas savings of at least 318,141 Dth and 402,808 Dth for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Settling Parties request that the Commission approve these levels of gas savings, in combination with actual gas program expenditures to calculate dekatherms saved per dollar expended, as the energy targets that may be used in the future by the Company for the purpose of calculating a bonus under Rules 4754 and 4760.

7. 2009 and 2010 DSM Budgets. The Settling Parties agree to recommend that the Commission approve a total electric DSM portfolio budget for 2009 of \$50,818,284, and for 2010 of \$63,650,147, and a total gas DSM portfolio budget for

¹ These expected demand reductions do not include the expected impacts from Public Service's Interruptible Service Option Credit (ISOC) program or the expected impacts from a third-party demand

2009 of \$12,628,529 and for 2010 of \$16,516,364, including the increases to both the electric and gas budgets referenced in Paragraph 2 above and specified in Appendix A. The Settling Parties agree that the Company's proposed 2009-2010 DSM Biennial Plan and associated budgets as modified by the Stipulation were developed giving due consideration to the impact of the DSM Plan on non-participants and on low-income customers.

The Settling Parties agree that Public Service shall have flexibility to move budget dollars between specific programs and customer segments within its proposed gas DSM program portfolio and within its proposed electric DSM program portfolio in order to achieve the energy savings and demand reduction goals set forth in the DSM Plan, provided, however, that the Company shall not reduce the level of spending on low-income DSM programs unless the Company has achieved 100 percent of the forecasted level of participation in such programs.

The Settling Parties agree that so long as the total portfolio of natural gas DSM programs that are implemented by the Company reflects a benefit-cost ratio of at least one (1) calculated as provided in §40-1-102(5) C.R.S., there shall be a rebuttable presumption that actual expenditures within 125% of the approved gas budget for any given plan year are reasonable and prudent. The Settling Parties agree that so long as the total portfolio of electric DSM programs that are implemented by the Company reflects a benefit-cost ratio of at least one (1) calculated as provided in §40-1-102(5) C.R.S., there shall be a rebuttable presumption that actual expenditures within 115% of the approved electric budget for any given plan year are reasonable and prudent. The Company shall not be precluded from spending amounts in excess of these limits.

However, if the Company's total expenditures in any year exceed 125% of the total approved gas DSM portfolio budget or 115% of the total approved electric DSM portfolio budget, the Company shall have the burden of going forward and the burden of proof with respect to the reasonableness and prudence of any expenditures exceeding 125% of any specific gas DSM program budget or 115 % of any specific electric DSM program budget.

The Settling Parties agree that the company shall file an Advice Letter within sixty (60) days following issuance of a final Commission order approving this Stipulation that proposes to amend the electric and gas DSMCA tariffs to incorporate in the tariffs a process whereby the Company's DSMCA filings would be allowed to take effect by operation of law while a separate adjudicatory proceeding is initiated annually following the April 1 DSMCA filing by the Commission to review the prior year's DSM expenditures for reasonableness and prudence. The Settling Parties agree that the Commission should initiate such a prudence review proceeding automatically if the Company's total expenditures in any year exceed 125% of the total approved gas DSM portfolio budget or 115% of the total approved electric DSM portfolio budget. If the budgets are not exceeded, the Settling Parties agree that the Commission should initiate a prudence review proceeding if, after allowing interested persons an opportunity to comment, the Commission believes that an investigation into the reasonableness and prudence of Public Service's DSM expenditures is warranted. In any such prudence review proceeding, the presumptions and burdens of going forward and proof discussed in the paragraph above shall apply. If the Commission determines in a prudence review proceeding that a portion of the Company's DSM expenditures should not be recovered

from customers, the next April 1 electric or gas DSMCA filing, as applicable, shall be adjusted as appropriate to reflect that decision.

- 8. **Technical Assumptions and Cost Benefit Calculations.** The Settling Parties agree that the technical assumptions set forth in Appendix B attached hereto are reasonable for the purposes of:
 - Developing a forecast of annual DSMCA expenditures associated with the
 Company's electric and gas DSM portfolios in 2009 and 2010;
 - Establishing overall annual energy savings targets for 2009 and 2010 for the
 Company's gas DSM portfolio;² and
 - Determining savings achieved in 2009 and 2010 based on the actual project completions in each calendar year, where such savings are compared to the overall annual portfolio energy savings goals as established by the Commission in Docket No. 07A-420E for the Company's electric DSM portfolio and as established in this proceeding for the gas DSM portfolio, when calculating the electric DSM financial incentive pursuant to Decision Nos. C08-0560 and C08-0769 issued by the Commission in Docket No. 07A-420E and in support of an application for a bonus under Rule 4760.

The Settling Parties agree that for purposes of calculating the gross savings associated with each of the prescriptive gas or electric DSM program measures offered as part of the gas and electric DSM portfolios, Public Service shall use the technical assumptions relating to the energy savings calculations for such measures actually

² The Commission established electric energy savings goals for the Company in Decision C08-0560 in Docket No. 07A-420E.

installed during calendar years 2009 and 2010. Such savings shall be referred to as "deemed savings."

The Settling Parties agree that the Company shall use the technical assumptions set forth in Appendix B relating to incremental customer O&M savings (for prescriptive measures only), customer O&M costs (for prescriptive measures only), incremental customer capital costs (for prescriptive measures only), net-to-gross ratios, and the deemed savings formulas and other technical assumptions set forth in Appendix B for purposes of determining program and portfolio cost effectiveness and for calculating annual portfolio net economic benefits based on measures actually installed during calendar years 2009 and 2010.

The Settling Parties agree that, for purposes of determining program and portfolio cost effectiveness and for calculating annual portfolio net economic benefits based on measures actually installed during calendar years 2009 and 2010, Public Service shall use the avoided cost assumptions set forth in Appendix E attached to the DSM Plan.

The Settling Parties agree that Public Service shall use the methodology described in the Direct Testimony of Company witness Jeremy Petersen for purposes of determining DSM portfolio and program cost-effectiveness based on measures actually installed during calendar years 2009 and 2010. Accordingly, Public Service shall use this same methodology for calculating the net economic benefit associated with DSM measures actually installed during calendar years 2009 and 2010.

- 9. **DSMCA Tariffs.** The Settling Parties agree to recommend that the Commission should grant waivers from its Gas DSM Rules to allow for changes to the gas DSMCA every six months in accordance with the following filing schedule:
 - April 1 filings for gas DSMCA rates to be effective July 1, to recover DSM costs for programs that were implemented prior to January 1, 2009; Gas Bonus; and reconciliation of deferred balances from previous calendar year
 - October 1 filings for gas DSMCA rates to be effective January 1 to recover current period DSM costs for the calendar year beginning the same January
 1.

The Settling Parties agree that Public Service shall file in compliance with the Commission decision in this proceeding a gas DSMCA tariff, Sheets 42 to 42C, that conform to the pro forma tariff attached to this agreement as Appendix C, effective January 1, 2009.

The Settling Parties further agree to recommend to the Commission that it authorize the Company to implement changes in the gas DSMCA rates as set forth, for illustrative purposes, on Sheet 42D of the gas DSMCA tariff attached to this agreement as Appendix C. The Settling Parties recognize that the actual gas DSMCA percentage rider will be calculated to recover the 2009 gas DSM portfolio budget based on the rates that are approved to take effect as a result of the Commission's final order in Docket No. 08S-146G. The Settling Parties agree to recommend to the Commission that it authorize the Company to implement changes in the electric DSMCA rates as set forth on Sheet 107C of the electric DSMCA attached to this agreement as Appendix D. The Settling Parties recognize that rates included in the electric tariff sheets were designed

to recover \$48,713,284, which was the electric DSM budget as proposed in the Application, less the portion of those costs currently being recovered in base rates (\$2,216,921). Public Service shall be permitted to include in its April 1, 2009 DSMCA tariff filings the additional budget amounts for 2009 agreed to as set forth in Appendix A.

DSM roundtable Meetings. The Company agrees to conduct quarterly DSM roundtable meetings in 2009 and will review this schedule with the parties for 2010. These meetings shall be open to all persons interested in the Company's DSM activities. Public Service shall provide quarterly written updates to all persons on the DSM Roundtable Distribution List as set forth in Paragraph 11. The Company agrees to post the agendas for such roundtables meetings and all quarterly updates on the Xcel Energy website.

11. Reporting Requirements.

- a. Quarterly Updates. The Company agrees to file with the Commission in this docket and to provide to all persons on the DSM Roundtable Distribution List, within forty-five days following the end of each quarter, written quarterly updates, describing the implementation status for all programs included in the DSM Plan, including the energy and demand savings achieved, and expenditures made by program, and any changes in the way a program is being implemented.
- b. Annual Reports. On or before April 1 following the end of each year of the Biennial Plan, the Company shall file an annual report of the results achieved during the previous plan year in total and by program, including achieved energy and demand savings, avoided annual and cumulative CO2 and SOx emissions

in metric tons, actual expenditures, expenditures expressed in terms of \$/kwh over the lifetime of the measures installed, and net economic benefits achieved.

12. Evaluation, Measurement & Verification Plan.

- a. On-Going Measurement & Verification. The Settling Parties agree that the Company's proposal for on-going measurement and verification ("M&V") as described generally in the Direct Testimony of Ms. Suzanne Doyle and in the Plan Documentation is reasonable and should be approved by the Commission. However, the parties also recognize that the Company is continuing to develop the specific activities that will be undertaken to measure and verify energy savings for particular programs. The Company agrees to provide a detailed description of the M&V plan for each DSM program to all Settling Parties within 30 days after such plan is finalized. The Company will report any modifications made to its M&V plans in its written quarterly updates referenced in Paragraphs 11(a) above.
- b. Comprehensive Program Evaluations. In addition to the ongoing measurement and verification described in the plan, the Settling Parties agree that Public Service shall conduct comprehensive program evaluations of three or four specific programs each year. The comprehensive program evaluations of particular programs will be staggered over a number of years. The principal purposes of comprehensive program evaluations are to assess customer satisfaction with the DSM program being evaluated, and to assess changes that should be made to technical assumptions, net-to-gross (NTG) ratios and program processes based on the evaluator's own research as well as a thorough review of industry-wide and the Company's current processes, technical assumptions and NTG ratios. If, as a result of

a comprehensive program evaluation that is completed prior to December 31, 2009, the evaluator recommends changes to any technical assumptions, NTG ratios, or program processes, the Company shall implement such changes for purposes of its DSM activities undertaken during 2010. The Settling Parties understand that such changes shall not affect the calculation of achieved savings and net economic benefits for 2009.

The Settling parties recognize that the Company is currently conducting a comprehensive evaluation of the Business Lighting Program. The Company agrees that it shall plan to conduct Comprehensive Program Evaluations of the following programs during 2009, 2010, and 2011:

2009: Residential Home Lighting

Residential Saver's Switch

Business New Construction

Business Cooling

2010: Residential Evaporative Cooling

Business Motors

Business Recommissioning

Business and Residential Customer Behavior Change Program

2011: Low-Income Single Family Weatherization

Business Boiler Efficiency

Business Self-Directed Custom Efficiency

Residential Energy Star Retailer Incentive Program

The Company agrees to provide the non-confidential portion of all Comprehensive Program Evaluations to all persons on the DSM Roundtable Distribution List. The Company will also consult with interested parties at the scheduled roundtable meetings regarding suggested changes to the programs that are proposed to be included as part of the comprehensive evaluation performed during 2010 and 2011.

IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Settling Parties agree to join in a motion that requests the Commission to approve this Stipulation and to support this Stipulation.

This Stipulation is a negotiated compromise of issues raised in this proceeding relating to the Company's proposed gas and electric DSM plan for calendar years 2009 and 2010, the proposed changes to the electric and gas DSMCA to become effective January 1, 2009, and the requested waivers of the Commission's Gas DSM Rules. By signing this Stipulation and by joining the motion to adopt the Stipulation filed with the Commission, the Settling Parties acknowledge that they pledge support for Commission approval and subsequent implementation of these provisions.

Nothing in this Stipulation shall bind any of the Settling Parties with respect to any position such party may take in any subsequent biennial DSM Plan proceeding before this Commission. This Stipulation shall not become effective until the issuance of a final Commission Order approving the Stipulation, which Order does not contain any modification of its terms and conditions that is unacceptable to any of the Settling Parties. In the event the Commission modifies this Stipulation in a manner unacceptable to any Party, that Party shall have the right to withdraw from this Stipulation and proceed to hearing on the issues that may be appropriately raised by that party in Docket No. 08A-366EG. The withdrawing Party shall notify the

Commission and the Parties to this Stipulation by e-mail within five business days of the Commission's final order modifying the Stipulation that the Party is withdrawing from the Stipulation and that the Party is ready to proceed to hearing; the e-mail notice shall designate the precise issue or issues on which the Party desires to proceed to hearing (the "Hearing Notice").

The withdrawal of a Party shall not automatically terminate this Stipulation as to the withdrawing Party or any other Party. However, within five business days of the date of the Hearing Notice from the first withdrawing Party, all Settling Parties shall confer to arrive at a comprehensive list of issues that shall proceed to hearing and a list of issues that remain settled as a result of the first Party's withdrawal from this Stipulation. Within five business days of the date of the Hearing Notice, the Settling Parties shall file with the Commission a formal notice containing the list of issues that shall proceed to hearing and the list of issues that remain settled. The Parties who proceed to hearing shall have and be entitled to exercise all rights with respect to the issues that are heard that they would have had in the absence of this Stipulation. Hearing shall be scheduled on all of the issues designated in the formal notice filed with the Commission as soon as practicable.

The Settling Parties agree that the negotiations or discussions undertaken in conjunction with the Stipulation shall not be admissible into evidence in this or any other proceeding, except as may be necessary in any proceeding to enforce this Stipulation.

Approval by the Commission of this Stipulation shall constitute a determination that the Stipulation represents a just, equitable and reasonable resolution of all issues that were or could have been contested among the Settling Parties in the above-

captioned proceeding. The Settling Parties state that reaching Stipulation in this docket by means of a negotiated settlement is in the public interest and that the results of the compromises and settlements reflected by this Stipulation are just, reasonable and in the public interest.

All Settling Parties have had the opportunity to participate in the drafting of this Stipulation. There shall be no legal presumption that any specific Settling Party was the drafter of this Stipulation.

This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, all of which when taken together shall constitute the entire agreement with respect to the issues addressed by this Stipulation.

Dated this 28th day of October, 2008.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO

C. Stypel by a C. Hyof

Fredric C. Stoffel

Vice President, Policy Development

Xcel Energy Services Inc. 1225 17th Street, Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80202

Agent for Public Service Company of Colorado

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Ann E. Hopfenbeck #

Ducker, Montgomery, Aronstein

& Bess, P.C.

1560 Broadway St., Suite 1400

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (303) 861-2828

Fax:

(303) 861-4017

Attorney for Public Service Company of Colorado

STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Ronald E. Davis

Principal Economist

Colorado Public Utilities Commission

1560 Broadway, Suite 250

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone:

Fax:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

JOHN W. SUTHERS Attorney General

Anne Botterud, #20726

First Assistant Attorney General **Business and Licensing Section**

Attorneys for Trial Staff of the

Colorado Public Utilities Commission

1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor

Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: (303) 866-3867

Fax: (303) 866-5395

COLORADO OFFICE OF CONSUMER COUNSEL

P.B. Schechter

Rate/Financial Analyst
Office of Consumer Counsel
1560 Broadway, Suite 200

BS Lette

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (303) 894-2124 Fax: (303) 894-2117

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Christopher M. Irby, 35778

Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor

Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: (303) 866-5441 FAX: (303) 866-5342

Attorneys for the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel

Ø 00 2

SOUTHWEST ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROJECT

Howard Geller, Executive Director Southwest Energy Efficiency Project 2260 Baseline Rd., #212

Boulder, CO 80302

Telephone: 303-447-0078 * 1

Fax: 303-786-8054 hgellen@swenergy.org

SOUTHWEST ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROJECT

By:
Howard Geller, Executive Director
Southwest Energy Efficiency Project
2260 Baseline Rd., #212
Boulder, CO 80302
Telephone:
Fax:
hgeller@swenergy.org

WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES

FOR Steven Michael

Steven S. Michel 2025 Senda De Andres Santa Fe, NM 87501

Telephone:

Fax:

smichel@westernresources.org

COLORADO ENERGY CONSUMERS

Ву:_____

Thorvald A. Nelson Holland & Hart LLP

8390 E. Crescent Pkwy, #400 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 Telephone: (303) 290-1601

Fax:

(303) 290-1606

tnelson@hollandhart.com

2002/002

CITY OF BOULDER and COUNTY OF BOULDER

Sue Ellen Harrison, #5770

Senior Assistant City Attorney

Box 791

Boulder, CO 80306

Telephone: (303) 441-3020

Fax:

(303) 441-3859

HarrisonS@bouldercolorado.gov

2/ 2

Signature block for EOC

Skip Arnold
Executive Director

Energy Outreach Colorado
225 E. 16th Avenue, Suite 200
Denver, Colorado 80203
samold@energyoutreach.org

Jeffrey G. Pearson, 5874 1570 Emerson Street Denver, CO 80218 Tel: 303.832.5138

Fax: 303.837.1557 jgplaw@qwest.net

ATTORNEY FOR ENERGY OUTREACH COLORADO Skip Amold
Executive Director
Energy Outreach Colorado
225 E. 16th Avenue, Suite 200
Denver, Colorado 80203
sarnold@energyoutreach.org

Jeffley G. Pearson, 5874 1570 Emerson Street Denver, CO 80218 Tel: 303.832.5138

Fax: 303.837.1557 jgplaw@qwestoffice.net

ATTORNEY FOR ENERGY OUTREACH COLORADO

JOHN W. SUTHERS Attorney General

JERRY W. GOAD, 11284*

Senior Assistant Attorney General Natural Resources and Environment Section

Attorneys for Colorado Governor's Energy Office

1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203

Telephone: (303) 866-5117 FAX: (303) 866-3558 *Counsel of Record

KROGER COMPANY

Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.

36 East Seventh St., #1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Telephone: (513) 421-2255
Fax: (513_421-2764
kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com

Attorney for Kroger Company

Oct 28 2008 5:03PM Bardwell

Bardwell Consulting

303-975-8513

P. 1

Nancy LaPlaca 4801W. Yale Ave. Denver CO 80219

nancylaplaca@yahoo.com

303-588-3937

ENERGY EFFICIENCY BUSINESS COALITION

Paul M. Kriescher, President

4303 Brighton Blvd.

Building 3

Denver, CO 80216

Telephone: (303) 733-3078

Karl F. Kumli, III, #11784

Dietze & Davis, PC 2060 Broadway, #400

Boulder, CO 80302

Telephone: (303) 447-1375

Fax:

(303) 440-9036

karlk@dietzedavis.com

Mark D. Detsky, #35276
Telephone: (303) 915-2428

mdetsky@gmaii.com

Attorneys for Energy Efficiency Business Coalition

WAL-MART STORES, INC. and SAM'S WEST, INC.

Holly Rachel Smith, Esq. 6212-A Old Franconia Road Alexandria VA 22310 Telephone: (202) 302-3172 holly@raysmithlaw.com

APPENDIX A

PROGRAM CHANGES AGREED TO BY PUBLIC SERVICE

Appendix A

Program Changes Agreed to By Public Service

In addition to those Residential Air Conditioning Program. a. programs identified in the DSM Plan as originally filed, the Company agrees to evaluate residential Air Conditioning program options during the first four months of 2009, including incentives for proper cooling sizing, high efficiency (SEER) central air conditioning units, air source heat pumps, and quality installation including tight/rightsized duct installation, and to implement a residential Air Conditioning Program by June 2009. The Company will file an amendment to the DSM Plan for informational purposes in this docket on or before May 1, 2009, describing the Residential Air Conditioning Program, including 1) Proposed Budget and Goals, 2) Application Process, 3) Marketing objectives, goals and strategy, 4) Program-Specific Policies, 5) Stakeholder Involvement, 6) Evaluation, Measurement & Verification Plan, 7) Rebate Levels and 8) Technical Assumptions. The Company shall also provide the Benefit-Cost Analysis for the program for 2009 and 2010. The Settling Parties agree that the 2009 and 2010 DSM budgets shall be increased by \$ 1.22 million and \$ 2.15 million, respectively, based on the Company's goal of paying 2,000 rebates in 2009 and 4,000 rebates in 2010.

As part of the development of the Residential Air Conditioning Program described above, the Company agrees that it will work in good faith with designated representatives of the EEBC and GEO to evaluate modifications to the Central Air Conditioner Tune-Up Program that would allow it to successfully re-launch this program in June 2009 and meet a TRC of at least 1.0. The EEBC agrees to provide the

Company with access to any updated information it may have regarding energy savings associated with A/C tune-up and to provide the Company with a proposal for contractor training and program promotion to be provided by the industry that would support the successful implementation of this program. If the EEBC and the Company are able to reach agreement regarding re-design of the Residential Air Conditioning Tune-Up Program, Public Service will file an amendment to the DSM Plan for informational purposes in this docket by May 1, 2009 describing the Residential Air Conditioning Tune-Up Program, including 1) Proposed Budget and Goals, 2) Application Process, 3) Marketing objectives, goals and strategy, 4) Program-Specific Policies, 5) Stakeholder Involvement, 6) Evaluation, Measurement & Verification Plan, 7) Rebate Levels and 8) Technical Assumptions. The Company shall also provide the Benefit-Cost Analysis for the program for 2009 and 2010. If the Residential Air Conditioning Tune-Up Program is added to the DSM Plan, the Settling Parties agree that the 2009 and 2010 budgets for the electric DSM portfolio shall be increased by \$ 150,000 and \$ 250,000, respectively.

b. Evaporative Cooling. In order to ensure that the Residential Air Conditioning Program does not adversely impact the proposed Evaporative Cooling Rebate Program, the Company agrees to expand this program by offering higher rebates for whole-house systems in 2009 and by evaluating broader marketing and builder/customer adoption strategies to be implemented by June 1, 2009. Builders will be eligible for rebates under the Company's Evaporative Cooling program. The Settling Parties agree that the 2009 and 2010 DSM budgets shall be increased by \$ 280,000 and \$ 365,000, respectively, to accommodate the expansion of the Evaporative Cooling Rebate Program.

- Energy Efficient Showerhead Program. During the first quarter C. of 2009, Public Service agrees to evaluate modification of its Energy Efficient Showerhead Program to promote the use of low-flow showerhead with a flow rate of 1.5 gallons per minute rather than a showerhead with a flow rate of 2.0 gallons per minute as originally proposed and to implement such a modification during 2009 provided that the Company is able to identify units with a flow rate of 1.5 gallons per minute that can be obtained for a cost of \$13.00 per unit or less and provide adequate quality. So long as the Company can obtain 1.5 gpm showerheads for \$13.00 per unit or less it shall also include such showerheads in its Easy Savings Energy Kits available to low-income customers and in its School Education Kits. If the Company is unable to obtain 1.5 gpm showerheads for \$13.00 per unit or less, the Company may, in its discretion, choose to scale back participation levels in the Energy Efficient Showerhead Program and in the Easy Savings Energy Kit Program to allow it to promote the higher cost 1.5 gpm showerhead without a significant increase in the overall budget for these programs or it promote the 2.0 gpm showerheads through these programs and maintain participation rates as originally forecast.
- d. **School Education Kits.** The Company agrees to expand participation in the School Education Kits Program to 15,000 participants during 2010. The Settling Parties agree that the 2010 DSM electric and gas budgets shall be increased by \$ 385,000 and \$ 388,000, respectively, to accommodate this expansion of the School Education Kit Program.
- e. Residential Home Lighting. The Company agrees that the net-togross ratio to be used in calculating net energy savings associated with the Residential

Home Lighting program shall be .83 and have reduced the net savings goal for 2009 and 2010 by approximately 6 GWh each year to reflect this change.

- f. Research on Emerging Technologies and New Product Development. The Company agrees to increase the budget provided for research on emerging technologies and new program development by \$250,000 for each year of the 2009-2010 DSM Biennial Plan. Of this \$250,000 increase, \$175,000 will be included in the updated electric DSM portfolio budget and \$75,000 will be included in the updated gas DSM portfolio budget.
- g. Home Performance with ENERGY STAR Program. Prior to launching this program in the first quarter of 2009, the Company agrees to evaluate the following modifications to the Home Performance with ENERGY STAR Program: 1) extending the period within which the customer must complete the required and optional installations under this program from six months to up to two years, 2) requiring a blower door test as part of the initial Home Performance audit for homes meeting specifically defined criteria, and 3) to allow rebates to be given to customers as each measure is implemented. If both or either of these changes can be implemented without lowering the Program's TRC below a value of 1 and the EEBC and the Company are able to reach consensus regarding the criteria for when a blower door test will be required, Xcel Energy will incorporate such changes in its Home Performance with ENERGY STAR Program.
- h. **ENERGY STAR New Homes Program.** Prior to launching this program on March 1, 2009, the Company agrees to re-evaluate the program design, including rebate levels, HERS ratings incentives, use of multiple HERS rating vendors

and providers, and Measurement and Verification. The Company agrees to work in good faith with EEBC, GEO, and any others of the Settling Parties who desire to participate, in evaluating and finalizing the program. Provided that a consensus can be reached regarding the redesign of this Program prior to March 1, 2009, the Company agrees to implement the agreed upon changes and will file an amendment to the DSM Plan for informational purposes in this docket, reflecting such changes by May 1, 2009. If consensus is not reached prior to the March 1, 2009 deadline for program launch, the Company will implement this Program as originally filed.

- i. Insulation Rebate Program. The Company agrees to extend eligibility for rebates under the residential insulation rebate program to to electric only customers by the third quarter of 2009 if such an extension can be accomplished in a manner that meets a TRC of at least 1.
- j. Coordination with local communities and other governmental agencies. In implementing its DSM programs, the Company agrees to use its best efforts to coordinate its efforts with those of local communities and other governmental agencies of which it is aware that have developed similar energy savings efforts. The Company shall encourage contractors that it hires to implement its DSM programs across multiple local jurisdictions to work with local contractors that are qualified to perform the work and whose rates are competitive.
- k. Certification Standards. The Company agrees to require that all contractors or vendors providing home energy audits under the Residential Home Energy Audit, ENERGY STAR New Homes, or Home Performance with ENERGY STAR programs have RESNET and/or BPI certifications. The Company agrees to work

in good faith with the EEBC, the GEO, and any other interested party to establish certification standards for contractors and analysts who will be providing energy efficient services under programs where rebates will be provided.

APPENDIX B TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

DRAFT

This spreadsheet contains technical assumptions for the 2009/2010 Demand-Side Management Biennial Plan

The tabs in this file have been divided into three types:

All tabs with Deemed in the name describe how we will calculate actual conservation and net benefit.

All tabs with Forecast in the name detail how we came up with our estimates for program participation and performance for the filing period.

All tabs with Ref in the name are external references that support our assumptions.

Within each of the Deemed tabs, certain cells have been highlighted using the following convention:

Green - Energy savings calculation equation

Light Yellow - Assumed values that are inputs to energy savings equations

Light Blue - Assumed values that are not inputs to the energy savings equations (incremental cost, measure life, etc.) but are included in benefit cost tests.

Program: Boiler Efficiency Program

Prescriptive rebates will be offered for new Hot Water Boilers (Condensing and non-condensing), replacement of currently operating hot water boilers, steam traps. (commercial only), and various boiler improvements.

Algorithms:

	= (BTUH - (BTUH x EFFb/EFFh)) x Hrs / 1,000,000
Boiler Tune Up savings (Gross Dth)	= ((BTUH x EFFIVEFFb) - BTUH) x Hrs / 1,000,000
Outdoor Air Reset savings (Gross Dth)	= ((BTUH x EFFN/EFFb) - BTUH) x Hrs / 1,000,000
Stack Dampers savings (Gross Dth)	= ((BTUH x EFFWEFFb) - BTUH) x Hrs / 1,000,000
Modulating Burner Controls savings (Gross	
Dth)	= (BTUH x EFFh/EFFb - BTUH) x Hrs / 1,000,000
O2 Trim Control savings (Gross Dth)	= (BTUH x EFFN/EFFb - BTUH) x Hrs / 1,000,000
Steam Traps savings (Gross Dth)	= Leak_Rate x Leak_Hours x BTU_per_Pound / EFFb
Net Dth	= Gross Dth x NTG

Variables:

втин	= Rated boiler Input BTUH nameplate data provided by customer on rebate form.
Hrs	boiler. 1004 hours will be used for space heating and 876 hours will be used for domestic hot water. Forecast Ref Boiler Op Hours work sheet which includes an oversizing factor of 54%.
EFFb	≃Efficiency of Baseline boiler. Refer Table 2 below
EFFh	= Efficiency for higher efficiency boiler. Refer Table 2 below.
Leak_Hours	= Annual hours boiler lines are pressurized = 6000 hours (Refer Forecast Boiler Ancil Equip Calcs)
Leak_Rate	=Leakage rate, pounds of steam per hour. High Pressure = 11, Low Pressure = 5 (Refer Forecast Boiler Ancil Equip Calcs)
BTU_Per_Pound	= 1164 BTU per pound for lost to atmosphere, 964 BTU per pound lost to condensate. Assume 50/50 mix = 1064 BTU per pound. (Refer Forecast Boiler Ancil Equip Calcs)

CO Deemed Boiler Efficiency.xls

Deemed Savings

Measure Life	= Length of time the boiler equipment will be operational = 20 years. Low pressure Steam Trap measure life = 10 years. High pressure Steam Traps = 4 years Boiler Tuneup = 2 years.
Baseline Cost	= Cost of the baseline technology. Cost for an existing boiler is \$0. Baseline cost for new application is assumed to be the cost of 80% efficient unit based on customer provided size. Refer Table 1 below.
High Efficiency Cost	= Incremental costs given based on customer provided size and efficiency. Refer Table 1 below.
NTG	Net-to-gross = 97% . Reference 5.

Provided by Customer:	Verified during M&V:
For boilers:	
Boiler size (BTUH)	Yes
Boiler Efficiency (85% or 92%)	Yes
For steam traps:	
High or low pressure	Yes
Incremental cost	No
For all but boilers and steam traps:	
Boiler size (BTUH)	Yes
Implemented measure	Yes
Incremental cost	No

Assumptions:

- Each boiler is replaced with the same size on a 1 for 1 basis.
- Only commercial boilers can receive prescriptive rebates, industrial boilers must go through Custom Efficiency.
- Climate zone assumed to be Denver for all boilers
- Prescriptive rebates are only given for boilers put into service, rebates are not given for backup boilers. Even though we do not rebate backup boilers, our assumed hours have been conservatively reduced to 65% of the predicted hours to account for boiler redundancy.
- Steam boiler has condensate return.
- Thermal Efficiency indicates the heat exchangers effectiveness to transfer heat from the combustion process to the water in the boiler, exclusive radiation and convection losses

CO Deemed Boiler Efficiency.xls

Deemed Savings

- Assumed savings for boiler tune-up = 2% for non condensing boiler. This is an average value of the two years, 4% initial to no savings at the end of the two years. Life of product is 2 years, DOE states up to 5%.
- Assumed savings for outdoor air reset on non condensing boilers = 3%. Life of product is 20 years. The Natural Gas consortium states up to 5% savings
- Assumed savings for installing Stack dampers on non condensing boilers = 1%. Life of product is 20 years. Canada energy council, up to 4%
- Assumed savings for modulating burner controls on non condensing boilers = 3%. Life of product is 20 years. The Natural Gas consortium states up to 4% savings
- Assumed savings for O2 trim controls on non condensing boilers = 2%. Life of product is 20 years. The Natural Gas consortium states of 2 to 4% savings

Table 1, Excerpt from Hot water boiler costs, Full table on Deemed Incremental Costs tab						
	Non-co	Non-condensing Condensing Incremental Incremen				
	80% eff.	85% eff.	92% eff.	Cost for 80% to 85% eff	Cost for 80% to 92% eff	
175,000 Bluh	\$3,000	\$3,500	\$4,600	\$500	\$1,600	
500,000 Btuh	\$5,000	\$9,000	\$11,200	\$4,000	\$6,200	

Table 2, Boiler Efficiencies			
	Baseline Boiler Efficiency (EFFb)	Efficient Boiler Efficiency (EFFh)	
New Boilers (Non-Condensing)	80.00%	86.00%	
New Boilers (Condensing)	80.00%	96.20%	
Boiler Tune Up	78.00%	80.00%	
Outdoor Air Reset	80.00%	83.00%	
Stack Dampers	80.00%	81.00%	
Modulating Burner Controls	80.00%	83.00%	
O2 Trim Control	80.00%	82.00%	
Steam Traps	80.00%	N/A	

References:

- 1. The baseline efficiency for the boiler is based on 2006 IECC, minimum of 80%, ASHRAE 90.1, and Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP).
- 2. Bin Temp & CO Bin Hrs are taken from ASHRAE, to determine operating hours. Value is 1880 hours for both space heating and domestic water production.
- 3. Did not account for altitude, since boiler equipment is manufactured for use in Colorado.
- 4. Leakage data from Energy Management Handbook, by Wayne Turner
- 5. Net-to-Gross factor for Boiler Efficiency was calculated using 1/2 of the free-rider factor for Cooling Efficiency.

CO Deemed Boiler Efficiency.xls

Deemed Savings

.

Table 1,Hot water boiler costs, Vendor supplied, Engineered Products.					
	Non-condensing			Incremental	Incremental
Boiler Nameplate Capacity	80% eff.	85% eff.	92% eff.	Cost for 80% to 85% eff	Cost for 80% to 92% eff
175,000 Btuh	\$3,000	\$3,500	\$4,600	\$500	\$1,600
500,000 Btuh	\$5,000	\$9,000	\$11,200	\$4,000	\$6,200
1,000,000 Bluh	\$7,300	\$11,700	\$15,000	\$4,400	\$7,700
2,000,000 Bluh	\$12,000	\$17,000	\$26,500	\$5,000	\$14,500
4,000,000 Btuh	\$24,000	\$34,000	\$53,000	\$10,000	\$29,000
6,000,000 Btuh	\$36,000	\$51,000	\$79,500	\$15,000	\$43,500
8,000,000 Btuh	\$48,000	\$68,000	\$106,000	\$20,000	\$58,000
Boiler Tune Up	Actual costs will be provided by customer				
Outdoor Air Reset	Actual costs will be provided by customer				
Stack Dampers > 750 Mbtuh	Actual costs will be provided by customer				
Stack Dampers > 750 Mbtuh	Actual costs will be provided by customer				
Modulating Burner Controls < 750 Mbtuh	Actual costs will be provided by customer				
Modulating Burner Controls > 750 Mbtuh	Actual costs will be provided by customer				
O2 Trim Control	Actual costs will be provided by customer				
Steam Traps	Actual costs will be provided by customer				

Program: Compressed Air Efficiency

Custom and prescriptive rebates will be offered under the compressed air program. Prescriptive rebates are available for Variable Frequency Drive Compressors that are less than 50 hp, and no air loss drain valves. Other measures may receive rebates through the Custom Efficiency program. Each custom efficiency project will be analyzed individually by Xcel Energy. Engineering variables required for the analysis will be obtained from the customer or vendor. Analysis will be based on standard engineering methodologies.

Algorithms:

	= HP x Service Factor x 0.746 x (% Load b / Motor_Effb - % Load h / Motor_Effb)
VFD Comp Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= Demand Savings (Customer kW) x VFD Hours
No Loss Air Drains Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= Number of Drains x kW_per_Drain x Drain_Hours
No Loss Air Drains Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= Number of Drains x kW per Drain

Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	= Customer kWh / (1-TDLF)	
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLF)	
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG	11 13
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW x NTG	

Variables:

va: lanies:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HP	= HP of new Compressor provided by the customer
Service_Factor	= Service factor of the motor, we will use 1.1 (Reference 1)
0.746	= Standard conversion from HP to kW.
% Load b	= Average percent loading for baseline compressor = 0.8952 as calculated on %BHP to %Flow tab
% Load h	= Average percent loading for VFD compressor = 0.61 as calculated on %BHP to %Flow tab
Motor Eff b	= Efficiency of existing compressor motor as determine in Table 1 using customer provided HP
Motor Eff h	= Efficiency of new compressor motor as determine in Table 1 using customer provided HP
VFD Hours	■ Operating hours of compressors from Table 1.
	= Operating hours of compressed air systems. We will use 6920 hours which is an average of
Drain_Hours	completed CO and MN custom compressed air project hours.
Number_of_Drains	= Number of drains replaced will be provided by the customer
kW_per_Drain	= kW savings per drain, we will use 0.53 kW per calculations on Forecast NLAD tab.
TDLF	Transmission-Distribution Loss Factor = 6.39%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing SRD-2
CF_VFD	= Coincidence Factor - Probability that the measure peak demand reduction will occur at the same time as the grid peak demand, we will use 88.8% for small VFD compressors based on historic small VFD compressor projects in MN and CO.
CF_NLAD	 Coincidence Factor - Probability that the measure peak demand reduction will occur at the same time as the grid peak demand, we will use 88% for No Loss Air Drains based on historic custom compressed air projects in MN and CO.

CO Deemed Compressed Air.xls

Deemed Savings

.

1246 Page F

NTG	Net-to-gross = We will use 87% for Compressed Air projects (Reference 2)
Incremental operation and maintenance cost	= 0 - conservative approach, taking:no credit for improved mean time between failure.
Incremental Cost of Efficient Equipment	= incremental cost of efficient measures from Table 2. Compared to the do-nothing option.

Provided by Customer: Size of Compressor

Verified during M&V:

Yes Yes

Assumptions:

Number of Drains

VFD Compressors<50 hp

Compressed air system in which VFD compressor is installed must have a capacity < 50hp.

Existing compressor was a non-reciprocating load/no load type with a minimum of 1 gallon of storage per cfm capacity, or modulation with or without unload.

No Loss Air Drains

Compressor must be one of the following:

Load/no-Load with at least 5 gal/CFM of storage (180 CFM compressor would need to have 5°180=900 gallons of storage or more)

Variable Speed Drive compressor Variable Displacement/Capacity compressor

Centrifugal compressors in their efficient trim range without any blowoff to atm.

Table 1. Motor Efficiencies from NEMA

		Existing Compressor	New Compressor	
Compressor HP	Motor Description	Motor Efficiency	Motor Efficiency	Operating Hours
10	10 HP 1800 RPM ODP	89.5%	91.7%	3391
15	15 HP 1800 RPM ODP	91.0%	93.0%	3391
20	20 HP 1800 RPM ODP	91.0%	93.0%	3391
25	25 HP 1800 RPM ODP	91.7%	93.6%	4067
30	30 HP 1800 RPM ODP	92.4%	94.1%	4067
40	40 HP 1800 RPM ODP	93.0%	94.1%	4067

Existing Compressor Motor Efficiency values are from EPAC motors New Compressor Motor Efficiency values are from NEMA Premium motors Operating hours from completed MN and CO custom projects 2007-2008

Table 2. Incremental Costs for Efficient Measures

10 HP VFD Compressor	\$10,841
15 HP VFD Compressor	\$14,018
20 HP VFD Compressor	\$16,879
25 HP VFD Compressor	\$19,561
30 HP VFD Compressor	\$24,357
40 HP VFD Compressor	\$27,429
No Loss Air Drain	\$448

Compressor prices are the average price from three retailers plus \$1500 for installation as calculated on VFD info tab NLAD price is average of nine retailers prices as calculated on Forecast NLAD tab

Changes from 2008

The 2008 Custom C&I, Custom SB, and Compressed Air Efficiency programs have been combined in the 2009 Custom Efficiency Program Prescriptive rebates have been added for VFD compressors < 50hp and No Loss Air Drains

References

- (1) Service factor (1.1) from Compressed Air & Gas Institute (CAGI) standards comparing Nameplate HP to actual BHP @ 100% Full rated pressure and flow
- (2) National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Report (http://www.eebestpractices.com)

Program: Cooling Efficiency

Prescriptive rebates will be offered for new cooling equipment. Rebates for most measures are dependent on size and on meeting a minimum efficiency. Additional rebates are available for better efficiencies than the minimum qualifying efficiencies.

Custom rebates are available for cooling-related Improvements that are not covered by the aforementioned prescriptive rebates. These would include such applications as heat recovery.

Algorithms:

Conversions	
Energy Efficiency Ratio	= Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio x 0.85
kW/ton	= 12 / Energy Efficiency Ratio
For Rooftop Units, Water Source Heat Pumps, Split S	
Cooling Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= Sizé x EFLH x (12/SEER_Standard - 12/SEER_Eff)
Cooling Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= Size x (12/EER_Standard - 12/EER_Eff)
For Chillers	
Cooling Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= Size x EFLH x (IPLV Standard - IPLV Eff)
Cooling Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= Size x (FLV_Standard - FLV_Eff)
For Variable Air Volume (VAV) Boxes	
Cooling Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= # of fans x Savings x EFLH x (cfm_per_fan / cfm_per_ton) x FLV + bhp_per_fan x 0.746 x Load_Factor) }
Cooling Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= #_of_fans x Savings x { (cfm_per_fan / cfm_per_ton) x FLV + bhp_per_fan x 0.746 x Load_Factor) }
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	= Customer kWh / (1-TDLV)
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLV)
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW x NTG

Variables:

	= The equipment capacity in lons, provided by customer
EFLH	= Equivalent Full Load Hours. The equivalent number of hours that the equipment would be running at full load over the course of the year. Values are shown in Table 2 for different building types and locations, to be provided by the customer.
SEER_Standard	= Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio in Btu/Wh of standard equipment, based upon the minimum acceptable efficiency defined by International Energy Conservation Code, 2006. Value determined from table 1 based on customer provided equipment type and size.
SEEK_EIT	= Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio in Btu/Wh of High Efficiency equipment that the customer will install, provided by customer
EER_Standard	= EER of standard equipment, based upon the minimum acceptable efficiency defined by the International Energy Conservation Code, 2008, for a specific type of equipment and size. Toale 1.
EER_Eff	= EER of High Efficiency that the customer will install, provided by customer.

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Cooling Efficiency.xls

.

FLV_Standard	= Full load cooling efficiency in kW/ton of standard equipment, based upon the minimum acceptable efficiency defined by International Energy Conservation Code, 2006 for chiller type and size (type and size provided by customer). Table 1
FLV_Eff	= Full Load Value cooling efficiency in kW/ton, representing the efficiency at design conditions, provided by customer
IPLV_Standard	= Integrated Part Load Value (representing the average efficiency over a range of loaded states) cooling efficiency in kW/ton of standard equipment, based upon the minimum acceptable efficiency defined by International Energy Conservation Code, 2006 for chiller type and size (type and size provided by customer). Table 1
IPLV_Eff	Integrated Part Load Value (representing the average efficiency over a range of loaded states) cooling efficiency in kW/ton of High Efficiency equipment, provided by customer.
CF	 Coincidence Factor, the probability that peak demand of the motor will coincide with peak utility system demand. 0.90 will be used for prescriptive rebates (1).
Measure Life	Measure fife is taken at 20 years for all cooling equipment. (Reference 2)
#_ol_fans	= Number of fans provided by customer
cfm_per_ton	Cubic feet per minute of airflow, typical amount of supply air per ton of cooling, 400 is a standard value used in the Colorado industry (5)
FLV	= Full Load Value of Chiller, taken to be 0.6 kW/ton for VAV (5)
Savings	= Savings factor associated with Variable Air Volume conversion, taken to be 15% (5)
Load Factor	= Average fraction of full load operation, taken to be 80% (5)
bhp_per_fan	= Brake horsepower per fan, taken to be 1 bhp (5)
TDLF	Transmission-Distribution Loss Factor = 6.39%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing SRD-2
NTG	Net-to-gross = We will use 94% for cooling projects (6)
Incremental operation and maintenance cost	= 0 - conservative approach, taking no credit for improved mean time between failure.

Provided by Customer: For all but VAV:	Verified during M&V:
Cooling equipment type	Yes
Cooling equipment size (tons)	Yes
Cooling equipment efficiency (SEER, EER, IPLV, kW/ton - dependent on the technology)	Yes
Climate zone	Yes
Building type	Yes
For VAV:	
# of Variable Air Volume Boxes	Yes
# of fans	Yes
Climate zone	Yes
Building type	Yes

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Cooling Efficiency.xls

Assumptions:

- Each piece of cooling equipment is going in instead of a machine of the same size that only met minimum International Energy Conservation Code, 2006 requirements.
- Prescriptive rebates are not given for backup cooling equipment.
- Some equipment is rated in only EER or SEER. To convert a Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) to an Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER), multiply SEER by 0.85. The conversion factor of 0.85 a generally accepted factor for converting from SEER to EER. Once EER is obtained, convert EER to kW/ton using the following equation: kW/ton = 12/EER. To convert kW/ton to kW, multiply by tons.
- VAV = Variable Air Volume

Table 1. Excerpt from Deemed Baseline Efficienc tab

Table 1. Excelpt House Doubles		T		Incremental
Equipment	Equipment Classification	FLV (kW/ton)	IPLV (kW/ton)	Cost
Centrifugal Chilter (150-300 tons)	Standard Efficiency	0.83	0.60	
Centrifugal Chiller (150-300 tons)	High Efficiency			\$20,000

Table 2. Equivalent Full Load Hours by Building Type - Market segment hours scaled from Minnesota OES data (Reference 3) with Office value calculated for Denver and Grand Junction Typical Meteorological Year data. Distributions developed from CBECS data (Reference 4)

Building Type	Front Range EFLH	Western Slope EFLH
Education - Community College	725	844
Education - Secondary School	456	531
Education - University	981	1,142
Health/Medical - Clinic	833	969
Health/Medical - Hospital	1,616	1,880
Lodging	1,356	1,578
Office	1,102	1,283
Retail	975	1,135

EFLH*- Zone 1 (Front Range/Denver) and Zone 2 (Western State as represented by Grand Junction)

Changes from 2008

Baseline efficiencies updated. Cost information updated from various sources. Methodology now took at market segment rather than a single Equivalent Full Load Hours value for all participants and measures.

References

- 1. NYSERDA (Now York State Energy Research and Development Authority); NY Energy \$mart Programs Deemed Savings Database Source for coincidence factor
- 2. ASHRAE, 2007, Applications Handbook, Ch. 36, table 4, Comparison of Service Life Estimates
- 3. Arkansas Deemed Savings Quick Start Program Draft Report Commercial Measures Final Report source of equivalent full load hour methodology for segments
- 4. CBECS (Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey), 2003 Total Floor space of Cooled Buildings by Principal Building Activity source of market segment distributions
- 5. Derived by Eugene Scales and Associates
- 6. NTG factor from National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Report (http://www.eebestpractices.com)

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Cooling Efficiency.xls

Building Type	Zone 1 EFLH-MOES	Ratio vs. Office EFLH
Education - Community College	560	66%
Education - Secondary School	352	41%
Education - University	758	89%
Health/Medical - Clinic	643	76%
Health/Medical - Hospital	1,248	147%
Lodging	1,047	123%
Office	851	100%
Retail	753	88%

weighting Factors for Zones>	10%	90%
Building Type	Western Slope	Front Range
Education - Community College	844	725
Education - Secondary School	531	456
Education - University	1,142	981
Health/Medical - Clinic	969	833
Health/Medical - Hospital	1,880	1,616
Lodging	1,578	1,356
Office	1283	1,102
Retail	1,135	975

Equipment	Equipment Classification	SEER	EER	FLV (kW/ton)	IPLV (kW/ton)	Incremental Cost, \$
Doofton Lloite long than 5 4 tons	Standard Efficiency	10.0	8.5			. —
Rooflop Units less than 5.4 tons	High Efficiency	10.0	0.5			600
	High Engleticy					
Rooflop Units 5.5-11.3 tons	Standard Efficiency	11.9	8.9			
	High Efficiency					2,500
Rooftop Units11.4-19.9 tons	Standard Efficiency	11.2	9.5			
	High Efficiency	ļ				3,750
Dantes Units 20 62 2 tess	Standard Efficiency	9.5	9.3			
Rooftop Units 20-63.3 tons	High Efficiency	9.5	3.3			7,500
	Trigit Englency	 				7,000
Rooftop Units greater than 63.3 tons	Standard Efficiency	9.2	9.0			
	High Efficiency					31,250
Variable Air Volume Conversion	Standard Efficiency		10.0	0.60		
	High Efficiency	ļ <u>. </u>				290
0.89 0.44	Ot - de - l Effete - e	40.0	9.7			-
Split Systems less than 5.4 tons	Standard Efficiency High Efficiency	10.0	9.7			600
	Inigh Elliciency					000
Condensing Units > 5.4 tons	Standard Efficiency	11.2	10.1			
	High Efficiency					2,500
Water-source Heat Pumps	Standard Efficiency	12.4	11.2			
The state of the s	High Efficiency	ļ				750
PTAC	Standard Efficiency	11,2	9.1			
PIAC	High Efficiency	11.2	J. 1.			188
	I ngri Emolency	 				100
scroll/screw chiller < 150 tons	Standard Efficiency			0.79	0.78	
	High Efficiency					12,500
scroll/screw chiller 150 to 300 tons	Standard Efficiency			0.72	0.71	
	High Efficiency	ļ				16,000
Contitued Chillery & 150 tons	Standard Efficiency	 	<u></u>	0.70	0.70	
Centrifugal Chillers < 150 tons	High Efficiency			0.70	0.70	12,500
	Ingil Elliciency					(2,500
Centrifugal Chillers 150- 300 tons	Standard Efficiency			0.63	0.63	
	High Efficiency					20,000
Centrifugal Chillers > 300 tons	Standard Efficiency			0.58	0.58	
	High Efficiency					90,000
Air Cooled Chillens are accepted to the	Clandard Efficiency	 		1,41	1.41	
Air-Cooled Chillers - avg. capacity 250 tons	High Efficiency			1.41	1,41	8,608
	I odi chicienci	1				0,000

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG

Dec: R08-1248, Rage 58-of 148-

CUSTOM SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Custom Efficiency

Customer may apply for rebate under the Custom Efficiency Program for gas or electric projects not listed under prescriptive rebate programs. Each Custom Efficiency project will be analyzed individually by Xcel Energy. Technical variables required for the analysis will be obtained from the customer or vendor. Analysis will be based on standard engineering methodologies.

Calculations:

Electrical energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated based on the project specific details. Each project will undergo an engineering review in accordance with standard engineering practices. The review will be in accordance with the calculation methodologies detailed in the prescriptive programs where applicable.

A net-to-gross factor of 87% will be used for electric custom projects, referenced National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Report (http://www.eebestpractices.com) A net-to-gross factor of 93% will be used for custom gas projects which assumes 1/2 of the free rider rate for electric becasue gas programs are new offerings in Colorado.

A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used for Custom Efficiency projects. This is calculated using factors from the 2007/2008 DSM Biennial Plan; no significant system changes have been noted since then.

Product Life will be evaluated for each project, lives for end use technologies will be in accordance with prescriptive programs where applicable

Operation and Maintenance Savings will be evaluated for each project.

Changes from 2008

Rebate levels and minimum payback criteria were updated from 2008.

CO Deemed Custom Efficiency.xls

Deemed Savings

1

DATA CENTER SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Data Center Efficiency

This is a custom program. Customer may apply for rebate under the Data Center Efficiency Program for projects not listed under prescriptive rebate programs. Each Data Center efficiency project will be analyzed individually by Xcel Energy. Technical variables required for the analysis will be obtained from the customer or vendor. Analysis will be based on standard engineering methodologies.

Calculations:

Electrical energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated based on the project-specific details. Each project will undergo an engineering review in accordance with standard engineering practices. Where prescriptive elements exist, the review will be in accordance with the calculation methodologies detailed in the prescriptive programs.

A net-to-gross factor of 90% will be used for Data Center projects, reference National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Report (http://www.eebestpractices.com)

A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used for Data Center projects. Reference the Enhanced DSM filing, SRD-2; no significant system changes have been noted since then.

Assumptions:

Operation and Maintenance Savings will be calculated for each specific project based on project details. study rebate at 50% of cost not to exceed \$15,000 for retrofit lighting assume no change in number of fixtures virtualization at ratio of 15:1

Changes from 2008
This is a new program for 2009.

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Data Center Efficiency.xls

Program: Easy Savings Energy Kit

A package of home energy efficiency measures in a kit that can be distributed to low-income customers through low-income agencies. Each participant receives a kit containing a high-efficiency showerhead, a kitchen sink aerator, and two compact fluorescent bulbs, in addition to other items such as a thermometer, litter alarm, leak detection tablet, night light and tape measure.

0.1	no.	est fi	ms	•

CFL Electric Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= (kW base-kW eff)x Hr use = Savings; = ((60 - 14)/1000 + (75	
CFL Electric Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= (kW_EE - kW_Base) = (60-14)/1000 + (75-19)/1000	= 0,102 kW per kit
Showerhead Energy Savings (Gross Dth)	= (GPY_Saved x Delta_T x 8.33) / HGE x SPD/100000;	≠ 1.33_Dkt/yr_per kit
Aerator Energy Savings (Gross Dth)	# ((GPY_Saved x Delta_T x 8.33) / HGE)/1000000	= 0.343 Dkt/yr per kit
	# Customer kWh:/-(1₹TOLF).	
	= Customer kW x CE/.(1-TDLF)	nastifie nym m
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	# Gross:Génerator KWh.'x NTG	
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW: x.NTG	
Net Dih	= Gross Dth x NTG	

Variables:

Variables;		
Number_of_Eulbs	= Number of bulbs provided in each kit = 2.	
Hrs	 Annual operational hours per year of the fixture. We will use 1210 hours which represents the average operating to the first 5 CFLs installed in a house. (Reference 1) 	
CF	 Coincidence Factor, the probability that peak demand of the lights will coincide with peak utility system demand. 0.08 will be used for prescriptive rebates (Ref 2) 	
kW_EE	≃ Bulb wattage per supplied CFLs; = 14W and 19 W. These are in the two bulb kit.	
kW_Base	= Bulb waitage replaced by supplied CFLs; = 60 W and 75W.	
GPY_Saved	 Gallons per year of hot water saved with high-efficiency showerhead (for one shower per day) or aerator assuming 65% of water flow is hot water. Showerhead = 1635 gallons per year per shower, Aerator = 423 gallons. 	
Delta_T	 Change in temperature of water from incoming water temperature to water heater temperature setting. Delta_T is 74 degrees F. (Reference 5) 	
HGE	= Heat generation efficiency based on steady-state water heater efficiency. Used value of 0.76. (Reference 3)	
SPD	= Number of showers per day = 1.32 based on 2.64 people per home and 2 bathrooms. (Reference 5)	
Incremental Costs	= Incremental costs of measure as seen in Table 1.	
Transmission Distribution Loss Factor (TDLF)	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing - SRD-2	
Net-to-Gross Factor (NTG)	= We will use 100% for school education kits as these kits would not be available without the program.	
O&M savings	= Operation and Maintenance savings are assumed to be zero for the easy savings energy kits.	

CO Deemed Easy Savings Energy Kit.xls

Deemed Savings

1

Table 1. (Reference 1,6)

Measure	Measure Life	Incremental Cost
CFLs	6.61 years (Reference 1)	\$20.57
Shower heads	6 years (Reference 6)	\$10.28
Faucet aerators	5 years (Reference 6)	\$10.28

Provided by Customer: Number of kits distributed Verified during M&V:

Yes

Changes From 2008:

This is a new program for 2009

References

- 1. US DOE US Lighting Market Characterization Study 2002
- 2. Composite Waltages, Operating Hours and Coincidence from CFL METERING STUDY FINAL REPORT, Prepared for: Pacific Gas & Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, 2005
- 3. Department of Energy Domestic Hot Water Appliance Calculator
- 4. Japanese study: "The effects of variation in body temperature on the preferred water temperature and flow rate during showering" Authors: Tadaketsu Ohneke, Yutaka Tochihera, Yumiko Watanabe. Affiliations: a) Department of Physiological Hygiene, The Institute of Public Health, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan; b) Faculty of Home Economics, Jissen Women's University, Hino, Tokyo, Japan,
- 5. Handbook of Water Use and Conservation, Denver Water Conservation
- 6. CALMAC; California Measurement Advisory Committee.

Program: Energy Efficient Showerheads

Residential natural gas customers are eligible to receive a free high-efficiency showerhead to help reduce energy and water use.

Αl	g	O	ril	lh	m	3	:

Showerhead Natural Gas Savings (Gross Dth)	Fi(GR)(LSaved X.Dehā, JX8833))/HGEX.SED
Nel Dth	中Gross Diffix NIIIG (A) 公司 (A)

Variables:

	= Gallons per year of hot water saved with high-efficiency showerhead (for one shower per day) assuming 65% of water
GPY_Saved	flow is hot water. Showerhead = 1660 gallons per year per shower (Reference 2)
	Change in temperature of water from incoming water temperature to water heater temperature setting. Delta_T is 74
Delta_T	degrees F. (Reference 1)
HGE	= Heat generation efficiency based on steady-state water heater efficiency. Used value of 0.76. (Reference 1)
SPD	⇒ Number of showers per day = 1.32 based on 2.84 people per home and 2 bathrooms. (Reference 3)
8.33	Conversion from gallons to pounds of water
Incremental Costs	=costs provided by vendor; = \$5 per showerhead
NTG	= Net-to-Gross Factor - We will use 70% for showerheads. (Reference 4)
O&M savings	= Water savings are assumed to be 1258 gallons per year @ \$0.003/gallon = \$3.77 per shower head
Measure Life	= 10 years

Provided by administrator:

Showerhead received by customer Showerhead installed by customer Verified during M&V:

Yes Yes

Assumptions:

- 2.5 gpm replaced with 2.0 gpm, resulting in 1,660 gallons of annual water savings per shower. (reference 2)
- 1.32 showers per day at 6.9 minutes per shower (reference 2,3)

Changes From 2008:

This is a new program for 2009

References

1. Department of Energy Domestic Hot Water Appliance Calculator

- Japanese study: "The effects of variation in body temperature on the preferred water temperature and flow rate during showering"
 Authors: Tadakatsu Ohnaka, Yutaka Tochihara, Yumlko Watanabe. Affiliations: a) Department of Physiological Hygiene, The Institute of Public Health, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan; b) Faculty of Home Economics, Jissen Women's University, Hino, Tokyo, Japan.
- 3. Handbook of Water Use and Conservation, Denver Water Conservation
- 4. Net-to-Gross factor is an assumed installation rate for showerheads based on Xcel MN study and aggressive CO follow-up

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Energy Efficient Showerhead.xls

Page 1

Energy Management System/Controls (EMS) SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: EMS Efficiency

This is a custom program including both gas and electric measures. Customer may apply for rebate under the EMS Program. Each EMS project will be analyzed individually by Xcel Energy. Technical variables required for the analysis will be obtained from the customer or vendor. Analysis will be based on good engineering practices and standards.

Calculations:

Electrical and gas energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated based on the project-specific details. Each project will undergo an engineering review in accordance with standard engineering practices. Where prescriptive elements exist, the review will be in accordance with the calculation methodologies detailed in the prescriptive programs.

Assumptions:

A net-to-gross factor of 87% will be used for electric measures and a net-to-gross factor of 93% will ne used for gas EMS projects, reference National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Report (http://www.eebestpractices.com). Gas measures will assume one half of the free rider factor of electric because gas measures are new to Colorado.

A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used for EMS projects. Reference the Enhanced DSM filing, SRD-2; no significant system changes have been noted since then.

for retrofit lighting assume no change in number of fixtures

Operation and Maintenance Savings will be calculated for each specific project based on project details. Life of product is 10 years.

Changes from 2008

Gas measures have been added to the program for 2009. Measure life for the program have been changed form 7 to 10 years.

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Energy Management Systems.xls

Program: ENERGY STAR New Homes Rebates

Residential natural gas and electric customers receive a cash rebate for implementing ENERGY STAR energy efficiencies.

Algorithms:	LINES HE WAS A LICED
Medining the increase againing (constitution to the	= (Baseline_HERS - Measured_HERS) x kW_per_HERS
Required mensures savings (Customer kWh)	= (Baseline_HERS - Measured HERS) x kWh_per_HERS
Dequired measures savings (Gross Dth)	= (Baseline_HERS - Measured_HERS) x Dth_per_HERS
	Energy and demand savings and annual hours of operation for compact fluorescent lamps are based on data and calculations derived from the 2002 US Lighting Market Characterization performed for the Department of Energy in 2002. Energy savings are 940 kWh and demand savings are 0.93 kW.
Clothes washer natural gas savings (Dth) and electric energy savings (kWh)	Energy savings for the clotherswasher were based on the Energy Star Clotherswasher Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clotheswash.pr_clothes_washers. This assumed a gas water heater home, so savings are generated for gas and electric. Savings to 0.88 Oth and 26 Kwh.
Dishwasher retural gas savings (Dth) and electric energy savings (kWh)	Energy savings for the dishwasher were based on the Energy Star Dishwasher Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=dishwash.pr_dishwashers. This assumed a gas water heater home, so savings are generated for gas and electric. Savings is 1.27 Dih and 77 kWh.
Refrigerator electric energy savings (kWh)	Energy savings for the refrigerator were based on the Energy Star Refrigerator Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=refrig.pr_refrigerators. Savings is 93 kWh.
Net Dih	= Gross Dih x NTG
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWn)	Customer kWh / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Dernand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x:CF / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	Gross Generator kW x NTG

Variables:	
Baseline HERS	# Home Energy Rating System baseline for home location from Table 1.
As Built_HERS	I - Here George Pating System for constructed home, calculated for each nome.
	to 0 2024 MW, based on average total running time of furnace and air conditioner of 2,548 noors
kW_per_HEFS	Le 6.4 Walls ner UEDS point, based on simulated ENERGY STAR home with HERS stolle or ro
kWh_per_HERS	1- 0.00 DH Ass LICES point based so simulated ENERGY STAK nome with HERO SCORO 01/3
Dih_per_HERS	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the
1	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor # 7,14%, the percentage total of the contract of the con
TDLF	customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filling SRD-2
	Teblo 2
CF	Coincidence Factor = the probability that peak demand of the lights will coincide with peak utility system demand from Table 2
	Net-to-Gross Factor = We will use 94% based on reference 5.
NTG	Operation and Maintenance savings = We will assume no O&M savings.
O&M savings	Operation and maintenance several 5 - 476 and 925 and

CO Deemed Energy STAR New Homes.xls

Deemed Savings

Table 1. Baseline HERS Values

TEDIO 1: DESONIO TIETTO TOTOGO			
Location	Square Footage of Home	Beseline HERS	HERS for Rebate Eligibility
City of Boulder	3,000 and below	70	60
City of Boulder	3,001 - 5,000	60	51
City of Boulder	5,001 or above	35	30
Mountain Communitles	All	100	80
Other Areas	Alf	100	85

Table 2. Measure Life and Cost

Type of measure:	Measure life:	Incremental cost:	Colneidence factor:
Ceiling insulation	20 years (Reference 1)	\$206 (Reference 6)	N/A
HE furnace AFUE 92%	18 years (Reference 12)	\$331 (Reference 13)	N/A
ACH reduction	10 years (Reference 1)	\$550 (Reference 7)	N/A
Water heater 57 to 62 EF	15 years (Reference 1)	\$55 (Reference 13)	N/A
CFLs	8.2 years (Reference 9)	\$71 (Reference 10)	8% (Reference 13)
Clothes washer	11 years (Reference 16)	\$200 (Reference 14)	4:47% (Reference 14)
Dishwasher	11 years (Reference 15)	\$30 (Reference 14)	2.45% (Reference 14)
Refrigerator rapiacement	13 years (Reference 14)	\$30 (Reference 14)	100%

Provided by Customer: Home size into and type of equipment HERS score Blower door test

Verified during M&V:

Yes Yes

Yes

Assumptions:
The baseline home had an existing level of insulation in the attic of R-38 and the change case had an elevated insulation level of R-44. The baseline home had an existing ACH of 7.08 and the change case was 4.6 ACH.
The baseline turnace had an AFUE of 78%, which is the federal minimum efficiency standard.

The baseline water heater is a 40 gallon capacity with an 57 EF.

Changes From 2008:

This is a new program for 2009

Building Characteristics for Standard Home Used for Modeling:

Single family home

Two stories with unfinished conditioned basement

Five bedrooms, two bathroom

2450 square feet above grade, 1225 square feet below grade

HVAC: Gas Furnace and Central AC

Orientation: Square home with each of the four sides facing one of the cardinal directions with the same amount of window space on each orientation

2 foot roof overhangs

Roofing material: composite shingles - medium color

Doors: wood

The duct supply, duct return and air handler are in conditioned space

No shading was assumed

References:

- teferences:

 1. California Measurement Advisory Committee (CALMAC) Protocols, Appendix F (www.calmac.org/events/APX_F.pdf).

 2. 2006 Residential Energy Use Colorado Service Area Xcel; Bruca Neilson

 3. American Housing Survey for Denver US Census Bureau

 4. Xcel Energy CO DSM Potential 2006 prepared by Kema

 5. National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Study Residential Single-Family Comprehensive Weatherization Best Practices Report from December 2004.

 6. RS Means Repair and Remodeling 2007 at a cost of \$0.028 per square foot per increase in R-value.

 7. National Energy Audit Tool (NEAT) and Frontier estimates.

 8. EEBP web site Tacoma Residential Weatherization program.

 9. US Liabiting Market Characterization Study performed for the Department of Energy in 2002

- US Lighting Market Characterization Study performed for the Department of Energy in 2002
 MEEA/ES Change A Light campaign info
- 11. Xcel Energy estimate
- 12. Oraft Technical Support Document: Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Furnaces and Boilers, Efficiency Standards for Consumer Products Prepared for US ODE, September 2006
 13. Cellionia Energy Commission's Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER)
- 14. www.er.ergystar.gov
- 15. DOE 2007
- 16. Appliance Magazine, September 2007

Program: ENERGY STAR Retailer Incentive Pilot Program

This is a pitot program designed to increase the sales of energy efficient technologies by providing rebates directly to retailers that sell ENERGY STAR appliances and electronics such as refrigerators, clothes washers, dishwashers, room air conditioners, televisions and ceiling fans.

Algorithms:	
Energy Star Flefrigerator electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the refrigerator were based on the Energy Star Refrigerator Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=refrig.pr_refrigerators. Savings is 93 kWh and 0.011 kW.
Energy Star clothes washer natural gas savings (Grosa Dth) and electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the clotherswasher were based on the Energy Star Clotherswasher Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clotheswash.pr_clothes_washers. This assumed a gas water heater home, so savings are generated for gas and electric. Savings is 0.88 Dth, 25 kWh and 0.66 kW.
Energy Start dishwasher natural gas savings (Gross Dth) and electric exergy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the dishwasher were based on the Energy Star Dishwasher Savings Calculator: http://www.anergystar.gov/index.cfm?c=dishwash.pr_dishwashers. This assumed a gas water heater home, so savings are generated for gas and electric. Savings is 1.27 Dth, 77 kWh and 0.36 kW.
Energy Star room air conditioner electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the room air conditioner (AC) were based on the Energy Star Room AC Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=roomac.pr_room_ac. Savings is 59 kWh and 0.094 kW.
Energy Star television electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the television were based on the Energy Star Television Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c≖dishwash.pr_dishwashers. Savings is 52 kWh and 0,022 kW.
Energy Star culling fan energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the ceiling fan were based on the Energy Star Television Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=refrig.pr_refrigerators. Savings is 180 kWh and 0.12 kW.
Net Dth	Gross Dth x NTG
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	= Customer kWh / (1-TDLfs)
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kVV)	= Customer kW x CF./(1-TDLF)
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross-Generator kWhot NTG
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	Gross Génerator kW x NTG ¹

Variables:	
NTG	Net-to-Gross Factor = We will use 80% based on reference 1.
CF	Coincidence Factor = Probability that peak demand of the bulb will coincide with peak utility system demand.
TDLF	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filling SRD-2
O&M savings	Operation and Maintenance savings = We will assume no O&M savings.

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed ENERGY STAR Retailer Incentive.xis

Type of Measure:	Measure Life:	Incremental Cost:	Coincidence Factor:
Energy Star Refrigerator	13 years (Reference 2)	\$30 (Reference 2)	100% (fully diversified load)
Energy Star Clothes Washer	11 years (Reference 7)	\$200 (Reference 2)	4.47% (calculated)
	11 years (Reference 4)	\$0 (Reference 2)	2.45% (calculated)
Energy Star Cishwasher	9 years (Reference 2)	\$30 (Reference 2)	75% (Reference 5)
Energy Star Floom AC	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$0 (Reference 2)	5% (assumed value)
Energy Star Television	6.2 years (Reference 3)	86 (Reference 2)	8% (Reference 6)
Energy Star Celling fan	10 years (Reference 2)	OO (Marcience 2)	B / (Italiarania a)

Changes from 2008: This program is new for 2009

- References:
 1. NYSERDA market transformation efforts
 2. Energy Star Calculator DOE 2004
 3. Consort um for Energy Efficiency
 4. Appliance Magazine, September 2007
 5. MN Cacling Coincidence Factor
 6. CA CFL Metering Study Final Report 2005
 7. DOE 2007

Program: Evaporative Cooling

Prescriptive rebates will be offered for the purchase and installation of evaporative coolers. Two tiers of rebates are offered based on the Evaporative Efficacy of the unit and the type of media. The rebates and analyses are based on a nominal 3 ton cooling load. Tier 1 units are standard efficiency evaporative coolers. Tier 2 units are high efficiency evaporative coolers (see assumptions for details). Credit will be calculated based on the number and type of units installed, and the type of the existing unit.

Algorith	mu:

= Ref_air_energy - (MotorHP x Motor_kW_Constant/Tier1Motor_eff x LF_evap x EFLH) = 1840 kWh		
= Ref_air_demand - (MotorHP x LF_evap x Motor_kW_Constant/Tier1 Motor_eff) = 2.2 kw		
= Ref_air_energy - (MotorHP x Motor_kW_Constant/Tier2Motor_eff x LF_evap_efficient x EFLH) = 2095		
= Ref_air_demand - (MotorHP x LF_evap_efficient x Motor_kW_Constant/Tier2Motor_eff) = 2.43 kW		
= (MotorHP x Motor_kW_Constant/Tier1Motor_eff x LF_evap x EFLH) - (MotorHP x Motor_kW_Constant/Tier2Motor_eff x LF_evap_efficient x EFLH) = 362 kWh		
= (MotorHP x LF_evap x Motor_kW_Constant/Tier1Motor_eff) - (MotorHP x LF_evap_efficient x Motor_kW_Constant/Tier2Motor_eff) = 0.24 kW		
= Customer kWh / (1-TLF)		
= Customer kW:x:CF / (1-TLF)		
= Gross Generator kWh x NTG		
= Gross Generator kW x NTG		
= modeled hourly energy use of home with 3 ton 13 SEER standard AC unit in Denver using ESPRE. We will use 1,358 kWh. (Reference 1)		
= Bluh/EER x 1000. We will use 3.22 kW (Reference 2)		
Standard evaporative cooling motor efficiency. We will use 0.7. (Reference 3)		
High efficacy evaporative cooling motor efficiency. We will use 0.7. (Reference 3)		
Load factor for standard evaporative cooler of 0.90. (Reference 5)		
Load factor for high efficiency evaporative cooler of 0.69. (Reference 5)		

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Evaporative Cooling Rebate.xls

Page 1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Motor Horsepower - We will use 1.0725 to represent the motor size for an evaporative cooler which
MotorHP	corresponds to the cooling output of a 3 ton AC unit. (Reference 5)
Motor_kW_Constant	kW conversion / HP = 0.746
EFLH	Effective full load hours (700 hours) (Reference 5)
	= Coincidence Factor, the probability that peak demand of the coolers will coincide with peak utility system
lcf	demand. 0.90 will be used for prescriptive rebates (Reference 5)
	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power
TOLF	plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing SRD-2
NTG	Net-to-Gross Factor = We will use 60% for standard AC to standard evaporative cooling, and 100% for
	remaining projects based on Xcel Energy program experience.
	= Incremental cost of efficient technology over baseline technology. Costs will be provided by customer if
Incremental Costs	available, if not, assumed costs will be used. AC unit = \$1268(Reference 8), Std Evap Cooler =
	\$400(Reference 6), HE Evap Cooler = \$2200(Reference 8)
O&M savings	= Operation and Maintenance savings related to water use are listed in Table 1.
Measure Life	= 10 years (Reference 4)

Provided by Customer: Type of unit installed (Tier 1 or Tier 2) If Tier 2, type of unit previously installed (AC or None)

Verified during M&V: Yes Yes

Assumptions:

Table 1, Operation and Mainrtenance Savings (Reference 9)

Tobic 1: Operation and maintenance comme		
se System New System		O&M Savings
Refrigerated Air	Standard Evap Cooling (Tier 1)	\$ (19:85)
Refrigerated Air	Hight Efficient Evap Cooling (Tier 2)	\$ (5.06)
Standard Evap Cooling (Tier 1)	Hight Efficient Evap Cooling (Tier 2)	\$ 14.79

Qualifying equipment must be new and be a permanently installed direct, indirect or two-stage evaporative cooling unit. Portable coolers or systems with vapor compression backup are not eligible, nor is used or reconditioned equipment.

Tier 1: Qualifying evaporative cooling units must have a minimum Industry Standard Reted airflow of 2,500 CFM

Tier 2: Qualifying evaporative cooling units must have a minimum Media Saturation Effectiveness of 85% and above. The units must be installed with a remote thermostat and a periodic purge water control.

References:

- 1. ESPRE 2.1 engineering model: Simplified energy analysis methods for residential buildings
- 2. Building America, Research Benchmark Definitions, Pg 9, http://www.eere.energy.gov/buildings/building_america/pdfs/37529.pdf
- 3. Average motor efficiency for 0.75 hp motor from NEMA, http://www.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/commercial/pdfs/small_motors_tsd.pdf
- 4. Kinney, Larry. New Evaporative Cooling Systems: An Emerging Solution for Homes in Hot Dry Climates with Modest Cooling Loads. SWEEP
- 5. Summit Blue/Nexant Study Motor HP, load factor, EFLH
- 6. An average of the price for a 13 SEER Goodman (http://www.acfactoryoutlet.com/home.asp?p=listgoodman.asp&cat=73&sort=1&ah=1) and the price as noted in the DOE's AC calculator spreadsheet (www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls) is assumed.
- 7. http://www.google.com/products?q=home+depot+evaporative+cooler+cost&ie=UTF-8&oe=utf-8&rls=org.mozilla:en-US:official&client=firefox-a&um=1&sa=X&oi=product_result_group&resnum=1&ct=title
- 8. http://www.toolbase.org/TechInventory/techDetails.aspx?ContentDetaillD=750: "A two-stage evaporative cooler with a cooling capacity equivalent to a three-ton conventional system retails for about \$1,800." The California Energy Commission states that installation costs are equivalent to refrigerated air systems, so only equipment cost is included in this analysis (http://www.consumerenergycenter.org/home/heating_cooling/evaporative.html: "Installation costs of swamp coolers are comparable to air conditioning units").
- 9. O&M Savings based on manufacturers water use data and an assumed \$3/thousand gallons cost for water

Program: Furnace Efficiency

Prescriptive rebates will be offered for new Condensing Furnaces and replacement of current furnaces.

Algorithms:

Furnace Savings (Gross Dth)	= Alt.X (BTUH:- (BTUH:x:EEFFb/EFFh)) x Hrs / 1,000,000	5 AS (\$40 TIME)
Net Dth	= Gross Dth x NTG	N.E.

	_		٠.	•	٠	
v	а	П	3	D	ı	65

Variables:	
Hrs	= Annual operational hours per year of the furnace = 2864, based on the BIN data for Denver from ASHRAE. Reference 1.
EFFb	=Required Efficiency of Baseline furnace (AFUE), as defined in the 2006 IECC. It is 78%.
EFFh	 Required efficiency for higher efficiency fumace (AFUE). The customer provides the rated nameplate efficiency, either 92% or 94%.
втин	= British thermal unit per hour - Rated furnace BTUH nameplate data provided by customer on rebate
1,000,000	=Conversion from BTU to dekatherms = 1,000,000
Alt	=Altitude correction factor for Denver which is 0.80. This factor represents the reduced capacity of a furnace at increased altitude. Standard reduction is approximately 4% per thousand feet, therefore we will use 20% for Colorado furnaces.
Measure Life	= Length of time the furnace equipment will be operational = 15 years (Reference 4)
Baseline Cost	= Cost of the baseline technology. For Retrofit, the cost is \$0 since the baseline is to continue to operate the existing system. For New Construction, the cost is that of the lower efficiency option. Costs assumed to be \$9.71 per 1000BTU/h capacity (reference 2)
High Efficiency Cost	= Installed cost of high efficiency unit assumed to be \$42.48 per 1000BTUH (Reference 2)
NTG	Net-to-gross = 77% (Reference 3)

Provided by Customer: New furnace size (BTUH) New furnace efficiency Verified during M&V:

Yes Yes

CO Deerned Furnace efficiency.xls

Deemed Savings

4

Assumptions:

- Each furnace is replaced with the same size on a 1 for 1 basis.
- Prescriptive rebates are only given for furnaces put into service, rebates are not given for backup furnaces.
- Service life of typical furnace is 20 years (per FEMP), 15 years used in the calculations. Reference 5
- Furnaces must have a minimum efficiency of 92% AFUE for a rebate, and 94% AFUE or higher efficiency will receive a larger rebate.
- The baseline efficiency for the furnace is based on 2006 IECC, minimum of 78%.
- Efficiency of all furnaces is Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency ("AFUE")

Changes from 2008:

There was no prescriptive program in 2008

References

- 1. Bin Temp & CO Bin Hrs are taken from ASHRAE, to determine operating hours in Denver area. See table 1, used 2864 hours.
- 2. The average baseline and high efficiency costs are based on the California DEER database.
- 3. Net-to-Gross factor from Summit Blue 2006 Midwest Residential market Assessments DSM Potential Study
- 4. Measure life from the Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP).

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Heating System Rebates

Residential natural gas customers receive a cash rebate for purchasing high-efficiency heating equipment.

Algorithms:

Algoriums.	
Furnace from AFUE 78% to 92% (Tier 1):	Energy savings for the gas furnace were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home
Natural nas savinos (Gross Dth)	Isize and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics) = 9.8.0th
Furnace from AFUE 78% to 94% (Tier 2):	Energy savings for the gas furnace were calculated in EnergyGauge using a pastine from model calibrated to typical home
Noting age sevings (Gross Dth)	Isize and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics) = 11 Dth
0.404 h - 11	Energy savings for the gas boiler were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home
84% boiler natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics) = 3.0 Dtb
Net Dih	= Gross Dth x NTG

Variables:

10//20/00/	
NTG	Net-to-Gross Factor = We will use 77% (Reference 6)
Measure life	= 18 years (Reference 5)

Incremental cost:

High-efficiency furnace rated at an AFUE of 92 is \$450. (Reference 1) High-efficiency furnace rated at an AFUE of 94 is \$505. (Reference 1) High-efficiency boiler rated at an AFUE of 84 is \$440. (Reference 1)

Provided by Customer: Efficiency of new unit (Furnace 92%, 94% - Boiler 84%)

Verified during M&V:

Changes From 2008:

This is a new program for 2009

Building Characteristics for Prototype Home Used for Modeling: Single Family Two story (Reference 3) 3 bedroom 2 bathroom (Reference 3) 2000 square feet (Reference 3) Basement foundation (Reference 3) HVAC: heating - gas furnace 78 AFUE (55.9 kBtu unit required) - 85% of homes have gas heating, and 78% of which are forced air furnaces (Reference 2) cooling - 59% have Central Air Conditioning model required a 2.5 ton unit to meet the cooling load (Reference 2) air hand er is in the basement and supply ducts and return ducts are assumed to be in majority interior space Windows: 61% of homes have double pane windows (Reference 2) double pane low-E are standard (Reference 4) Model assumes 15% of wall area glazing applied a u-factor of 0.53 (average between clear glass double pane and low-E) Insulation Levels: Existing Ceiling Insulation: R-19 (Reference 4) Existing Wall Insulation: R-11 (Reference 4) Basement Assumptions Assumed basement walls to have R-11 insulation Basement is considered finished space but not conditioned The air handler is located in the basement Some homes will have smaller sections of the basement conditioned -- maybe a bonus room etc, however this cannot be easily modeled in EnergyGauge Appliances (Reference 2) 85% have dishwashers 74% electric ranges 88% and 89% have clothes washer and dryer (electric) 85% water heating is gas - model used a 40 gallon storage tank

Therms annually: 835 References:

68% of homes have ceiling fans

- 1. California Energy Commission's Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER) http://www.energy.ca.gov/deer
- (Does not include labor of equipment rental fees as this measure is considered a replace on burnout)
- 2. 2006 Rasidential Energy Use Colorado Service Area Xcel: Bruce Neilson
- 3. American Housing Survey for Denver US Census Bureau

Average Customer Energy Consumption: (Reference 2) kWh annually: 9,000 roughly for a 2,000 square foot home

- 4. Xcel Energy CO DSM Potential 2006 prepared by Kema
- Draft Technical Support Document: Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Furnaces and Bollers, Efficiency Standards for Consumer Products: Residential Central Air Conditioners And Heat Pumps, Prepared for US DOE, September 2006
- 6. Summit Blue 2006 Midwest Residential Market Assessment and DSM Potential Study.
- 7. Baseline costs from RS MEANS Repair and Remodeling Cost Data 2007

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Heating System Rebate.xls

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Home Lighting & Recycling

Home Lighting Program encourages the purchase of compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs) and recycling of all fluorescent lamps.

Algorithms:

Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	=Number_of_Bulbs x (kW_Savings_per_Bulb) x Hours
Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	=Number_of_Bulbs x (kW_Savings_per_Bulb)
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	= Customer kWh / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW x NTG

Variables:

Tariables	
Number_of_Bulbs	= Number of builds sold
kW_Savings_per_Bulb	= kW savings per replaced bulb. We will subtract the manufacturer provided wattage for each CFL from the wattage of the incadescent bulb it replaces. The incadescent wattages will be determined based on the CFL wattage as seen in Table 1.
Hours	= Hours of operation per year for the bulb. Hours of operation will be determined by assuming that there are three existing CFLs in each home. A sample of customers will be used to determine the distribution of bulbs purchased per customer. This distribution of bulbs/purchase will be used to determine the average hours of newly installed bulbs per Table 3.
CF	= Probability that peak demand of the bulb will coincide with peak utility system demand. 0.08 will be used for all CFLs based on Reference 1.
Measure Life	= Measure life for the average CFL sold will be 7 years; (8000 hr life/1,119 hr/yr).
TOLF	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing SRD-2

Incremental Cost of Bulbs	= From Table 4 (Ref 3)
Net-to-Gross Factor	= We will use 83% for residential home lighting. Per Settlement NTG = 83% = 93% - 10% (Installation Rate assumption.
O&M savings	= Operation and Maintenance savings are assumed to be zero.

Provided by Program Vendor: Number and type of bulbs purchased Verified during M&V: Yes

Assumptions:

Average house in CO already has 3 CFLs installed

Table 1 - Existing lighting wattage and coincidence factors for residential lights (Reference 1,5)

CFL Watinge Range	Replaced Incandescent Bulb Wattage
9 - 12	40
13 - 16	60
17 - 23	75
24 - 30	100
31 - 52	150

Table 2 - Hours of operation by space (Reference 2)

1000	Number of Lamps	Annual Operating	Total Installed
	per Space	Hours per Space	Lamps
Kitchen	5.11	1210	5.11
Outdoor	4.06	1027	9.17
Utility Room	1.81	888	10.98
Living Room	5.97	864	16.95
Dining Room	1.23	829	
Family Room	2.38	772	
Garage	4.23	720	
Office	1.16	708	
Bathroom	6.88	669	32.83
Hall	5.12	616	
closet	0.77	513	
Other	2.05	435	40.77
Bedroom	9.94	406	50.71

Purchased lamps are installed in most frequently used locations in declining order; e.g. first 5 in Kitchen, next 4 in Outdoor locations etc.

CO Deemed Home Lighting & Recycling.xls

Deemed Savings

Table 3 - Average hours for newly installed bulbs

a de Autoria de Hours de Hours		· · ·	Total Hours for	Average
	Newly Purchased		Newly Installed	Hours o
Total Number of Bulbs in the House	Bulbs	Per Bulb Hours	Bulbs	Newly
1	-	1210	NA NA	NA
2		1210	NA NA	NA
3		1210	NA NA	NA
4	1	1210	1210	1210
5	2	1210	2420	1210
6	3	1027	3447	1149
7	4	1027	4474	1119
8	5	1027	5501	1100
9	6	1027	6528	1086
10	7	888	7416	1059
11	8	888	8304	1038
12	9	864	9168	1019
13	10	864	10032	1003
14	11	864	10896	991
15	12	864	11760	980

Gross Retail	\$3.23 per bulb
Baseline	\$0.50
Incremental	\$2.73
Rebate	\$1.30
Net Retail	\$1.43
	Incremental Rebate

Changes from 2008:

Home lighting is adding a bulb recycling service for 2009.

References:

- 1. CFL METERING STUDY FINAL REPORT, Prepared for: Pacific Gas & Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, 2005 Composite wattages and coincidence factor
- 2. US DOE, US Lighting Market Characterization, Navigant Consulting, 2002. Annual operating hours
- 3. Cost Data Source: 2006 MEEA Change A Light Change the World Program for 15W and 26W lamps. These costs are an upper boundary as lamp prices are significantly lower for more common 13W lamps (vast majority of residential lamps), and all lamp prices decrease.
- 4. Deemed Savings Database, Minnesota Office of Energy Security, 2008. CF, Hours, kW, Costs

CO Deemed Home Lighting & Recycling.xls

Deemed Savings

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Home Performance with ENERGY STAR Rebates

Residential natural gas and electric customers receive a cash rebate for implementing multiple energy efficiency improvements.

Algorithms:

REQUIRED: Attic insulation and bypass sealing natural gas savings (Gross Dth) and electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the attic insulation and bypass sealing were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Deriver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 5.9 Dth, 180 kWh and 0.13 kW.
REQUIRED: Air sealing and weather-stripping natural gas savings (Gross Dth) and electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the air sealing and weather stripping were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Air infiltration is measured as Air Changes per Hour (ACH); savings come from reducing the air infiltration through leaks, weatherstripping, holes etc. Savings is 7.4 Dth, 64 kWh and 0.03 kW.
REQUIRED. 20 CFLs electric energy savings and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy and demand savings and annual hours of operation for compact fluorescent lamps are based on data and calculations derived from the 2002 US Lighting Market Characterization performed for the Department of Energy in 2002. Savings is 833 kWh and 0.925 kW.
Wall insulation natural gas savings (Gross DIh) and electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the wall insulation were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 32.3 Dth/yr, 630 kWh and 0.31 kW.
Setback thermostat natural gas savings (Gross Dth) and electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the thermostat setback were calculated in EnergyGauge modeling using a baseline model home calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 3.6 Dth, 175 kWh and 0.07 kW.
New HE Furnace AFUE 92% natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the gas furnace were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics) = 7.8 Dth
New HE Furnace AFUE 94% natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the gas furnace were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics) = 8.8 Dth
Tankless water heater 82% natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the gas water heater were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics) = 5.9 Dth
Power vented water heater natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the gas water heater were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics) = 2.1 Dth
Dishwasher natural gas savings (Gross Dth) and electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the dishwasher were based on the Energy Star Dishwasher Savings Calculator; http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=dishwash.pr_dishwashers. This assumed a gas water heater home, so savings are generated for gas and electric. Savings is 1.27 Dth, 77 kWh and 0.36 kW.

Deer Savings

CO Deemed Home Performance with ENERGY STAR.xls

Clothes washer natural gas savings (Gross Dtn) and	Energy savings for the clotherswasher were based on the Energy Star Clotherswasher Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clotheswash.pr_clothes_washers. This assumed a gas water heater home, so savings are generated for gas and electric. Savings is 0.88 Dth, 26 Kwh and 0.66 kW.
Refrigerator replacement electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the refrigerator were based on the Energy Star Refrigerator Savings Calculator: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=refrig.pr_refrigerators. Savings is 93.41 kWh and 0.011 kW.
Refrigerator recycling electric energy and demand savings (kWh and kW)	Energy savings for the refrigerator are based on shipment-weighted average efficiencies of units manufactured from 1993-2000 with appropriate degradation factors applied to calculate baseline energy consumption (http://enduse.lbl.gov/Projects/RED.html) Demand savings are based on using an Average kW/Peak kW ratio from Deemed Refrigerator Savings for Texas developed by Frontier Associates. Reference 8. Savings is 988.9 kWh and 0.13 kW.
Net Dth	= Gross Dth x NTG
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	= Customer kWh / (f-TDLE)
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Cüstomer kW x CF /.(1-TDLF)
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW x NTG

Variables:

AG114D169-	
NTG	Net-to-Gross Factor = We will use 94% based on reference 5.
	Coincidence Factor = Probability that peak demand of the bulb will coincide with peak utility system demand. As seen
ICF	in Table 1 based on Reference 1.
O&M savings	Operation and Maintenance savings = We will assume no O&M savings.
	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the
TOLF	customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing SRD-2

Table 1. (Reference 1)

Type of measure:	Measure life:	Incremental cost:	Coincidence Factor
Attic insulation and bypass sealing	20 years (Reference 1)	\$588 (Reference 6)	NA
Air sealing and weather-stripping	10 years (Reference 1)	\$272 (Reference 7)	NA
CFLs	8.8 years (Reference 9)	\$63 (Reference 10)	8%
Walt insulation	20 years (Reference 1)	\$2,150 (Reference 6)	NA NA
Setback thermostat	5 years (Reference 11)	\$50 (Reference 11)	NA
HE furnace AFUE 92%	18 years (Reference 12)	\$390 (Reference 13)	NA NA
HE furnace AFUE 94%	19 years (Reference 12)	\$440 (Reference 13)	NA NA
Tankless water heater 82%	20 years (Reference 1)	\$750 (Reference 13)	NA NA
Power vented water heater	15 years (Reference 1)	\$175 (Reference 13)	NA NA
Dishwasher	11 years (Reference 15)	\$30 (Reference 14)	2%
Clothes washer	11 years (Reference 16)	\$200 (Reference 14)	2%
Refrigerator replacement	13 years (Reference 14)	\$30 (Reference 14)	100%
Refrigerator recycling	7,3 years (Reference 14)	\$0 (Reference 11)	100%

Provided by Customer:

Type of Measures Implemented

Verified during M&V:

Assumptions:

The baseline home had an existing level of insulation in the attic of R-19 and the change case had an elevated insulation level of R-40.

The baseline home had an existing ACH natural of 0.60 and the change case had a 25% reduction to 0.45 ACH natural

The baseline home had an existing level of insulation in the walls of R-0 and the change case had an elevated insulation level of R-11. The baseline water heater is a 40 gallon capacity with an Efficiency Factor (EF) of 59%.

Changes From 2008:

This is a new program for 2009

Building Characteristics for Prototype Home Used for Modeling:

Single Family

Two story (Reference 3)

3 bedroom 2 bathroom (Reference 3)

2000 square feet (Reference 3)

Basement foundation (Reference 3)

HVAC:

heating - gas furnace 78 AFUE (55.9 kBtu unit required) - 85% of homes have gas heating, and 78% of which are forced air furnaces (Reference 2)

cooling - 59% have Central Air Conditioning model required a 2.5 ton unit to meet the cooling load (Reference 2)

air handler is in the basement and supply ducts and return ducts are assumed to be in majority interior space

Windows:

61% of homes have double pane windows (Reference 2)

double pane low-E are standard (Reference 4) Model assumes 15% of wall area glazing

applied a u-factor of 0.53 (average between clear glass double pane and low-E)

Insulation Levels:

Existing Ceiling Insulation: R-19 (Reference 4)

Existing Wall Insulation: R-11 (Reference 4)

Basement Assumptions

Assumed basement walls to have R-11 insulation

Basement is considered finished space but not conditioned

The air handler is located in the basement

Some homes will have smaller sections of the basement conditioned - maybe a bonus room etc, however this cannot be easily modeled in EnergyGauge

3

Appliances (Reference 2)

85% have dishwashers

74% electric ranges

88% and 89% have clothes washer and dryer (electric)

85% water heating is gas - model used a 40 gallon storage lank

68% of homes have ceiling I ans
Average Customer Energy Consumption: (Reference 2)
kWh annually: 9,000 roughly for a 2,000 square foot home

Therms annually: 835

References:

- 1. California Measurement Advisory Committee (CALMAC) Protocols, Appendix F (www.calmac.org/events/APX_F.pdf).
- 2. 2006 Residential Energy Use Colorado Service Area Xcel: Bruce Neilson

- 2. 2006 Residential Energy Use Colorado Service Area Xcel: Bruce Neilson
 3. American Housing Survey for Denver US Census Bureau
 4. Xcel Energy CO DSM Potential 2006 prepared by Kema
 5. National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Study Residential Single-Family Comprehensive Weatherization Best Practices Report from December 2004.
 6. RS Means Repair and Remodeling 2007 at a cost of \$0.028 per square foot per increase in R-value.
 7. National Energy Audit Tool (NEAT) and Frontier estimates.
 8. EEBP web site Tacoma Residential Weatherization program.
 9. US Lighting Market Characterization Study performed for the Department of Energy in 2002
 10. MEEA/ES Change A Light campaign info
 11. Xcel Energy estimate

- 11. Xcel Energy estimate
- 12. Draft Technical Support Document: Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Furnaces and Boilers, Efficiency Standards for Consumer Products Prepared for US DOE, September 2006
 13. California Energy Commission's Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER)
- 14. www.energyster.gov
- 15. DOE 2007
- 16. Appliance Magazine, September 2007

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Insulation Rebates

Residential natural gas customers receive a cash rebate for installing insulation in their existing single-family home or one-to-four unit property.

Algorithms:

savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the attlc insulation and bypass sealing were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 5.9 Dth/yr.
Air sealing and weather-stripping natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the air sealing and weather stripping were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Air infiltration is measured as Air Changes per Hour (ACH); savings come from reducing the air infiltration through leaks, weatherstripping, holes etc. Savings is 7.4 Dth/yr.
Mell inculation natural age savings (Grass	Energy savings for the wall insulation were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 32.3 Dth/yr.
Net Dth	= Gross: Dth x NTG

Variables:

	Net-to-Gross Factor = We will use 89% based on reference 5.
O&M savings	- Operation and Maintenance savings are assumed to be zero for the insulation rebates.

Type of insulation:	Measure life:	Incremental cost:	
Attic insulation and bypass sealing	20 years (Reference 1)	\$588.00 (Reference 6	•
Air sealing and weather-stripping	10 years (Reference 1)	\$272.00 (Reference	
Wall insulation	20 years (Reference 1)	\$2,080.00 (Reference (i)

Provided by Customer:

Attic insulation depth, type of insulation and size of attic

Blower door test report and visual inspection of areas sealed, caulked, etc. Validation of wall insulation, materials used and square footage or walls

Verified during M&V:

Yes Yes Yes

Assumptions:

The baseline home had an existing level of insulation in the attic of R-19 and the change case had an elevated insulation level of R-40. The baseline home had an existing ACH natural of 0.60 and the change case had a 25% reduction to 0.45 ACH natural.

The baseline home had an existing level of insulation in the walls of R-0 and the change case had an elevated insulation level of R-11.

Changes From 2008:

This is a new program for 2009

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Insulation Rebate.xls

Building Characteristics for Prototype Home Used for Modeling: Single Family Two story (Reference 3) 3 bedroom 2 bathroom (Reference 3) 2000 square feet (Reference 3) Basement foundation (Reference 3) HVAC: heating - gas furnace 78 AFUE (55.9 kBtu unit required) - 85% of homes have gas heating, and 78% of which are forced air furnaces (Reference 2) cooling - 59% have Central Air Conditioning model required a 2.5 ton unit to meet the cooling load (Reference 2) air hancler is in the basement and supply ducts and return ducts are assumed to be in majority Interior space Windows: 61% of homes have double pane windows (Reference 2) double pane low-E are standard (Reference 4) Model assumes 15% of wail area glazing applied a u-factor of 0.53 (average between clear glass double pane and low-E) Insulation Levels: Existing Ceiling Insulation: R-19 (Reference 4) Existing Wall Insulation: R-11 (Reference 4) Basement Assumptions Assumed basement walls to have R-11 insulation Basement is considered finished space but not conditioned The air handler is located in the basement Some hornes will have smaller sections of the basement conditioned - maybe a bonus room etc, however this cannot be easily modeled in EnergyGauge Appliances (Reference 2) 85% have dishwashers 74% electric ranges 88% and i39% have clothes washer and dryer (electric) 85% water heating is gas - model used a 40 gallon storage tank 68% of homes have ceiling fans Average Customer Energy Consumption: (Reference 2) kWh annually: 9,000 roughly for a 2,000 square foot home Therms annually: 835

References:

- 1. California Measurement Advisory Committee (CALMAC) Protocols, Appendix F (www.calmac.org/events/APX_F.pdf).
- 2, 2006 Residential Energy Use Colorado Service Area Xcel: Bruce Nellson
- 3. American Housing Survey for Denver US Census Bureau
- 4. Xcel Energy CO DSM Potential 2006 prepared by Kema
- 5. National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Study Residential Single-Family Comprehensive Weatherization Best Practices Report from December 2004.
- 6. RS Means Repair and Remodeling 2007 at a cost of \$0.028 per square foot per increase in R-value.
- National Energy Audit Tool (NEAT) and Frontier estimates.

2

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG Dec.R08-1243, Page 86 of 143

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Lighting Efficiency

Prescriptive rebates will be offered for replacement lighting equipment. New Construction rebates will be offered for new facilities or spaces overhauled for a new purpose.

Custom rebates are available for lighting-related improvements that are not prescriptive.

Algorithms:	
Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= (kW_Base - kW_EE) x HVAC_cooling_kWsavings_factor
Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh/yr)	= (kW_Base - kW_EE) x Hrs x HVAC_cooling_kWhsavings_factor
Natural Gas Savings (Dth)	= (kW_Base - kW_EE) x Hrs x HVAC_heating_penalty_factor
Lighting Controls - Electrical Energy Savings (Customer	
kWh/vr)	=(kW connected) x (1-PAF) x Hrs x HVAC_cooling_kWhsavings_factor
Lighting Controls -Electrical Demand Savings (Customer	
kW)	=(kW connected) x (1-PAF) x HVAC_cooling_kWsavings_factor
Lighting Controls -Natural Gas Savings (Dth)	=(kW connected) x (1-PAF) x Hrs x HVAC_heating_penalty_factor
	= Customer kWh / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG
	= Gross Generator kW x NTG
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh) Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW) Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh) Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLF) = Gross Generator kWh x NTG

Variables:	
Hrs	Annual Operating Hours. Hours to be obtained from Table 2. The type of facility is to be supplied by the customer.
kW_Base	Baseline fixture wattage (kW per fixture) determined from stipulated fixture wattages from Standard Fixture information. Fixture type provided by customer. Table 3 is an example of a Standard Fixture information table.
kW_EE	= High Efficiency fixture wattage (kW per fixture) determined from stipulated fixture wattages from Standard Fixture information. Fixture type provided by customer. Table 3 is an example of a Standard Fixture information table.
HVAC_cooling_kWhsavings_factor	 Cooling system energy savings factor resulting from efficient lighting from Table 1. Reduction in lighting energy results in a reduction in cooling energy, if the customer has air conditioning. Existence

CO Deemed Lighting Efficiency.xls

Deemed Savings

HVAC_cooling_kWsavings_factor	= Cooling system demand savings factor resulting from efficient lighting from Table 1. Reduction in lighting demand results in a reduction in cooling demand, if the customer has air conditioning. Existence of air conditioning to be provided by customer.	
HVAC_heating_kWsavings_factor	= Heating system penalty factor resulting from efficient lighting. Reduction in lighting demand results in an increase in heating usage, if the customer has air conditioning. A value of -0.00088738 Dth/kWh given by (Reference 4).	
CF	= Coincidence Factor, the probability that peak demand of the lights will coincide with peak utility system demand. CF will be determined based on customer provided building type in table 2.	
Measure Life	= Length of time the lighting equipment will be operational, see Table 6 for Measure Lifetimes	
Baseline Cost	the existing system. For New Construction, the cost is that of the lower efficiency option. Costs given by (Reference 5) and vendors.	
High Efficiency Cost	= Cost of the High Efficiency technology. Costs given in Deemed Fixture Table (Reference 4)	
kW connected	Total connected fixture load, determined as the sum of stipulated fixture wattages from Deemed Fixture Table.	
PAF	Stipulated power adjustment factor based on control type from Table 4.	
TDLF	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 6.39%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing SRD-2	
NTG	Net-to-gross = 96% (Reference 5)	
Incremental operation and maintenance cost	Other annual savings or costs associated with the electrical savings. For Lighting, this consists of additional natural gas for heating. Methodology given by (Reference 4).	

Provided by Customer:

Number of Fixtures

Lighting equipment type Building type Existence of air conditioning

Verified during M&V:

Yes Yes

Yes Yes Assumptions:

- Each replacement lighting fixture is going in on a one-for-one basis for existing fixtures. New construction fixtures are put in on a one-for-one basis instead of lower efficiency options.

- In the Technical Assumptions, one will note that the Operating Hours does not appear, but rather a modified version. The methodology defines kW Savings on the basis of difference in kW with the HVAC Cooling demand factor. The Annual Energy Savings takes into account any heating that has to be added.

Table 1: HVAC Interactive Factors (Reference 2)

Table 11 the table	HVAC_cooling_kWhsavi	HVAC_cooling_kW
HVAC system	ngs_factor	savings_factor
Heating only	1.00	1.00
Heating and cooling	1.11	1.33

Table 2: Coincident Peak Demand Factors and Annual Operating Hours by Building Type (Reference 1 and 3)

Table 2. Controcont Four Demand Fasters 1.		Annual Operating
Building Type	CF	Hours
Office	78%	3435
Restaurant	94%	41 <u>5</u> 6
Retail	94%	3068
Grocery/Supermarket	94%	4612
Warehouse	96%	2388
Elemen./Second. School	73%	2080
College	71%	5010
Health	84%	3392
Hospital	84%	4532
Hotel/Motel	51%	2697
Manufacturing	96%	5913
Other/Misc.	96%	2278
24-Hour Facility	94%	8234
Safety or Code Required	96%	8760

Table 3: Example of T8 Lighting-Reference 6 - Full table in Deemed Fixture Table tab

Technology		kW
1 Lamp T12		0.039
	3.0	
1 Lamp T8		0.031

Table 4: Stipulated Power Adjustment Factors (Reference 1 and 7) - Full table in Deemed Fixture Table tab

PAF
1.00
0.70
0.70_

CO Deemed Lighting Efficiency.xls

Deemed Savings

Daylighting - Continuous Dimming	0.57
Daylighting - Multiple Step Dimming	0.65
Daylighting - On/Off	0.73

Table 5: Total Connected Fixture Wattages (Reference 7) - Full table in Deemed Fixture Table tab

Connected Fixtures	kW_connected
1 2-lamp T8 32W EL Ballast Fixture	0.058
2 2-lamp T8 32W EL Ballast Fixtures	0.116
3 2-lamp T8 32W EL Ballast Fixtures	0.174
4 2-lamp T8 32W EL Ballast Fixtures	0.232
1 4-lamp T8 32W EL Ballast Fixture	0.112
2 4-lamp T8 32W EL Ballast Fixtures	0.224
3 4-lamp T8 32W EL Ballast Fixtures	0_336
4 4-lamp T6 32W EL Ballast Fixtures	0.448

Table 6: Moasure Lifetimes In Years (Reference 4)

Measure	Lifetime in Years
CFL less than 19W	i : : 5
Low Wattage T8 Lamps	
Integrated 25W Ceramic Metal Halide	7
T8 Lighting Systems	18
T5 Lighting Systems	18
Lighting Controls	18

Changes from 2008

Baseline efficiencies updated. High efficiency values updated. More measures added to program. Cost information updated from various sources. Methodology now looks at market segment rather than a single operating hours value for all participants.

References

- 1. Arkansas Deemed Savings Quick Start Program Draft Report Commercial Measures Final Report, Nexant. CF and hours
- 2. HVAC Interactive Factors developed based on the Rundquist Simplified HVAC Interaction Factor method for Minnesota, presented on page 28 of the 11/93 issue of the ASHRAE Journal "Calculating lighting and HVAC interactions".
- 3. Technical Reference User Manual No. 2004-31, Efficiency Vermont, 12/31/04. CF and Hours
- 4. Deemed Savings Database, Minnesota Office of Energy Security, 2008. CF, Hours, kW, Costs, Measure life
- 5. Net-to-Gross factor from National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Study(http://www.eebestpractices.com)
- 6. Lighting Efficiency input wattage guide, Xcel Energy, July, 2008, kW
- 7. CL&P and UI program Savings Documentation modified for 3022 Daylight Hours in Denver CO

Post-religiti Fixture	kW_EE pre-retroft finture	W Base F	ul Cost	Incremental Cost
(1) F32T6 46" 32W Lamp with a high efficiency, low beliest tector electronic beliest	0.025 (1) F40T12 48" 34W lemps, energy saving magnetic ballast	0.043	\$41,45	
(2) F3216 48" 32W Lamp with a high efficiency, low ballest factor electronic ballest	0.048 (2) F40T12 46" 34W lamps, energy saving magnetic befast	0 072	\$43.45	
[3] F32T8 48 32W Lamp with a high efficiency, low beliest fector electronic beliest	0.072 (3) F40T12 46" 34W lamps, energy saving magnetic basest	0.108	\$53.45	
	0.006 (4) F40T12 48" 34W lemps, energy saving magnetic bases:	0.144	\$58,45	
(4) F32T8 48" 32W L amps with a high efficiency, low ballest factor electronic ballest	Duesdig 17 Fact 12 45 Safet spring, writing transfer sectors			
	0.037 (2) F40T12 48" 34W lamps, energy saving magnetic ballant	0 072	\$32,78	
(1) F32T8 48T 32W L pmp with a high efficiency, high balast factor electronic ballast	0.037 (2) F40112 48" 34W lamps, energy saving magnitude climate 0.055(3) F40712 48" 34W lamps, energy saving magnetic belies:	0.108	\$37,49	
(2) F32T8 48T 32W Lamp with a high efficiency, normal ballest factor electronic ballast	0.073 (4) F40112 48" 34W lamps, energy saving magnetic belies!	0.146	\$37.49	
(2) F3218 48" 32W Lamps with a high efficiency, high beliest factor rectronic hallant	0.063(4) F40T12 46" 34W lamps, energy seving magnetic ballss!	0.144	\$44.33	
(3) F32T8 48" 32W Lamps with a high efficiency, normal beliast techn electronic beliast	0.005 (4) F40112 40 S4W MINUS, MINUS MINUS MANUEL COMMEN	0.144		
	0.048 (1) F96T12ES & 60W lang, energy savings magnedic betast	0.075	\$47.49	
(2) F32TB 48" 32W Lemps with a high efficiency, low ballest factor a ectronic beliest	0.096 (2) F96112ES 8' 60W lamps, energy savings magnetic hallest	0.123	\$80.11	
(4) F3ZTE 48" 32W Lemps with a high efficiency, low ballest factor e actronic ballsst	0.106/(2) P96T12ES 8 60W lamps, energy savings magnetic ballest	0.123	\$60,11	
(4) F32Y8 48" 32W Lamps with a high efficiency, normal ballest factor electronic ballest	0.141 (41 F98T12ES 8' 60W lamps, energy savings magnetic ballast		\$86.52	
(4) F32T6 48" 32W Lampe with a high efficiency, high batest factor electronic ballast	U. (41 ((4)) 20) 1253 0 OUT MILE, WHILE SERVING THE COMMAN			
The second secon	0.025 (2) F40T12 48" 34W temps, energy saving magnetic beliest	0,072	\$32,78	
(1) F3278 48" 32W Lemp with a high afficiency, low ballest factor electronic belies:	0.048 (3) F40T12 48" 34W lamps, energy saving magnetic ballest	0,108	\$37.49	
(2) F32TB 48" 32W Lamp with a high efficiency, low ballast factor electronic ballast	G 048 (4) F40T12 48* 34W lamps, energy saving magnitude balles!	0.144	\$37.40	
[2] F32T8 48" 32W i amp with a high efficiency, low ballest factor electronic ballest	0.046 (1) F96T12ES 6' 60W lamp, energy sevings magnetic balles!	0.075	\$37.40	
(2) F32T8 48" 3ZW L emp with a high efficiency, low ballast factor electronic ballast	Co-milet can recommend and anyla anyla miletan any			T
(1) F26T5 lemp with ~1,0 beliest factor electronic beliest	0.032 (1) F40T12 48" 34W temps, energy saving magnetic ballest	0.043	\$40.50	
(1) F2815 lamp with -1.0 batest recor electronic batest (2) F2815 lamps with -1.0 batest factor electronic batest	0.053 (2) F40T12 48" 34W tumps, energy seving magnetic ballest	0.072	\$49.00	
(3) F28T5 lamps with ~1.0 battest factor electronic ballast	0.095 (3) F40712 48" 34W lamps, energy seving magnetic ballest	0,106	\$87.60	
(4) F28T5 lamps with ~1.0 belast factor electronic belast	0.126 (4) F40T12 48" 34W temps, energy saving magnetic ballast	0.144	\$70.00	
(4) F2813 MITTER WIRT 41.0 SERBIT TRELOF BIRCURS DEFINEN	VIV.(VIII TO 11 TO			
Fluorescent, (1) 96°, T-8 lamp, electronic ballast	0.058 Fluorescent, (1) 98", T-12 imp, magnetic ballest	0.076	\$93.45	\$20.00
[(1) F54T5#(O 45.8" lamps with a ~1.0 ballast factor efectionec ballast	0.002 Incandescent, (1) 150W lemp	0,150	\$27.00	\$26.75
(1) FS4TSHO 45.8 temps with a ~1.0 ballest factor electronic ballest	0.062 (2) F40T12 46" 34W larges, energy saving magnetic ballest	0.072	\$27.00	\$27.00
(1) F54T5HO 45.6" temps with a ~ 1.0 ballest factor electronic belief.	0.052 (3) F40T12 48* 34W lamps, energy saving magnetic ballast	0.106	\$48.00	\$48.00
(2) F54T56+O 45.8° temps with a -1.0 ballins factor electronic ballest	0.117 (4) F40T12 48" 34W temps, energy saving magnetic ballest	0.144	\$32.00	\$32.00
Fluoreacent, (2) 98°, T-6 temp, low power factor electronic ballest	0.094 Fluorescent, (2) 96", T-12 lamp, magnetic ballsst	0.123	\$100.45	
(2) FS4TSRIO 45.8" tamps with a -1.0 ballast factor electronic bellest, high bay	0.117 Metal Helide, (1) 150W lamp	0.190	\$102,88	
(2) F54T5tNO_45.8* lamps with a -1.0 battest factor electronic bellest, high bay	0.517 Meus Heide, (1) 175W tamp	0.215	\$192.88	
(3) F5475(HO 45,8" lamps with a ~1.0 ballast (actor electronic bellast, high bay	0.179 Metal Haikle, (1) 250W tamp	0.295	\$222.17	
(4) F54TS(HO 45.8" lamps with a -1.0 balles! factor electronic balles!, high bay	0 234 Metal Hulde, (1) 400W lamp	0.458	\$203.31	
(6) F54/56HO 45 8" tempe with a ~1.0 ballast factor electronic ballast, high bey	0.358)Metel Helide, (1) 400W Jamp	0.458	\$203.31	
(B) F54T54+O 45.8" temps with a ~1.0 ballast factor electronic ballast, high bey	0.468 Metal Helida, (1) 750W lamp	0.850	\$372.31	
(10) FS4TSHO 45.8" temps with a ~1.0 beliest factor electronic hallest, high bay	0 585 Metal Helide, (1) 1000W lamp	1,080	\$407.31	\$110.00
				1207
(3) F32TB 40° 32W Lamps with a high efficiency, high beliest factor electronic ballest, high bay	0.003 Metal Halide, (1) 150W lamp	0.190	\$140.00	
(3) F32TB 48" 32W Lamps with a high efficiency, high ballest factor electronic ballest, high bay	0.093 Metal Halida, (1) 175W lamp	0215	\$140.00	
(4) F32TB 48" 32W Lemps with a high efficiency, very high beliest factor electronic beliests, high bay	0.154 Metal Helide, (1) 250W lamp	0.295	\$153.00	
(6) F32T8 46" 32W Lamps with a high efficiency, high ballast factor electronic ballasts, high bay	0.186 Metal Helide, (1) 400W lamp	0 458	\$260.00	
(B) F32TB 46" 32W I ampe with a high efficiency, normal befast factor electronic ballests, high bay	0.224 Metal Halide, (1) 400W famp	0.458	\$265.00 \$397.50	
(12) F32TB 48" 32W Lamps with a high efficiency, normal ballast factor electronic ballasts, high bay	0.336 Metal Halicle, (1) 750W larms	0.850	\$530.00	
(16) F3216 46" 32% Lamps with a high efficiency, normal ballest factor electronic ballasts, high bay	0 448 Metal Habde, (1) 1000W tarap	1,080	\$534.00	
(18) F32T6 46" 32W Lamps with a high efficiency, normal ballast factor electronic ballasts, high bay	0.58 Metal Halide, (1) 1000W temp	1,080	\$538.00	
(20) F32T8 48" 32% Lamps with a high efficiency, normal ballest factor electronic ballests, high bay	0.755 Metal Halide, (1) 1000W temp	1.000	3-3-3-0.UL	3119.00
		0458	\$163.00	\$7.00
(3) Fluorescent, 45" T-6 temps, VHLQ Bullinots	0.279 Matal Halice, (1) 400VV lemp	0.850	\$242.00	
(6) Fluorescent, 48" T-8 Immps, VHLO Ballests	0.555 Metal Hašde, (1) 760W lamp	1,080	\$334.00	
(8) Fluorescent, 48" T-8 lemps, VHLO Balles(s	0.793 Metal Halide, (1) 1000W lamp	1.000	942-4,91	
	0.011 Incendescent, 1-A 15W, no ballest	0.016	\$6.7	84.3
Screw-in CFL, 1-CF 6VV, magnetic balast	0.011 incendescent, 1-A 1597, no settet	0.026	\$6.7	
Screw-in CFL, 1-CF 9W, magnetic belast	6.01 lincandescent, 1-A 20W, no ballast	0.040	\$6.7	
Screw-in CFL, 1-CF 9W, magnetic baltast	0.011 incandescent, 3-A 15W, no bullest	0.049	\$6,7	
Screw-in CFL, 1-CF 9W, magnetic ballast	0.017 Incandescent, 1-A 60W, no ballast	0.000	\$6,7	
Screw-in CFL, 1-CF 15W, magnetic traffers	A STATE OF THE PARTY AND STATE OF THE PARTY A		170	

	0.017 Incandescent, 1-PAR 65W, no belia:	0.065	\$8.79	\$4.3
crew-in CFL, 1-CF-15W, magnetic ballest	0.017 Incardescent, 1-PAR 6599, no ballst	0.050	16.79	\$4.3
crew-in CFL, 1-CF 11W, magnetic beliesi	0.013 incandescent, 2-A 25W, no bafast	0.050	\$6.79	\$4,3
crew-in CFL, 1-CF 11W, magnetic beliest	0.000 Metal Halide, 100W, magnetic bolis	0.120	\$83.42	\$40.0
lard-Wired CFL, 7-PL 42W, 1 electronic ballast	0.000 Mercury Vagor, 100W, magnetic heli		\$92.87	\$50.0
sero-Wired CFL, 2-PL 32W, 1 electronic ballest	D.052 Metal Haide, 70W, gagnetic ballast	0.090	\$79.37	\$40.0
fers-Wired CFL, 2-PL 26W, 1 electronic baltast	0.048 incarclescent, 1-A 150W, no ballest	0,150	\$112.24	\$50.0
Sard-Wired CFL, 2-PL 23W, 2 magnetic ballasts	D. B46 incendescent, 2-A 75W, no belies!	0.150	\$112.24	\$50.0
tard-Wired CFL, 2-PL 23W, 2 magnetic ballests	0.048 incandescent, 3-A 50W, no beliest	0,150	\$112.24	\$50.0
ters-Wred CFL_2-PL 23W, 2 magnetic believes	0.048 Incandescant, 3-A 60W, no ballast	0.190	\$1 12.24	150,0
fard-Wred CFL, 2-PL 23W, 2 magnetic ballasts lard-Wred CFL, 2-2D 38W, 1 electronic ballast	0.074 Incumdescent, 2-A 150W, no baltest	0.500	\$102 62	\$50.0
fard-Wired CFL, 2-2D 38W, 1 electronic befast	0.074 incentioscent, 3-A 100W, no ballest	0.300	\$102.62	\$50.0
fard-Wind CFL, 2-2D 38W, 1 electronic belief	0 074 incendescent, 2-PAR 150W, no bell	0.300	\$102.62	\$50.0
(ard-Wired CFL, 2-2D 38W, 1 electronic belies)	0.074 incandescent, 1-PS30 300W, no bat	0.300	\$102.62	\$60.0
Hard-Wred CFL, 2-2D 26W, 1 electronic beliest	0.056 incandescent, 2-A 100W, no ballast	0.200	\$102.62	\$50.0
lard-Whed CFL, 2-20 26W, 1 electronic befasi	0.050 incandescent, 3-A 75W, no belies!	0.225	\$102.62	\$50.0
Ind-Wred CFL 2-20 26W, 1 electronic bellet	0.056 incendescent, 4-A 40W, no betest	0.160	\$102.62	\$50.0
tard-Wred CFL, 2-20 28W, 1 electronic belleti	0.056 incendescent, 4-A 60W, no beliest	0.240	\$102.62	\$50.0
Inro-Wired CFL, 2-20 28W, 1 electronic bulbasi	0,056 incendescent, 4-A 75W, no ballest	0.300	\$102.62	\$50.0
lard-Wared CFL, 2-2D 28W, 1 electronic ballant	0.056 incandescent, 4-A 100W, no ballest	0.400	\$102.62	\$50.0
Sard-Wired CFL, 2-2D 28W, 1 electronic belies!	0.056 Incandescent, 1-P\$30 200W, no be	0.290	\$102.60	\$50
land-Wind CFL, 1-PL 32W, magnetic battest	0 003 incendescent, 2-A 60W, no balast	0.120	\$76.35	\$40.0
lard-Wred CFL, 1-PL 32W, magnetic ballest	0.003 incandescent, 3-A 40W, no ballest	0.120	\$78.35	\$40.0
tard-Wired CFL, 1-PL 32W, magnetic ballest	0.033 incendescent, 1-R 120W, no ballast	0.120	\$76.35	\$40.6
Hard-Wared CFL, 1-PL 32W, 1 electronic halfast	0.038 Metal Halide, 50W, magnetic batast	0.062	\$76.35	\$40.0
Hard-Wind CFL, 1-PL 25W, magnetic beliesi	0.027 incendescent, 1-A 100W, no beliest	0,160	\$74.00	\$40,0
land-Wired CFL, 1-PL 26W, magnetic belies!	0.027 Incundancent, 2-A 50W, no batest	0.100	\$74.00	\$40.0
land-Wined CFL, 1-PL 26W, magnetic bellast	0.027 Incandescent, 1-R 100W, no bullest		\$74,60	\$40.
terd-Wired CPL, 1-PL 20W, magnetic ballett	0.027 incendescent, 1-PAR 100W, no bell	ast 0.100	574.80	\$40 (
land-Wired CFL, 1-PL 23W, magnetic balast	0.024 incandescent, 2-A 40W, no ballest	0.080	\$76,17	\$40.0 \$40.0
Hard-Wired CFL, 1-PL 23W, magnetic bullest	0.024 incandescent, 1-R 90W, no ballest	0 090	\$76.17 \$76.17	\$40.0
lard-Wired CFL, 1-PL 23W, magnetic ballest	0.024 incandescent, 1-PAR 85W, no befa	et 0.085	\$76,17	\$40
Hard-Wired CFL, 1-PL 20W, magnetic ballest	0 022 incendescent, 1-A 75W, no belies	0.075 0.075	\$76.17 \$76.17	\$40
Hard-Wred CFL, 1-PL 20W, magnetic balles!	0.022 incentescent, 1-R 75W, no ballest		176,17	\$40
Hard-Wired CFL, 1-PL 20W, magnetic ballest	0.022 Incendescert, 1-PAR 75W, no belle	<u>0.073</u>	376.17	
250W Metal Histor, magnetic beliest	0.291 Mercury Vapor, 400W, magnetic ba	fast 0 454		- 51
175W Melai Halde, mecnetic ballest	0.209 High Pressure Sodium, 250W, mag	nelic belast 0.295		\$10
400W Metal Helide, magnetic ballest	0.456 Mercury Vepor, 1000W, magnetic b	eliest 1.080		\$ 253.0
NOTE MANUEL CHARLES INTERPRETATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PA				\$
150W Pube Start Metal Halide, energy saving magnetic ballest	0.187 175W Metal Halide, magnetic bella:		\$181 \$161	 :
175W Pulsa Start lifetal Helide, energy seving magnetic ballest	0.191 250W Metal Halide, megnétic bellat		\$280	
200W Pulse Start (Jetet Halide, magnetic belief	0.232 250W Metal Halide, magnetic balls:		\$283	- ;
320W Pulse Start Metal Halide, magnetic ballest	0.367 400W Metal Halide,, magnetic bella	41	\$283	
360W Pulse Start Metal Halide, magnetic hallest	0.418 400W Metal Halide, magnetic ballar		\$283 \$381	
750W Pulse Start Metal Helide, magnetic ballast	0.614 1000W Metal Hatide, magnetic ball	911 1,677	8301	
	0.002 30W Incandescent Exit Sign	0.03		\$80.
2W LED Exit Sign	g.00025 40W incandescent Ext Sign	0.04		\$80.
0.25W LEC Exit Sign				
F32T8 25W Lemp on a standard efficiency, normal ballast factor tudies!	0 0213 F32T8 32W Lamp on a standard of	Sciency, normal ballest factor balls 0.0272	\$4.00 \$4.00	
F32T8 26W Lemp on standard efficiency, normal beliest factor beliest	0.0238 F32T9 32W Lemp on a standard at	morency, normal beliest tactor bess 0.02/7		
Ceramic Metal Mai de, 1-SE 20W, electronic bellast	0.025 incendescent, 1-R 75W	0.078	\$192	S:
Ceramic Metal Hai de, 1-SE 20W, electronic ballant Ceramic Metal Hai de, 1-SE 20W, electronic ballant	0.025 Incandescent, 1-R 100W	0.100	\$192	\$1
Ceremic Metal Helide, 1-SE 20W, electronic belies!	0 025 Incandescent, 1-R 120W	0.120	\$192	\$1
Ceremic Metal Hei de, 1-PAR 39W, electronic bellest	0.045 incendescent, 1-R 150W	0.150	\$222	
Coramic Metal Helide, 1-SE 20W, electronic ballings	0.025 Incandescant, 1-PAR 100W	0,100	\$192	
Ceramic Metal Hai do, 1-PAR 39W, electronic ballest	0.0451/ncondescent, 1-PAR 150W	0.160	\$222	311

CO Deemed Lighting Efficiency.xls

Deemed Fixture Tab

2

	0.025 Incondescent, 1-PAR 150W	0.150	\$192	\$1 <u>32</u>
Coramic Motal Helide, 1-SE 20W, electronic belief	D 168 incendescent, 1-PS40 500W	0.500	\$222	\$152
Corumic Metal Halide, 1-SE 150W, electronic ballant	0.189 250W Metal Halide, resgnetic ballast	0.291	\$131	\$150
Coremic Metal Helide, 1-SE 175W, electronic bellest	Q 275 400W Matal Halide, magnetic ballast	0.458	\$253	\$37
Caramic Metal Helidir, 1-SE 250W, electronic beliest	0.349 400W Metal Halde, magnetic ballet	0.456	\$253	\$292
Ceramic Metal Helidir, 320W, electronic beliast	0.38 400W Metal Halide, magnetic ballest	0.456	\$253	\$292
Ceramic Metal Halido, 350W, electronic ballant	0.435/400W Metal Malide, magnetic ballast	0.456	\$253	\$298
Ceramic Metal Hatidir, 400W, electronic ballest	U.430 40074 Wells Figure, Insignose desire.	-		
				\$0.00
No Lighting controls	1.00 no controls			\$56.00
Occupancy Sensor - Wall Mount	0.70 Occupancy Sensor - Wall Mount			\$125.00
	0.70 Occupency Sensor - Celling Mount			
Occupancy Sensor - Celling Mount	0.70 Daylighting - Continuous Dimming			\$66.00
Daylighting - Continuous Dimming	0.80 Devitchting - Multiple Step Dimming			\$65 00
Daylighting - Multiple Step Dimming	0.90 Devicating - On/Orl			\$65.00
Daylohing - CrVOti	U.St. Dayegrang - Oroci			
		kW 8ase		
High Efficiency Low Battest Factor Electronic Battests	kW EE Standard Electronic Ballests	0.031		\$55.00
1 Lamp T8 32W Folure	0.025 1 Lemp T8 32W Fixture	0.056		\$55.00
2 Lamp 18 32W Finture	0.048 2 Lamp T8 32W Flidure	0.065		\$56.00
3 Lamp T8 32W Flature	0.072 3 Lamp T8 32W Fishure	9,112		\$55,00
4 Lamp T8 32W Fixture	0.096 4 Lemp T8 32W Flatture	9.112		400.04

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Motor Efficiency

Prescriptive rebates will be offered for new motors (Plan A) up to 500 hp and replacement of currently operating motors (Plan B) up to 500 hp, and installation of new variable frequency drives (VFD) up to 200 hp.

Algorithms:

Motor Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= HP x LF_Motors x Conversion x (1/Standard_Eff - 1/ High_Eff) x Hrs
Motor Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= HP x LF_Motors x Conversion x (1/Standard_Eff - 1/ High_Eff)
VFD Drive Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= HP x LF_Drives x Conversion x (1/Standard_Eff) x Hrs x %_Savings_Drives
VFD Drive Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= HP x LF_Drives x Conversion x (1/Standard_Eff) x %_Savings_Drives
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	= Customer kWh / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW x NTG

Variables:

vanadies:			
Hrs	= Annual operational hours per year of the motor. Deemed values are used for hours based on the type and use of the motor. The customer provides the following information on the rebate form (HP, Industrial/non industrial, building type, and pump/fan/other)		
LF_Motors	 Motor load factor as percentage (0 - 100). The assumed value of 75% will be used for prescriptive motors. See Reference 3 		
LF_Drives	= Drive load factor as percentage (0 - 100). The assumed value of 75% will be used for prescriptive pumping drives and 65% will be used for prescriptive fan drives. (Reference 5)		
HP	= Rated motor horsepower provided by customer on rebate form.		
High_Eff	Efficiency of high efficiency replacement motor as percentage (0-100). The customer will provide the model and serial number of the motor along with actual nameplate efficiency from the new motor. If the actual efficiency is not provided by the custom		
Standard_Eff (Plan A motors and drives)	= Efficiency of standard replacement motor as percentage (0 - 100) we will use 'EPAct Efficiency' as specified in Table 1 based on customer provided motor size, speed, and type.		
Standard_Eff (Plan B motors)	= Efficiency of existing motor (0 - 100). We will use efficiency of 'Existing Efficiency Motors', from Table 1.		
%_Savings_Drives	= Average savings achieved by installing a variable frequency drive on a fan or pumping motor. 33% will be used for prescriptive drive rebates. (Reference 5)		
Conversion	= Standard conversion from horsepower to kW. 1 HP = .748 kW		

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

Coincidence Factor	= Probability that peak demand of the motor will coincide with peak utility system demand. 0.78 will be used for prescriptive rebates, see Reference 2.
Measure Life	= Length of time the motor/drive will be operational = 20 years, (Reference 3)
Baseline and incremental cost assumptions	= The customer will provide the model and serial number of the motor from that the size, type and rpm of the motor/drive will determine the deemed baseline cost or incremental cost from Table 1. (Reference 1, 3 and 6)
TOLF	A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used. This is calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing - SRD-2
NTG	Net-to-Gross factors - We will use 87% as the NTG for all motors programs (Reference 7)
Incremental operation and maintenance costs or savings	= 0 value assumed for this program

Provided by Customer:	Verified during M&V:
For Motors: New motor model and serial number (HP, efficiency, type, and speed can then be looked up in a	V
database) Application of motor (Industrial/non Industrial)	Yes Yes
Building type where motor is installed for non industrial motors Use of motor (pump, fan, other) for non industrial motors	Yes Yes
Equipment is installed For Variable Frequency Drives (VFD):	Yes
Size, speed, type and use of motor drive is connected to	Yes Yes
Application of motor (Industrial/non Industrial) Building type where motor is installed for non industrial motors	Yes
Use of motor (pump, fan, other) for non industrial motors Equipment is installed	Yes Yes

- Assumptions:
 Each motor is replaced with the same size on a 1 for 1 basis. Motors replaced with different sizes can participate in the Custom Efficiency program.
 Prescriptive rebates are only given for motors put into service, rebates are not given for backup motors.
 Prescriptive rebates are only given to variable frequency drives installed on pump or fan applications.
 Rebates do not apply to rewound or repaired motors.

Table 1. Excerpt from Deemed Plan A Tables: Motor Efficiency and Incremental Cost of Premium Efficiency Motor (Reference 1,2,3) Full table in

"Deemed Plan A Tables" tab						
			Speed	Type (Open Drip Proof or Totally Enclosed		Incremental
Motor Tag	Standard or Premium Efficiency	HP	(rpm)	Fan Cooled)	Efficiency	Cost
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	1	1200	ODP	82.5%	\$52
Existing Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	2	1800	ODP	78.5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	25	3600	ODP	91.7%	\$ 1,030
Existing Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	5	1800	TEFC	83.2%	-

Table 2. Excerpt from Deemed Plan B Tables: Motor Efficiency and Incremental Cost of Premium Efficiency Motor (Reference 1,2,3) Full table in "Deemed Plan B Tables" tab

				Type (Open Drip Proof or Totally		
<u>}</u>] i	Speed	Enclosed		Incremental
Motor Tag	Standard or Premium Efficiency	HP	(rpm)	Fan Cooled)	Efficiency	Cost
Existing Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	1	1200	ODP	76.3%	-
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	3	1200	ODP	88.5%	\$ 434.20
Existing Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	15	1800	TEFC	87.2%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	75	3600	TEFC	93.6%	\$ 4,305.60

Table 3: Excerpt of Operating Hours by Motor Size, Industrial Applications (Reference 4) Full table in "Deemed Plan A Tables" tab

HP	All SIC (Industrial)
1	2,745
25	4,067
100	5,329

Table 4: Excerpt of Operating Hours by Application, Non-industrial (Reference 3) Full table in "Deemed Plan A Tables" tab

Building Type	Operating Hours
Office HVAC Pump	2,000
Retail Ventilation Fan	3,261
Hospitals Other Application	4,500

Table 5. Excerpt from Deemed ASD Tables tab showing incremental costs for ASDs (Reference 8)

HP	Average Installed price (\$)
1	684
2	737
2	815
3	921
5	1,172

Table 6. Excerpt from Deemed Enhanced Cost Table tab showing incremental costs for Enhanced NEMA Premium Motors (Reference 9)

	Plan A	Plan B
HP	Incremental Cost	Incremental Cost
1	\$69	\$402
1.5	\$75	\$442
2	\$72	\$472

Changes from 2008:

Prescriptive rebates will be offered for Plan A motors from 201-500 hp in addition to previously offered rebates for 1-200 hp.

Prescriptive rebates for Plan B motors have been added for 2009

Prescriptive rebates for Enhanced NEMA Premium motors have been added for 2009

References:

- 1. CEE (Consortium for Energy Efficiency) Premium Efficiency Motors Initiative Source for premium motor efficiencies, EPAct Standard Motor Efficiencies and baseline/incremental costs
- 2. NYSERDA (New York State Energy Research and Development Authority), Energy \$mart Programs Deemed Savings Database Source for Coincidence
- 3. Efficiency Vermont's Technical Reference User Manual, 2004 Source for operating hours for non-industrial motors (p.15) and source for measure life, Source for load factor (75%) and baseline/incremental costs
- 4. United States Industrial Electric Motor Systems Market Opportunities Assessment, EERE, US DOE, Dec 2002 Source for operating hours for industrial motors and source for load factor (Table 1-18 and 1-19)
- 5. Office of Industrial Electric Motor Systems Market Opportunities Assessment : Department of Energy (assessment of 265 Industrial facilities in 1997) Source for VSD opportunity in the US market along with Load Factors for Fans and Pumps along with average savings.
- 6. NWPCC (Northwest Power Conservation Council) RTF's (Regional Technical Forum) Archived Measures Source for full motor cost
- 7. Net-to-gross factor from Energy Efficiency Best Practices (http://www.eebestpractices.com)
- 8. Average cost for ASD Information from Grainger (6/25/08) online
- 9. Assumed costs for Enhanced NEMA Premium motors are 10% higher than costs for NEMA Premium motors from Motor Master

CO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

Deemed Savings

Stipulated Values
Load Factor
Conversion
Coincidence Factor

0.7 746 (1 HP = .746 kW 0.7

Table 1: Motor Efficiency and Incremental Cost of Premium Efficiency Motor (1), (2), (3)

	Standard or Premium Effici	HP	Speed	Type	Efficiency	Efficiency	Incremental Col (NWPCC RTF)
tendard Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	1	1200	QDP	80	80.0%	
tandard Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Molor	1.5		ODP	84	84.0%	
landard Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	2	1200	ÖDP	85.5	85.5%	
landard Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Molor	3		OOP	86.5	88.5%	
landard Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	5		ODP	87.5	87.5%	
landard Lifficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	7.5	1200	ODP	88,5	88.5%	- <u> </u>
landard Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Molor	10		ODP	90.2	90.2%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	15		ODP	90.2	90.2%	-
Standard Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	20		OOP	91	91.0%	
landard timelency Motor 20 HP 1200 RPM OUP	Standard Efficiency Motor	25		ODP	91.7	91.7%	-
tendard Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	30		ODP	92.4	92.4%	
Indard I fliciency Motor 30 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	40		ODP	93	93.0%	
tandard Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	50		ODP	93	93.0%	-
landard Efficiency Molor 50 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	60		ODP	93.6		
tendard Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	75		ODP	93,6	93.6%	
tenderd Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	100		ODP	94.1	94,1%	-
landard Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1200 RPM ODP		125		ODP	94.1	94,1%	
landerd Efficiency Molor 125 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	150		ODP	94.5		
landard Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	200		ODP	94.5		
landard Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	- 200		ODP	82.5		
standard Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Molor	1,5		ODP	84		
landard Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor			ODP	84		
landard Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	 		ODP	66,5		
Standard Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor			ODP	87.5		
stendard Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor				88.5		
Standard Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	7.5		ODP	89.5		
Standard Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	10		OOP	91		
Standard Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	19		OOP			
tendard Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	20		ODP	9		
Standard Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	2		ODP	91.7		
Standard Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	3(OOP	92.4		
Standard Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	40		OOP	9:		
Standard Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	. 50		ODP	9:		
Standard Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	64		OOP	93.0		
Standard Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	7:		ODP	94		
Standard Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	10		OOP	94.		
Standard Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	12		ODP	94.		
Standard Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	15		ODP	9:		
Standard Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	20		OOP	9		·
Standard Efficiency Motor 1 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor			ODP	N/A	N/A	
Standard Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3800 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	1.		ODP	82.		
Standard Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Molor			OOP	8		
Standard Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor			0 ODP	8		
Standard Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor			GIODP	85.	5 85.59	6

Deemed Plan A Tables

CO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

A	Standard Efficiency Motor	7.5	3600 OOP	87.5	87.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	10	3600 ODP	88.5	88,5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 10 HP 3600 RPM OCP	Standard Efficiency Motor	15	3600 ODP	89.5	89.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 15 HP 3600 RPM OCP	Standard Efficiency Motor	20	3600 ODP	90.2	90.2%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 20 HP 3800 RPM OCP		25	3600 ODP	91	91.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 25 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	30	3600 ODP	91	91.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 30 HP 3600 RPM OCP	Standard Efficiency Motor	40	3600 ODP	91.7	91.7%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 40 HP 3600 RPM OCP	Standard Efficiency Motor	50	3600 ODP	92.4	92.4%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 50 HP 3800 RPM OCP	Standard Efficiency Motor	50	3800 ODP	93	93.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 60 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	75	3800 ODP	93	93.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 75 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	100	3800 ODP	93	93.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 100 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor Standard Efficiency Motor	125	3600 ODP	93.6	93.6% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 125 HP 3600 RPM ODP		150	3600 ODP	93.6	93.8% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 150 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	200	3600 ODP	94.5	94.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 200 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Standard Efficiency Motor	1	1200 TEFC	80	80.0%	
Standard Efficiency Molor 1 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	1.5	1200 TEFC	85.5	85.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor		1200 TEFC	86.5	86.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	2 3	1200 TEFC	87.5	87.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	5	1200 TEFC	87.5	87.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor		1200 TEFC	89.5	89.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	7.5	1200 TEFC	89.5	69.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	10		90.2	90.2%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	15	1200 TEFC		90.2%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	20	1200 TEFC	90.2	91.7% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	25	1200 TEFC		91.7% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	30	1200 TEFC	91.7	93.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	40	1200 TEFC		93.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	50	1200 TEFC	93	93.6% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	60	1200 TEFC	93.6	93.6%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	75	1200 TEFC	93.6	93.876 -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	100	1200 TEFC	94,1	94.1%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	125	1200 TEFC	94.1	95.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	150	1200 TEFC	95	95.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1200 RPM TIEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	200	1200 TEFC	95		
Standard Lifficiency Motor 1 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor		1800 TEFC	82.5	82,5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	1,5	1800 TEFC	84	84.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	2	1800 TEFC	84	84.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	3	1800 TEFC	87.5	07.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Molor 5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	5	1800 TEFC	87.5	67.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	7.5	1800 TEFC	69.5	89.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	10	1800 TEFC	89.5	89.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Molor	15	1800 TEFC	91	91.0% -	—
Standard I: fficiency Motor 20 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	20	1800 TEFC	91	91.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	25	1800 TEFC	92.4	92.4%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	30	1800 TEFC	92.4	92.4% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	40	1800 TEFC	93	93.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	50	1800 TEFC	93	93.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	60	1800 TEFC	93.6	93.6% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1800 RPM TEI-C	Standard Efficiency Motor	75	1800 TEFC	94.1	94.1%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	100	1800 TEFC	94.5	94.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	125	1800 TEFC	94.5	94.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Molor	150	1800 TEFC	95	95.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	200	1800 TEFC	95	95.0%	

Standard Efficiency Motor 1 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	1	3600 TEFC	75.5	75.5% •	
Standard Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	1.5	3500 TEFC	82.5	82.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 2 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	2	3600 TEFC	84	84.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	3	3600 TEFC	85.5	85.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 5 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	5	3600 TEFC	87.5	87.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	7.5	3600 TEFC	88.5	88.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 10 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	10	3800 TEFC	89.5	89.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 15 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	15	3600 TEFC	90.2	90.2%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 20 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	20	3600 TEFC	90.2	90.2%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 25 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	25	3800 TEFC	91	91.0%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 30 HP 3800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	30	3600 TEFC	911	91.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 40 HP 3800 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	40	3600 TEFC	81.7	91.7% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 50 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	50	3600 TEFC	92.4	92.4% -	29
Standard Efficiency Motor 60 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	60	3600 TEFC	93	93.0% -	•
Standard Efficiency Motor 75 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	75	3600 TEFC	93	93.0% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 100 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	100	3600 TEFC	93.6	93.6% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 125 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	125	3600 TEFC	94.5	94.5% -	
Standard Efficiency Motor 150 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	150	3600 TEFC	94.5	94.5%	
Standard Efficiency Motor 200 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Standard Efficiency Motor	200	3600 TEFC	95	95.0% -	
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	- 1	1200 ODP	82.5	82.5%	\$52
Premium Efficiency Molor 1,5 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	1200 ODP	88.5	86.5%	\$60
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1200 RPM OOP	Premium Efficiency Motor	- 2	1200 ODP	87.5	87.5%	\$61
	Premium Efficiency Motor	3	1200 ODP	88.5	88.5%	\$54
Premium Efficiency Molor 3 HP 1200 RPM OOP	Premium Efficiency Motor	5	1200 ODP	89.5	89.5%	\$63
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1200 RPM ODP		7.5	1200 OOP	90.2	90.2%	\$123
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	10	1200 OOP	91.7	91.7%	\$118
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	15	1200 OOP	91.7	91.7%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	20	1200 OOP	92.4	92.4%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor		1200 ODP	92.9	93.0%	\$201
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	25	1200 ODP	93.6	93.6%	\$231
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	30	1200 ODP		94.1%	\$249
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	40		94.1		\$273
Promium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	50	1200 ODP	94.1	94.1%	\$431
Premium E ficiency Motor 60 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	60	1200 ODP	94.5	94.5%	\$554
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	75	1200 ODP	94.5	94,5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	100	1200 ODP	95	95.0%	\$658
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1200 RPM GOP	Premium Efficiency Motor	125	1200 ODP	95	95.0%	\$841
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM OOP	Premium Efficiency Motor	150	1200 OOP	95.4	95.4%	\$908
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	200	1200 ODP	95.4	95,4%	\$964
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1800 RPM OOP	Premium Efficiency Motor	1	1800 ODP	85,5	85.5%	\$52
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1800 RPM ODI2	Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	1800 ODP	86.5	86,5%	\$60
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	2	1800 ODP	86.5	86.5%	\$61
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	3	1800 ODP	89.6	89,5%	\$54
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	5	1800 ODP	89.5	89.5%	\$63
Premium Ericiancy Motor 7.5 HP 1800 RPM ODI?	Premium Efficiency Motor	7.5	1800 OOP	91	91.0%	\$123
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	10	1800 ODP	91,7	91,7%	\$116
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	15	1800 ODP	93	83,0%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	20	1800 ODP	93	93.0%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	25	1800 ODP	93,6	93.6%	\$201
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	30	1800 ODP	94.1	94.1%	\$231
Promium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	40	1800 ODP	94.1	94,1%	\$249
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	50	1800 ODP	94.5	94.5%	\$273
					95,0%	\$431

Deemed Plan A Tables

GO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Description For the second	75	1800[ODP	OF.	95.0%	\$554
	Premium Efficiency Motor			. 95		
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1800 RPM OOP	Premium Efficiency Motor	100	1800 ODP	95.4	95.4%	\$658
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	125	1800 ODP	95.4	95.4%	\$841
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	150	1800 ODP	95.8	95.8%	\$908
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	200	1800 ODP	95.8	95.8%	3964
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 3800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	1	3600 ODP	77	77.0%	\$52
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	3600 ODP	84	84.0%	\$60
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	2	3600 ODP	85.5	85.5%	\$61
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	3	3600 ODP	85.5	85.5%	\$54
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	5	3600 ODP	86.5	86.5%	\$63
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	7.5	3600 ODP	88.5	88.5%	\$123
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 3600 RPM ODI2	Premium Efficiency Motor	10	3600 OOP	89.5	89.5%	\$116
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 3800 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	15	3600 ODP	90.2	90.2%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 3600 RPM ODI ³	Premium Efficiency Motor	20	3600 ODP	91	91.0%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 3600 RPM ODI	Premium Efficiency Motor	25	3600 ODP	91.7	91.7%	\$201
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 3600 RPM ODI ³	Premium Efficiency Motor	30	3600 ODP	91.7	91.7%	\$231
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	40	3600 ODP	92.4	92.4%	\$248
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 3800 RPM QDP	Premium Efficiency Motor	50	3600 ODP	93	93.0%	\$273
Premium Efficiency Motor 60 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	60}	3600 ODP	93.6	93.6%	\$431
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	75.	3600 ODP	93.6	93.6%	\$554
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 3600 RPM OOP	Premium Efficiency Motor	100	3600 QDP	93.6	93.6%	\$658
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	125	3600 ODP	94.1	94.1%	\$841
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	150	3600 ODP	94.1	94.1%	\$908
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Premium Efficiency Motor	200	3600 ODP	95	95.0%	\$964
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	11	1200 TEFC	82,5	82.5%	\$52
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	1200 TEFC	87.5	87.5%	\$60
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	2	1200 TEFC	88.5	88.5%	\$61
Premium Efficiency Molor 3 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	3	1200 TEFC	89.5	89.5%	\$54
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	5	1200 TEFC	89.5	89.5%	\$63
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premjum Efficiency Motor	7.5	1200 TEFC	91	91.0%	\$123
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	10	1200 TEFC	91	91.0%	\$116
Premium E'liciency Motor 15 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	15	1200 TEFC	91.7	91.7%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	20	1200 TEFC	91.7	91.7%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	25	1200 TEFC	93	93.0%	\$201
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	30	1200 TEFC	93	93.0%	\$231
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	40	1200 TEFC	94.1	94.1%	\$249
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	50	1200 TEFC	94.1	94,1%	\$273
Premium Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	60	1200 TEFC	94.5	94.5%	\$431
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	75	1200 TEFC	94.5	94.5%	\$554
Premium E Ticlency Motor 100 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	100	1200 TEFC	95	95.0%	\$658
	Premium Efficiency Motor	125	1200 TEFC	95	95.0%	\$641
Premium E: Ilciency Motor 125 HP 1200 RPM TEFC		150	1200 TEFC	95.8	95.8%	\$908
Premium Erliciency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	200	1200 TEFC	95.8	95,8%	\$964
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	200	1800 TEFC	85.5	85.5%	\$52
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor					\$60
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	1800 TEFC	86.5	88,5%	\$61
Premium Eificiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	2	1800 TEFC	86,5		\$54
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	3	1800 TEFC	89.5	89,5%	\$63 \$63
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	5	1800 TEFC	89.5	89,5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	7.5	1800 TEFC	91.7	91.7%	\$123
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	10	1800 TEFC	91.7	01.7%	\$116
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	15	1800 TEFC	92.4	92,4%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	20	1800 TEFC	93	93.0%	\$115

CO Desmed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

COLUMN TERM	Premium Efficiency Motor	25	1800 TEFC	93.6	93.6%	\$201
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Molor	30	1800 TEFC	93.6	93.6%	\$231
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	40	1800 TEFC	94.1	94.1%	\$249
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	50	1800 TEFC	94.5	94,5%	\$273
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	60	1800 TEFC	95	95.0%	\$431
Premium Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	75	1800 TEFC	95.4	95.4%	\$554
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	100	1800 TEFC	95.4	95,4%	\$658
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	125	1800 TEFC	95.4	95.4%	\$841
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	150	1800 TEFC	95.8	95.8%	\$908
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1800 RPM TEFC		200	1800 TEFC	96.2	96.2%	\$964
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	200	3600 TEFC	77	77.0%	\$52
Premium Efficiency Molor 1 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	3600 TEFC	-84	84.0%	\$60
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	2	3600 TEFC	85.5	85.5%	\$61
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	<u>.</u>	3800 TEFC	86.5	86.5%	\$54
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	5	3600 TEFC	88.5	88.5%	\$63
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	7.5	3600 TEFC	89.5	89,5%	\$123
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor		3600 TEFC	90.2	90.2%	\$116
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 3800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	10	3600 TEFC	91	91,0%	\$115
Premium: Efficiency Motor 15 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	15		91	91.0%	\$115
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	20	3800 TEFC	91.7	91.7%	\$201
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 3800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	25		91.7	91.7%	\$231
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	30		92.4	92.4%	\$249
Premium: Efficiency Motor 40 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	40		92.4	93.0%	\$273
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	50			93.6%	\$431
Premiur Efficiency Molor 60 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	60		93,6	93.6%	\$554
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 3800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	75		93.6	94,1%	\$656
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 3800 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	100		94,1		\$641
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	125		95	95,0%	\$908
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	150		95	95.0%	
Premium Efficiency Molor 200 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Premium Efficiency Motor	200	3800 TEFC	95.4	95.4%	\$964

Measure Life			
	Measure Life =	20 years (2), (3)	20

ble 2: Operating Hours by Motor Size, Industrial A	Mac Allisici (Industria) 2004
1	2,745
1,5	2,745
2	2,745
3	2,745
	2,745
7.5	3,391
10	3,391
15	3,391
20	3,391
25	4,067
30	4,067
40	4,067
50	4,067
60	5,326
75	

Deemed Plan A Tables

CO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

ec.R08-1	243 Pa ge	103 of	14

	0 5,329
12	55,200
	0 5,200
20	0 5,200

Table 3: Operating Hours by Application, Non-Industrial (3)

Building Uyeo	
Office HVAC Pump	2,000
Retail HVAC Pump	2,000
Hospitals HVAC Pump	2,754
Elem/Sec Schools HVAC Pump	2,190
Restaurant HVAC Pump	2,000
Warehouse HVAC Pump	2,241
Hotels/Mctels HVAC Pump	4,231
Grocery HVAC Pump	2,080
Health HVAC Pump	2,559
Cotlege/Univ HVAC Pump	3,641
Office Ventifation Fan	6,192
Retail Ventilation Fan	3,261
Hospitals Ventilation Fan	8,374
Elem/Sec Schools Ventilation Fan	3,699
Restaurar I Ventilation Fan	4,155
Warehouse Ventilation Fan	6,369
Hotels/Motels Ventilation Fan	3,719
Grocery Ventilation Fen	6,369
Health Ventilation Fan	2,000
College/Univ Ventilation Fan	3,831
Office Other Application	4500
Retail Other Application	4500
Hospitals Other Application	4500
Elem/Sec Schools Other Application	4500
Restaurant Other Application	4500
Warehouse Other Application	4500
Hotels/Motels Other Application	4500
Grocery Other Application	4500
Health Other Application	4500
College/Univ Other Application	4500

- References
 1 CEE (Consortium for Energy Efficiency) Premium Efficiency Motors Inlative Source for premium motor efficiencies and EPAct Standard Motor Efficiencies
 2 NYSERDA (New York State Energy Research and Development Authority), Energy Smart Programs Deemed Savings Database
 3 Efficiency Vermont's Technical Reference User Manual, 2004 Source for operating hours for non-industrial motors (p.15) and source for measure life and source for load factor (75%)
 4 United States Industrial Electric Motor Systems Market Opportunities Assessment, EERE, US DOE, Dec 2002 Source for operating hours for industrial motors and source for load factor (7able 1-18 and 1-18)

Stipulated Values

Load Factor Conversion Coincidence Factor 0.75 = ,746 (1 HP = ,746 kW) 0,78

Table 1: Motor Efficiency and Full Cost of Premium Efficiency Motor (2), (5), (1)

Table 1: Motor Efficiency and Full Cost of Premi	Existing or Premium Efficiency	HP	6 mand	Tuna	Efficiency	% Eff	Full Cost
Motor Tag Existing Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM COP	Existing of Premium Eniciency Existing Efficiency Motor	nr ,		OOP	76.3	76.3%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	1.5		ODP	77.4	77,4%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	1.3		OOP	78.5	78.5%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Existing Efficiency Motor			ODP	80.5	80.6%	<u></u>
	Existing Efficiency Motor	5		OOP	83.2	83.2%	•
	Existing Efficiency Motor	7.5		ODP	85.3	85,3%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	10		OOP	86.3	86.3%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	15		ODP	87.2	87.2%	-
	Existing Efficiency Motor	20		ODP	86.1	88,1%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	25		ODP	88.9	88.9%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	30		ODP	89.4	89.4%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	40		OOP	89.7	89.7%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	50		ODP	89.9	89,9%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	60		OOP	90,4	90.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	75		ODP	90.9	90.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	100		ODP	90.9	90,9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	125		ODP	91.3	91,3%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	150		ODP	91.7	91.7%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	200		ODP	92.5	92.5%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	200		ODP	76.3	76.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1800 RPM ODP		1.5		ODP	77.4	77.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	1.5		ODP	78.5	78.5%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM OOP	Existing Efficiency Motor	3		ODP	80.6	80.6%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1800 RPM OOP	Existing Efficiency Motor			ODP	83.2	83.2%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	7.5		ODP	85,3	85.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor			ODP	86.3	86.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	10		ODP	87.2	87.2%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	15		ODP	88.1	86.1%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	20		OOP	88.9	88.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	25		ODP	89.4	89.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	30		OOP	89.7	89.7%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	40		ODP	89.9	89.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	50		ODP	90.4	90.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1800 RPM OOP	Existing Efficiency Motor	80		ODP			
Existing Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	75			90.9	90.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	100		ODP	90.9	91,3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	125		ODP	91.3	91,7%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	150		ODP	91.7	92.5%	
Existing Eff clency Motor 200 HP 1800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	200		ODP	92.5 76.3	76.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 1 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	1		ODP		77.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	1,5		ODP	77.4		
Existing Efficiency Motor 2 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	2		ODP	76.5		
Existing Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	3		ODP	80.6		
Existing Efficiency Motor 5 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor			ODP	63.2		
Existing Efficiency Motor 7,5 HP 3500 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	7.5		ODP	85.3		
Existing Efficiency Motor 10 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	10	3600	ODP	86.3	86,3%	<u>l</u>

						22.50	
Existing Efficiency Motor 15 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	15	3800 C		87.2	87.2%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 20 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	20	3600 C		88.1	88.1%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	25	3600 C		88.9	00.9%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	30	3600 C		69.4	89.4%	
	Existing Efficiency Motor	40	3600 C		89.7	89.7%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 50 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	50	3600 C		89.9	89,9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 60 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	60	3600 C		90,4	90.4%	
Existing Lifficiency Motor 75 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	75	3600 C		90.9	90.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 100 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	100	3600 C		90.9	90.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 125 HP 3800 RPM OOP	Existing Efficiency Motor	125	3600 C		91,3	91.3%	
Existing Lifficiency Motor 150 HP 3800 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	150	3600 C		91.7	91,7%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 200 HP 3600 RPM ODP	Existing Efficiency Motor	200	3600 C		92,5	92.5%	
Existing Lifficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	1	1200 1		76.3	76.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	1,5	1200 1		77,4	77.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	2	1200		78.5	78.5%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	3	1200]		80.6	80.6%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	5	1200 1		83.2	83.2%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	7.5	1200		85.3	85.3%	-
Existing Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	10	1200		86.3	86.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	15	1200 1		87.2	87.2%	<u></u>
Existing Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1200 RPM TEIC	Existing Efficiency Motor	20	1200		88.1	88.1%	<u>*</u>
Existing Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	25	1200		88.9	99.9%	<u>:</u>
Existing Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	30	1200		89,4	89.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1200 RPM TEIC	Existing Efficiency Motor	40	1200		89.7	89.7%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	50	1200		89.9	89.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	60	1200		90.4	90.4%	:
Existing Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	75	1200		90.9	80,9%	<u> </u>
Eviation Efficiency Molor 100 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	100	1200		90.9	90.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	125	1200		91.3	91.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	150	1200		91.7	91.7%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1200 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	200	1200		92.5	92.5%	·
Evision Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	1	1800		76.3	76.3%	<u> </u>
Existing Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	1.5	1800		77.4	77.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	2	1800		78.5	78.5%	·
Existing Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	3	1800		80.6	80.6%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	5	1800		83.2	63.2%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	7,5	1800		85.3	85.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	10		TEFC	86.3	86.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	15		TEFC	87.2	87.2%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	20		TEFC	88.1	88.1%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1800 RPM TEFC		25	1800		88.9	88.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	30		TEFC	89.4	89.4%	
Existing Efficiency Molor 40 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	40		TEFC	89.7	89.7%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	50		TEFC	89.9	89.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	80		TEFC	90,4	90.4%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	75	1800	TEFC	90.9	90.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	100		TEFC	90.9	90.9%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	125		TEFC	91.3	91.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	150		TEFC	91.7	91.7%	
Editing Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	200		TEFC	92.5	92.5%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 1 MP 3800 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	1		TEFC	78.3	76.3%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	Existing Efficiency Motor	1.5	3600	TEFC	77.4	77.4%	·
Committy Entracticy Motor 1.0 In 2000 form Ci O							

CO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

Existing Efficiency Motor 2 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	2	3600 TEP	C 78.5	78.5%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3500 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	3	3600 TEF	C 80.6	80.6%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 5 HP 3800 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	5	3600 TER	C 83.	83,2%	
Existing Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	7.5	3800 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 10 HP 3600 RPM TEFC: Existing Efficiency Motor	10	3600 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 15 HP 3800 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	15	3600 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 20 HP 3600 RPM TEFC (Existing Efficiency Motor	20	3600 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 25 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	25	3600 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 30 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	30	3800 TEF		69.4%	-
Existing Efficiency Motor 40 HP 3600 RPM TEFC	40	3600 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 50 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	50	3800 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 60 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	60	3800 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 75 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	75	3800 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 100 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	100	3600 TER			
Existing Efficiency Motor 125 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	125	3600 TEF			
	150	3600 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 150 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor	200	3600 TEF			
Existing Efficiency Motor 200 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Existing Efficiency Motor Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	1	1200 OD			
	1.5	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor		1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	2	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	3				T
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	5	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	7,5	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	10	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	15	1200 00			*
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	20	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1200 RPM ODF Premium Efficiency Motor	25	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1200 RPM ODF Premium Efficiency Motor	30	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1200 RPM ODF Premium Efficiency Motor	40	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	50	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1200 RPM ODF Premium Efficiency Motor	60	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1200 RPM ODF Premium Efficiency Motor	75	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	100	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	125	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	150	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1200 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	200	1200 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	1	1800 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	1800 QD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	2	1800 QD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	3	1800 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor		1800 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	7,5	1800 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	10	1800 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	15	1800 OD		3 93.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	20	1800 OD		3 93.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	25	1800 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	30	1800 OD			
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	40	1800 00			
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	50	1800 OD	P 94		
Premium Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1800 RPM ODF Premium Efficiency Motor	60	1800 OD		5 95.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	75	1800 OD	P	5 95.09	
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	100	1800 00		4 95.49	\$ 3,106.80

CO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	125	1800 C	DP I	95.4	95.4%	\$ 3,566,15
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	150	1800 C	DP	95.8	95.8%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	200	1800 C	DP 1	95.8	95.8%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 3600 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor		3600 C	ODP	77	77.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 3800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	3600 C		84	84.0%	\$ 240.90
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3600 RPM COP Premium Efficiency Motor Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 3600 RPM COP Premium Efficiency Motor	2	3800 0		85,5	85.5%	
	3	3600 0		85.5	85.5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	5	3600 0		86.5	86.5%	\$ 344,30
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 3600 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	7.5	3600 0		88.5	88,5%	\$ 453.30
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 3600 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	10	3600 0		69.5	69.5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 3600 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	15	3600 (90.2	90.2%	\$ 695.35
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 3600 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	20	3600 0		91	91.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 3600 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	25	3600		91.7	91.7%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 3600 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	30	3600		91.7	91.7%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 3600 RPM COP Premium Efficiency Motor	40	3800		92.4	92.4%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 3800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor		3600		93	93.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 3800 RPM ODP Premium Efficiency Motor	50	3600		93.6	93.6%	
Beamlum Efficiency Motor 80 HP 3800 RPM COP Premium Efficiency Motor	60	3800		93,6	93.6%	<u> </u>
Browkin Efficiency Motor 75 HP 3600 RPM OOP Premium Efficiency Motor	75	3600		93.6	93.6%	
Remium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 3600 RPM ODP (Premium Efficiency Motor	100			94.1	94.1%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Remitter Efficiency Motor 125 HP 3800 RPM ODP (Premium Efficiency Motor	125	3600		94.1	94.1%	
Promium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 3500 RPM ODP I Premium Efficiency Motor	150	3800		95	95.0%	
Desmium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 3600 RPM ODP (Premium Efficiency Motor)	200	3600			82.5%	
Gramium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	1	1200		82.5	67.5%	•
Bramium Efficiency Motor 1 5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5	1200		87.5		122.44
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	2	1200		88.5	88.5%	
Overham Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	3	1200		89.5	89.5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	5	1200		89.5	89.5%	*
Premium Efficiency Motor 7.5 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	7.5		TEFC	91	91.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	10	1200		91	91.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1200 RPM TLFC Premium Efficiency Motor	15		TEFC	91.7	91.7%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	20		TEFC	91.7	91.7%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	25		TEFC	93	93.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	30		TEFC	93	93,0%	<u> </u>
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	40		TEFC	94.1	94.1%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	50		TEFC	94.1	94.1%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 80 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	60		TEFC	94.5	94,5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	75		TEFC	94.5	94.5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	100	1200	TEFC	95	95.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1200 RPM 1 EFC Premium Efficiency Motor	125	1200	TEFC	95	95.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	150	1200	TEFC	95.8	95.8%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1200 RPM TEP CIPTERIUM Efficiency Motor	200	1200	TEFC	95.8		
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1200 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	1	1800	TEFC	85.5		
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5		TEFC	86.5		
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	2		TEFC	86.5	86.5%	\$ 364.20
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor			TEFC	89.5	89.59	\$ 390,00
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	- 5		TEFC			\$ 452,85
Premium Efficiency Motor 5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	7.5		TEFC		91.79	
Services Efficiency Motor 7 5 HP 1800 RPM TEFC I Premium Efficiency Motor	10		TEFC			\$ 899.45
Gramium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	15		TEFC			928,05
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	20		TEFC			1,011.70
Greenium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	25		TEFC			
Duration Stitule and Motor 25 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	30		TEFC			
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	30]	1800	Light	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

CO Deamed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xis

Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	40	1800	TEFC	94.1	94.1%	\$ 2,176,55
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	50		TEFC	94.5	94.5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 60 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	60		TEFC	95	95.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	75		TEFC	95.4	95,4%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 190 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	100		TEFC		95.4%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 1800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	125		TEFC	95.4	95.4%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 1800 RPM TEFCI Premium Efficiency Motor	150		TEFC	95.8	95.8%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 1800 RPM TEFC/Premium Efficiency Motor	200		TEFC	98.2	96.2%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 1 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	1		TEFC	77	77.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 1.5 HP 3800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	1.5		TEFC	84	84.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 2 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	2		TEFC	85.5	85.5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 3 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	3		TEFC	86,5	86,5%	
Premium Efficiency Molor 5 HP 3800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Molor	5		TEFC	88.5	86.5%	
Premium Efficiency Molor 7.5 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	7.5		TEFC	89.5	89,5%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 10 HP 3800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	10		TEFC	90.2	90.2%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 15 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	15		TEFC	91	91.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 20 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	20		TEFC	91	91.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 25 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	25		TEFC	91.7	91,7%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 30 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	30		TEFC	91.7	91.7%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 40 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	40		TEFC	92.4	92.4%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 50 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	50		TEFC	93	93.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 80 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	60		TEFC	93.6	93.6%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 75 HP 3800 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	75		TEFC	93.6	93.6%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 100 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	100		TEFC		94.1%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 125 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	125		TEFC	95	95,0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 150 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	150		TEFC	95	95.0%	
Premium Efficiency Motor 200 HP 3600 RPM TEFC Premium Efficiency Motor	200		TEFC	95.4	95.4%	

Measure Life

Measure Life = (20 Years (3), (5)

Table 2: Operating Hours by Motor Size, Industr	AUSIC(Intituted State
1	2,745
1.5	2,745
2	2,745
3	
5	
7.5	
10	3,391
15	3,391
20	3,391
25	4,087
30	4,067
40	4,067
50	4,067
60	5,329
75	5,329
100	5,329
125	5,200
150	5,200
200	5,200

Table 3: Operating Hours by Application, Non-Industrial (5)

- Huilding Type	Densiting Hours Alex:
Office HVAC Pump	2,000
Retail HVAC Pump	2,000
Hospitals HVAC Pump	2,754
Elem/Ser: Schools HVAC Pump	2,190
Restaurant HVAC Pump	2,000
Warehouse HVAC Pump	2,241
Hatels/Motels HVAC Pump	4,231
Grocery -IVAC Pump	2,080
Health HVAC Pump	2,559
College/Univ HVAC Pump	3,641
Office Ventilation Fan	6,192
Retail Ventilation Fan	3,261
Hospitals Ventilation Fan	8,374
Elem/Set: Schools Ventilation Fan	3,699
Restaurant Ventilation Fen	4,155
Warehouse Ventilation Fan	5,369
Hotels/Motels Ventiliation Fan	3,719
Grocery Ventilation Fan	6,389
Health Ventilation Fan	2,000
College/Univ Ventilation Fan	3,631
Office Other Application	4500
Retail Other Application	4500
Hospitals Other Application	4500
Elem/Sec Schools Other Application	4500
Restaurant Other Application	4500
Warehouse Other Application	4500
Hotels/Motels Other Application	4500
Grocery Other Application	4500
Health Other Application	4500
College/Univ Other Application	4500

References

1 NVPCC (Northwest Power Conservation Council) RTF's (Regional Technical Forum) Archived Measures - Source for full motor cost

2 CEE (Consortium for Energy Efficiency) Premium Efficiency Motors Inisitive - Source for premium motor efficiencies

3 NYSERDA (New York State Energy Research and Development Authority): NY Energy \$mart Programs Dearmed Savings Database - Source for coincidence factor, measure life, and motor toal factor

4 United States Industrial Electric Motor Systems Market Opportunities Assessment, EERE, US DOE, Dec 2002 - Source for operating hours for industrial motors and source for motor load factor data (Tables 1-18 and 1-19)

5 Efficiency Vermont's Technical Reference User Manual, 2004 - Source for operating hours for commercial motors (p.15) and source for measure life and source for existing motor efficiencies and source for motor load factor default value

Deemed Plan (3 Tables

CO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

VFD Costs

	Guander (2/22/09) quine							
Brand ≠	TELEMECANIQUE	DAYTON		Emerson				
Brand =		Fulli						
	without Bypass	without Bypass		without Bypass				
Voltage/Phase =		460V - 3Phase		460V - 3Phase	Average c	osts including	instali will be us	ed for 2009 and 2010 incremental costs
Aditades Lugae -	4004 - 2111920	4000 - 0- 11000			Average	Average		
					Purchase	installed price	1	
	_				Price (\$)		HP	
HP	\$	\$		\$371	\$456	\$684	1	
11	\$413	\$584	estimated		\$491	\$737	2	
2	\$450	\$637	estimated	\$387	\$543	\$815	- 2	
2	\$467	\$689		\$454				
3	\$563	\$746		\$533	3614	\$921	5	
5	\$675	\$1,022		\$646	\$781	\$1,172		
7.5	\$843	\$1,297		\$992	\$1,044	\$1,566	7.5	
10	\$1,032	\$1,005		\$1,307	\$1,341	\$2,012	10	
15	\$1,359	\$2,125		\$1,572	\$1,685	\$2,528	15	
20	\$1,687	\$2,849		\$2,264	\$2,267	\$3,400	20	
		\$3,490		\$2,490	\$2,909	\$4,383	25	
26	\$2,746			\$2,682	\$3,118	\$4,678	30	
30	\$2,990	\$3,583	F6	\$3,389	\$4,125	\$8,187	40	
40	\$3,678	\$5,328	Fuji	\$4,163	\$4,873	\$7,310	50	
50	\$4,326	\$8,131	Fuji		\$6,033	\$9,049	60	
60	\$5,432	\$7,663	Fuji	\$5,003	\$7,019	\$10,528	75	
75	\$5,836	\$8,964	Fuji	\$8,256			100	
100	\$8,863	\$11,267	Fuji	\$7,903	\$8,811	512,917		
125	\$7,324	\$14,157	Fuji	\$9,487	\$10,316		125	
150	\$8,272	\$15,004	estimated	\$11,016	\$11,431		150	
	\$9,504	\$16,742	estimated	\$14,382	\$13,536	\$20,304	200	1
200	40,404	4.01.45		•		Installation as	sued as 50% of p	urchase price

Average % savings	33%
Measure Life (years)	20

Pumping Load Factor	75%
Fan Load Factor	85%

Coin. Fector 78%

Deemed ASD Tables

CO Deemed Motor & Drive Efficiency.xls

^{1.} From Office of Industrial Electric Motor Systems Market Opportunities Assessment: Department of Energy (assessment of 265 Industrial facilities in 1997)

	Plan A	Plan B
hp	Incremental Cost	Incremental Cost
1	\$69	\$402
1.5	\$75	\$442
2	\$72	\$472
3	\$74	\$518
5	\$66	\$590
7.5	\$142	\$767
10	\$129	\$889
15	\$108	\$1,475
20	\$114	\$1,798
25	\$218	\$2,320
30	\$267	\$2,750
40	\$320	\$3,655
50	\$455	\$4,032
60	\$599	\$5,987
75	\$500	\$6,958
100	\$754	\$8,923
125	\$589	\$11,851
150	\$691	\$13,298
200	\$636	\$16,953
250	\$3,344	\$21,468
300	\$4,007	\$29,638
350	\$7,011	\$35,792
400	\$6,393	\$39,233
450	\$8,415	\$40,915
500	\$11,521	\$43,173

Costs were determined for 1800 RPM TEFC motors, but will be used for all RPM and Types of Enhanced NEMA Premium motors as 1800 RPM TEFC is the most common. Incremental costs for Plan A represents the cost differential between standard motor and efficient motor Incremental costs for Plan B motors represent the full purchase and installation costs for the new motor

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG

— Dec P08-1243—Page 112-of 143—

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Low Income Multi-Family Weatherization

Low Income service agency may apply for a grant to improve the natural gas and electric efficiency measures of low income multi-family housing units and common spaces/systems.

Algorithms:

Savings wil: be determined by results of an engineering audit of potential energy savings for the facility and living units. Calculations may include standard energy calculations or hourly energy modeling with recognized software packages. Savings for CFL lighting, refrigerator upgrades or evaporative coolers installed in living units will be deemed per other programs for low income participants or prescriptive programs.

We will use 100% for the Net-to-Gross factor for the Low Income Multi-Family Weatherization program.

We will use 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from rate case no. 07-00319-UT

References

References for each custom efficiency projects will be documented.

Changes from 2008:

This program is new for 2009

NEW CONSTRUCTION SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: New Construction

This is a custom program including electric and gas measures. There are three choices of tracks customers may choose to follow. This program is unique in that Xcel relies heavily on expert consultant in the design process; however, we will perform independent project review in accordance with standard engineering methods. Customer may apply for rebate under the New Construction Program.

Calculations:

Electrical and gas energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated based on the project-specific details. Each project will undergo an engineering review in accordance with standard engineering practices. Prescriptive items within the project will be handled through their respective deemed programs.

Assumptions:

Net-to-gross = Electric 98% for the EDA tracks and 93% for the Energy Efficient Buildings track. Gas EDA NTG is 99% and Gas Energy Efficient Building track is 97%. Program requirements are well above code, so feel free-ridership will be negligible. Gas free ridership will be lower than electric because gas programs are new to Colorado.

Transmission-Distribution Loss Factor = 6.39%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filling SRD-2 Electric Rebate amount is \$300/kW saved

Assume 55% additional savings from using Enhanced Modeling track over Basic based on actuals from MN program
Operation and Maintenance Savings will be calculated for each specific project based on project details.
Life of product is 20 years for gas and electric measures.

Changes from 2008

This is a new program for 2009.

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed New Construction.xls

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG

Dec. R08-1242, Dage 114-pf 142

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Low Income Non-Profit Weatherization

Low income service agency may apply for a grant to improve the natural gas and electric efficiency measures of low income non-proft housing units and common spaces/systems.

Algorithms:

Savings will be determined by results of an engineering audit of potential energy savings for the facility and living units. Calculations may include standard energy calculations or hourly energy modeling with recognized software packages. Savings for CFL lighting, refrigerator upgrades or evaporative coolers installed in living units will be deemed per other programs for low income participants or prescriptive programs.

We will use 100% for the Net-to-Gross factor for the Low Income Non-Profit Weatherization program.

We will use 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from rate case no. 07-00319-UT

References:

References for each custom efficiency projects will be documented.

Changes from 2008:

This program is new for 2009

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG Dec.R08-1243, Page 115 of 143

TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Process Efficiency

The Process Efficiency Business Program targets energy intensive processes at large industrial facilities. Customers who implement identified upgrades may receive rebates for large process changes that are not completed through Custom Efficiency or the prescriptive programs.

Electrical energy savings, electrical demand savings and gas savings will be calculated based on the methodologies presented in each of the end use programs.

A net-to-gross factor of 86.6% will be used for electric Process Efficency projects.

A net-to-gross factor of 93.9% will be used for gas Process Efficency projects. This represents one half of the free rider factor for electric projects because gas Programs are new to Colorado.

A transmission distribution loss factor of 5.39% will be used for Process Efficiency projects. This was calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM filing-SRD-2

Changes from 2008
The Process Efficiency Program is new for 2009.

CO Deemed Process Efficiency.xis

Deemed Savings

1

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG ...Dec.R08-1243. Page 116 of 143

Electric Net to Gross= 0.866

Gas Net to Gross = 0.933

ElectricNTG Factor based on Frontier from the Energy Efficiency Best Practices CA website, custom projects

Gas Net to gross is determined by assuming one half of the electric free rider factorfree rider factor 1/2 of electric (1-((1-.866)/2))=.93

CO Deemed Process Efficiency.xls

Deemed NTG

1

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG Dec.R08-1243, Page 117 of 143

RECOMMISSIONING SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Recommissioning

Recommissioning is a special program that involves a Study phase and an Implementation phase. The customer may apply for rebate under the Recommissioning Program. Each Recommissioning project will be analyzed individually by Xcel Energy. A qualified engineering vendor will perform the study and provide a report and technical calculations to Xcel Energy for review. Analysis will be based on standard engineering methodologies. Customer may also submit for implementation a proposed "Fast Track" project without going through the Recommissioning Study phase, as long as they have performed a study. Recommissioning projects do not have to demonstrate a TRC factor greater than one on a project by project basis. In that regard the program is similar to deemed programs. In most other respects it is more of a custom program.

Calculations:

Electric and Gas energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated by a study vendor based on the project specific details. Each project will undergo an engineering review by Xcel Energy in accordance with standard engineering practices.

A net-to-gross factor of 100% will be used for Recommissioning projects, based on the following justification: Without having completed a recommissioning study through our program, the customer would not have known about the opportunities. If they would have known about them, they would have done them on their own due to the likelihood they are no/low cost items with very quick paybacks.

A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used for recommissioning projects. Reference the Enhanced DSM filling, SRD-2; no significant system changes have been noted since then.

Persistence of the Recommissioning product (product life) is set at 7 years, reference "Recommissioning Persistence - Task 1 Benchmarking Deliverable -040607.pdf"

Changes from 2008

1. A gas rebate is being proposed for the first time.

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Recommissioning.xls

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Refrigerator Recycling

Rebates will be offered for pickup of a secondary working refrigerator that will be demanufactured and re-cycled.

Algorithms:	
	= [Baseline Product Consumption - Efficient Product consumption] = 1,025 kWh/refrigerator recycled
Refrigerator Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= Refrigerator Electrical Energy Savings / 8760 x Average_to_Peak_kW_Factor = 0.139 kW
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	= Customer kWh / (1-TDLF) = 1,104 kWh
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLF) = 0.150 kW
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG = 673 kWh
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW x NTG = 0.091 kW

۱	Vа	-1	•	h	l۵	•
а	v a	10	23	о	ш	23

Variables:	the second secon
	= Baseline Product Consumption is the average current year consumption for refrigerators manufactured 1993-2000 = 1025 kWh in 2009 and 1063 kWh
Baseline Froduct Consumption	in 2010 as calculated in Table 1.
Efficient Product Consumption	= Efficient Product Consumption is 0 when unit has been demanufacturered.
Average to Peak_kW_Factor	= Ratio of average electrical demand to peak electrical demand for a refrigerator from 1993 to 2000. We will use a value of 1.19 from reference
8760	= Total number of hours in one year
Measure Life	= Measure life is assumed to be the remaining service life of the existing refrigerators that are removed under this program. = 7.3 years based on weighted average calculated in Table 1.
Incremental Costs	= Actual cost to implement program from vendor
TOLF	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing - SRD-2
NTG	= Net to gross will be 61% for refrigerator recycling (Reference 3)

CO Deemed Refrigerator Recycling.xls

	= Operation and Maintenance savings are assumed to be zero for
O&M savings	refrigerator recycling.
CF	= Coincidence Factor = 1 by definition because we use average to peak kW

Provided by recycling vendor/homeowner:

Confirm refrigerator was removed

Verified during M&V: Yes

Confirm refrigerator was working prior to removal

Yes

Rebates are available only for working secondary units released by owners.

Changes From 2008:

New program for 2009

	Baseline		
% Share	2009	2010	Remaining Life
11.0%	1,180	1,224	4.5
11.9%	1,128	1,169	5.0
12.5%	1,080	1,120	5.5
12.9%	1,042	1,080	6.5
12.9%	1,004	1,042	7.5
12.9%	969	1,004	8,5
12.9%	934	969	9.5
12.9%	901	934	10.5
	1025	1063	7.3
	11.0% 11.9% 12.5% 12.9% 12.9% 12.9% 12.9%	% Share 2009 11.0% 1,180 11.9% 1,128 12.5% 1,080 12.9% 1,042 12.9% 1,004 12.9% 969 12.9% 934 12.9% 901	11.0% 1,180 1,224 11.9% 1,128 1,169 12.5% 1,080 1,120 12.9% 1,042 1,080 12.9% 1,042 1,080 12.9% 1,004 1,042 12.9% 969 1,004 12.9% 934 969 12.9% 901 934

References

1. Baseline kWh and Average to peak kW ratio from Energy Data Sourcebook for the U.S. Residential Sector. Berkeley, CA: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, LBNL-40297

2. Remaining Life and % share from US DOE, Technical support document: Energy efficiency standards for consumer products: Refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and freezers including draft environmental assessment, regulatory impact analysis, 1995 Jul

3. Net-to-Gross factor from Fort Collins Utility report

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG Dec.R08-1243 Page 120 of 143

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Residential Saver's Switch New A/C

Prescriptive rebates will be offered to customers who install a Saver's Switch on their AC system.

Calculations:

Saver's Switch Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWI	n) = Average kW per Unit x Full Load Hours of Operation	
Saver's Switch Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kV	/) = Average kW:per Unit	
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	≃ Customes kWh / (1-TDLF)	
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLF)	—
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG	—
Flectrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW x NTG	

Variables

variables:	
Average kW per Unit	= Average kW per A/C Unit = 3.000 kW/unit (Reference 1)
Full Load Hours of Operation	= Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation that a Switch achieves energy savings by controlling an a/c unit during a typical year. Value includes equivalent hours during control discounted by the equivalent full load hours of payback period after the control, during which usage is increased. = 0.72 hours (Reference 1)
CF	Coincidence Factor = Percentage of the kW savings that occur during the annual hour of system peak. = 35.27% (Reference 1)
Measure Life	= Length of time the switch will be operational = 15 years from reference 1
TDLF	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14% based on the Enhanced DSM filling, SRD-2
NTG	Net-to-Gross factor for Saver's switches will be 100% as customers would not have the ability to install a switch without the program.

Provided by Customer:

Number of units with switch installed.

Verified during M&V:

Yes

Assumptions:

Customer kW value is the connected amps volt kW, and probably will not occur on even the hottest day due to AC over sizing. Oversizing is taken into account in the Coincidence Factor

Changes from 2008

Customer incentive revised from 2008

1. 2007 Xcel Energy Colorado Residential Saver's Switch Impact Evaluation.

CO Deemed Saver's Switch.xls

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG ...Dec.R08-1243. Page 121 of 143.

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: School Education Kits

A package of energy efficiency and water conservation classroom activities combined with projects for home that is targeted at sixth grade students in the Colorado service territory. The program is known as LivingWise and each participant receives a "LivingWise Activity Kit" containing a high-efficiency showerhead, a kitchen sink serator, and two compact fluorescent bulbs, in addition to other educational items such as a thermometer, filter alarm, leak detection tablet, night light and tape measure.

Algorithms:

ragontimo:	
CFL Electric Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	≈ Number of Bulbs x (kW EE - kW Base) x Hrs
CFL Electric Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= Number_of_Bulbs x (kW_EE - kW_Base)
Showerhead Gas Savings (Gross Dth)	= (GPY_Saved x Delta_T x 8.33) / HGE x SPD
Aerator Gas Savings (Gross Dth)	= (GPY_Saved x Delta_T x 8.33) / HGE
Net Oth	= Gross Dth x NTG
Electrical Energy Savings (Gross Generator kWh)	≈ Customer kWh / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator kW)	= Customer kW x CF / (1-TDLF)
Electrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kWh)	= Gross Generator kWh x NTG
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator kW)	= Gross Generator kW x NTG

Variables:

Number of Bulbs	l= Number of bulbs provided in each kit = 2.
Hrs	= Annual operational hours per year of the fixture. We will use 1210 hours which represents the average operating hours for the first 5 CFLs installed in a house. (Reference 1)
CF	 Coincidence Factor, the probability that peak demand of the lights will coincide with peak utility system demand. 0.08 will be used for prescriptive rebates (Reference 1)
kW_EE	= Fixture wattage (kW per fixture) for the two CFLs provided in the kit. We will use 0.019 kW which is the average for the two bulbs per kit.
kW_Base	= Fixture wattage (kW per fixture) for the two incandescent bulbs that the CFLS will replace. We will use 0.06526 kw which is the average of the two bulbs per kit.
GPY_Saved	= Gallons per year of hot water saved with high-efficiency showerhead (for one shower per day) or aerator assuming 65% of water flow is hot water. Showerhead = 1660 gallons per year per shower, Aerator = 657 gallons.
Delta_T	 Change in temperature of water from incoming water temperature to water heater temperature setting. Delta_T is 74 degrees F. (Reference 4)
HGE	= Heat generation efficiency based on steady-state water heater efficiency. Used value of 0.76. (Reference 2)
SPD	= Number of showers per day = 1.32 based on 2.64 people per home and 2 bathrooms. (Reference 4)
Incremental Costs	Costs per Table 2; Measure Cost
TDLF	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filling SRD-2
Net-to-Gross Factor	= We will use 70% for the gas measures in the school education kits per Dave Munk of RAP, and we will use 93% for the CFL measure.
Measure Life	Measure lives are shown in Table 1.
O&M savings	Operation and Maintenance savings are assumed to be zero for the school education kits.

CO Deemed School Education Kit.xis

Deemed Savings

1

Provided by Customer: Kit was received Measures have been installed Verified during M&V:

Yes Yes

Assumptions:

Showerheads:

- -2.5 gpm replaced with 2.0 gpm, resulting in 1,660 gallons of annual water savings per shower, (reference 2,2)
 -1.32 showers per day at 6.9 minutes per shower (reference 2,3)

Faucet aerators:

- 2.2 gpm replaced with 1.8 gpm in bathroom, resulting in 657 gallons of annual water savings. (reference 2.3)
 17 gal/day used by 3 primary sinks (33% per sink) (reference 4)

Table 1. Measure Life

Measure	Measure Life	Source
LW Kit-Showar heads	6	Reference 5
LW Kit-Faucot Aerators		Reference 5
LW Kit-CFLs	6.61	8000 hour CFL lamp divided by average hr/yr (1210 hr/yr)

Table 2 Measure Cost	Measure Cost	Source:
LW Kit-Shower heads		Vendor quote per kit allocated to
LW Kit-Faucet Aerators	\$12	number of items providing savings.
LW Kit-CFLs	\$23]

Changes From 2008:

This is a new program for 2009

References

- 1. Composite Wattages, Operating Hours and Coincidence from CFL METERING STUDY FINAL REPORT, Prepared for Pacific Gas & Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, 2005
- 2. Department of Energy Domestic Hot Water Appliance Calculator
- Uppartment of Energy Domestic Hot Water Appliance Calculator
 Japanese study: "The effects of variation in body temperature on the preferred water temperature and flow rate during showering"
 Authors: Tadakatsu Ohnaka, Yutaka Tochihara, Yumiko Watanabe. Affiliations: a) Department of Physiological Hyglene, The Institute of Public Health, Minato-ku. Tokyo, Japan; b) Faculty of Home Economics, Jissen Women's University, Hino, Tokyo, Japan.

 Handbook of Water Use and Conservation, Denver Water Conservation
- 5. California Measurement Advisory Committee (CALMAC) Protocols, Appendix F (www.calmac.org/events/APX_F.pdf).

SEGMENT EFFICIENCY TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Segment Efficiency

This is a custom program that involves an energy and financial analysis of existing facilities. Customer may apply for rebate under the Segment Efficiency Program. Each project will be analyzed individually by Xcel Energy. Technical variables required for the analysis will be obtained from the customer or vendor. Analysis will be based on standard engineering methods. Prescriptive rebates may be given for measures identified during the analysis that qualify under prescriptive end use programs.

Calculations:

Electrical and gas energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated based on the project-specific details. Each project will undergo an engineering review in accordance with standard engineering practices. Where prescriptive elements exist, the calculations will be in accordance with the calculation methodologies detailed in the prescriptive programs.

Changes from 2008

This is a new program for 2009.

Assumptions

A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used for custom projects. This is calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing - SRD-2

We will conservatively use NTG for each end use technology as stated in their respective technical assumptions. Actual NTG should be closer to 100% because these customers have historically not participated in the programs.

CO Deemed Segment Efficiency.xls

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG Dec.R08_1243 Page 124 of 143

TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Self-Direct

The Self-Direct Program will provide large commercial and industrial customers in Colorado to self-fund electric energy conservation projects at their facilities. Customers who engineer, implement, and commission qualifying projects will receive rebates to offset their costs to implement efficient projects.

Calculations:

Electrical energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated based on the actual savings from a project.

A nel-to-gross factor of 90.6% will be used for Self-Direct projects. The NTG assumption (90.6%) was developed based on the weighted average of the net-to-gross factors determined for individual electric conservation technologies by Energy Efficient Best Practices California. The weighting for technologies was based on the Custom Efficiency projects completed by large Colorado customers from 2006 to 2008.

A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used for Electrical projects. This was calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM filling-SRO-2

Measure life and operation and maintenance savings will be calculated for each project.

Changes from 2008
The Self-Direct Program is new for 2009.

CO Deemed Self-Direct.ids

	% of saving	NTG Factor	weight	eci
Cooling	0.0637669	44	0.937	6%
EMS	0.0260636	31	0.87	2%
Lighting	0.3897234	22	0.96	37%
Custom	0.2646434	12	0.86	23%
Compressed Air	0.2558025	91	0.867	22%
		Total NTG		90.6%

NTG Factor based on the Energy Efficiency Best Practices CA website % of Savings based on large CO completed Custom Efficiency projects

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Low Income Single Family Weatherization Rebates

Residential low-income natural gas and electric customers can energy efficiency measures performed at no cost to them.

Algorithms:		and the DEM/Date using a baseline home model	
Celling insulation from R-11 to R-38 natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	calibrated to home size and characteristics fo	calculated in REM/Rate using a baseline home model r the Denver area (see below for characteristics.)	
Wall insulation from R-3 to R-11 natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the wall insulation were calibrated to home size and characteristics for	alculated in REM/Rate using a baseline home model r the Denver area (see below for characteristics.)	
New HE Furnace AFUE 92% natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	nergy savings for the gas furnace were calculated in REM/Rate using a baseline home model calibrated to home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 11.1 Dth.		
Refrigerator replacement electric energy savings (Customer I:Wh) and demand savings (Customer KW)	Energy savings for the refrigerator were base http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=refr	d on the Energy Star Refrigerator Savings Calculator: ig.pr_refrigerators. Savings is 584 kWh and 0.08 kW.	
16 CFLs electric energy savings (Customer kWh) and electric demand savings (Customer kW)	on data and calculations derived from the 20	s of operation for compact fluorescent lamps are based D2 US Lighting Market Characterization performed for avings are 784 kWh and demand savings are 0.77 kW.	
Net Dth	= Gross Oth x NTG		
Flectrical Energy Sayings (Gross Generator	= Customer kWh / (1-TDLF)	37	
Flectrical Demand Savings (Gross Generator	= Customer kW x CF //(1-TDLF)		
Flectrical Energy Savings (Net Generator kV	/ = Gross-Generator kWh x-NTG	<u> </u>	
Electrical Demand Savings (Net Generator k	V = Gross Generator kW x NTG		

Variables:

NTG	Net-to-Gross Factor = We will use 96% based on reference 5.
CPM applied	Operation and Maintenance savings = We will assume no O&M savings.
	Transmission Distribution Loss Factor = 7.14%, the percentage loss of electricity as it flows from the
TDLF	power plant to the customer, calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM Filing SRD-2

Type of measure:	Measure life:	Incremental cost:	Colncidence Factor:
Ceiling Insulation	20 years (Reference 1)	\$715 (Reference 6)	NA
Wall insulation	20 years (Reference 1)	\$670 (Reference 6)	NA
HE furnace AFUE 92%	18 years (Reference 12)	\$623 (Reference 13)	NA
			100% (by definition
Refrigerator replacement	7.3 years (Reference 14)	\$631 (Reference 3)	per calc)
CFIS	7:9 years (Reference 9)	\$60 (Reference 10)	8% (Reference 9)

Provided by Customer: Type of measures implemented

Verified during M&V: Yes

Changes From 2008: This is a new program for 2009

2

Assumptions: ではないます。 これではない、大変なないなどである。 Building Characteristics for Baseline Home Used for Modeling: Single Family One story (Reference 3) 2 bedroom 1 bathroom (Reference 3) 961 square feet (Reference 3) Crawispace foundation (Reference 3) HVAC: heating - gas furnace 78 AFUE (Reference 3) no cooling - 25% have evaporative coolers (Reference 3) air handler is in the crawlspace and supply ducts and return ducts are assumed to be in majority interior space Windows: SHGC = 0.75 U-factor = 1.27 Insulation Levels: Existing Ceiling Insulation: R-11 (Reference 4) Existing Wall Insulation: R-3 (Reference 4) Crawlspace Assumptions Assumed crawispace walls do not have insulation The air handler is located in the crawlspace ACH = 0.8 and duct leakage is 25% Appliances (Reference 2) 85% have dishwashers 74% electric ranges 88% and 89% have clothes washer and dryer (electric) 85% water heating is gas - model used a 40 gallon storage tank 68% of homes have ceiling fans

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG Dec.R08_1243

References:

- 1. California Measurement Advisory Committee (CALMAC) Protocols, Appendix F (www.calmac.org/events/APX_F.pdf).
- 2. 2006 Residential Energy Use Colorado Service Area Xcel: Bruce Neilson
- 3. Colorado Governor's Energy Office (GEO)
- 4. Xcel Energy CO DSM Polential 2006 prepared by Kema
 5. National Energy Efficiency Best Practices Study Residential Single-Family Comprehensive Weatherization Best Practices Report from December
- 6. RS Means Repair and Remodeling 2007 at a cost of \$0.028 per square foot per increase in R-value.
- 7. National Energy Audit Tool (NEAT) and Frontier estimates.
- 8. EEBP web site Tacoma Residential Weatherization program.
- 9. US Lighting Market Characterization Study performed for the Department of Energy in 2002
- 10. MEEA/ES Change A Light campaign

info

- 11. Xce! Energy estimate
- 12. Draft Technical Support Document: Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Furnaces and Boilers, Efficiency Standards for Consumer Prepared for US DOE, September 2006
- 13. California Energy Commission's Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER)
- 14. www.energystar.gov
- 15. DOE 2007
- 16. Appliance Magazine, September 2007

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG

Dec. P08-1243 Page 130 of 143

TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Small Business Lighting

The Small Business Lighting Program provides free lighting efficiency audits to small and mid sized businesses. Customers who implement identified lighting upgrades may receive rebates through the Lighting Efficiency or Custom Efficiency programs.

Calculations

Electrical energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated based on the methodologies and assumptions presented in the Lighting Efficiency and Custom Efficiency programs.

A net-to-gross factor of 100% will be used for small business lighting projects.

A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used for small business lighting projects. This was calculated using factors from Enhanced DSM filing-SRD-2

Changes from 2008
The Small Business Lighting Program is new for 2009.

Appendix A, Docket No. 08A-366EG
— Dec.R08-1243_Page 131 of 143

STANDARD OFFER SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Standard Offer

Standard Offer utilizes an ESCO, pre-qualified by the Governor's Energy Office, or a Customer-chosen vendor to perform a pre-formatted investment grade audit from which comes a bundled set of measures that the customer, by agreement, must implement. The customer may apply for a rebate under the Standard Offer Program or the implementation funding can come from the ESCO. Analysis will be based on standard engineering methodologies. Prescriptive rebates will not be offered in this program.

Calculations:

Electric and Gas energy savings and electrical demand savings will be calculated by an ESCO or a Customer-chosen vendor based on facility-specific details. Each project will undergo an engineering review by Xcel Energy in accordance with standard engineering practices. M&V plans will be required for all Standard Offer projects and must last a minimum of three years.

A net-to-gross factor of 81.3% will be used for electric projects in 2009. A net-to-gross factor of 87.6% will be used for electric projects in 2010. A net-to-gross factor of 93% will be used for gas projects in both years.

A transmission distribution loss factor of 6.39% will be used for Standard Offer projects. Reference the Enhanced DSM filling, SRD-2; no significant system changes have been noted since then.

Measure life and operation and maintenance savings for Standard Offer projects will be calculated for each project as part of the Technical Energy Audit

Changes from 2008

1. Standard Offer program is being offered for the first time.

CO Deemed Standard Offer.xls

Deemed Savings

1

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Program: Water Heating Rebates

Residential natural gas customers receive a cash rebate for purchasing high-efficiency natural gas water heating equipment.

Algorithms:	Energy savings for the gas water heater are based on federal minimum efficiency requirements for a baseline water heater.
Standard tank water heater 0.62 EF Natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the gas water heater are based on letteral millional eliteratory feedback and the savings of 62%, which is the current Energy Star Standard. All savings were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 1.08 Dth/yr
Standard tank water heater 0.65 EF Natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the gas water heater are based on federal minimum efficiency requirements for a baseline water heater. The replacement model has an EF rating of 65%. All savings were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 2.06 Dth/yr
Standard tank water heater 0.67 EF Natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the gas water heater are based on federal minimum efficiency requirements for a baseline water heater. The replacement model has an EF rating of 67%. All savings were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 2.66 Dth/yr
Tankless water heater 0.82 EF Natural gas savings (Gross Dth)	Energy savings for the gas water heater are based on federal minimum efficiency requirements for a baseline water heater. The replacement model has an EF rating of 82%, which is the current Energy Star Standard. All savings were calculated in EnergyGauge using a baseline home model calibrated to typical home size and characteristics for the Denver area (see below for characteristics.) Savings is 5.91 Dtb/yr.
Net Dth	= Gross Dth x NTG*

Variables:	
NTG	Net-to-Gross Factor = We will use 90% based on letter from Davis Energy Group to DOE dated 10/23/07.
Measure life	= 15 years for standard tank water heater and 20 years for tankless water heater. (Reference 5)
141000010 410	

Unit Type	Incremental Cost:	
Standard tank water heater 0.62 EF	\$55.00	(Reference 1)
Standard tank water heater 0.65 EF	\$175.00	(Reference 1)
Standard tank water heater 0.67 EF	\$230.00	(Reference 1)
Standard took water harder 0.82 EF	\$750.00	(Reference 1)

Provided by Customer: Type of unl: installed

Verified during M&V:

Assumptions:

The baseline water heater is 40 gallon capacity with an Efficiency Factor (EF) of 59%.
The average baseline product cost is based on the cost from RS MEANS Repair and Remodeling Cost Data 2007

Deemed Savings

CO Deemed Water Heating Rebate.xls

Page 1

Changes From 2008:

This is a new program for 2009

Building Characteristics for Prototype Home Used for Modeling: Single Family Two story (Reference 3) 3 bedroom 2 bathroom (Reference 3) 2000 square feet (Reference 3) Basement foundation (Reference 3) HVAC: heating - gas furnace 78 AFUE (55.9 kBtu unit required) - 85% of homes have gas heating, and 78% of which are forced air furnaces (Reference 2) cooling - 59% have Central Air Conditioning model required a 2.5 ton unit to meet the cooling load (Reference 2) air handler is in the basement and supply ducts and return ducts are assumed to be in majority interior space Windows 61% of homes have double pane windows (Reference 2) double pane low-E are standard (Reference 4) Model assumes 15% of wall area glazing applied a u-factor of 0.53 (average between clear glass double pane and low-E) Insulation Levels: Existing Ceiling Insulation: R-19 (Reference 4) Existing Wall Insulation: R-11 (Reference 4) Basement Assumptions Assumed basement walls to have R-11 insulation Basement is considered finished space but not conditioned The air handler is located in the basement Some homes will have smaller sections of the basement conditioned - maybe a bonus room etc, however this cannot be easily modeled in EnergyGauge Appliances (Reference 2) 85% have dishwashers 74% electric ranges 88% and 89% have clothes washer and dryer (electric) 85% water heating is gas - model used a 40 gallon storage tank 68% of homes have ceiling fans Average Customer Energy Consumption: (Reference 2) kWh annually: 9,000 roughly for a 2,000 square foot home Therms annually: 835 References: 1. California Energy Commission's Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER) http://www.energy.ca.gov/deer

- (Does not include labor of equipment rental fees as this measure is considered a replace on burnout)
- 2. 2006 Residential Energy Use Colorado Service Area Xcel: Bruce Neilson
- 3. American Housing Survey for Denver US Census Bureau
- 4. Xcel Eriergy CO DSM Potential 2006 prepared by Kema
- 5. California Measurement Advisory Committee (CALMAC) Protocols, Appendix F.

Page 2

APPENDIX C

COLO. PUC No. 6 Gas

COLO. PU	IÇ No. 6 Gas	Settlement.	Appendix C
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO		Chart No.	42
P.O. Box 840 Denver, CO 80201-0840		Sheet No Cancels Sheet No	
NATURAL GAS RATES DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT COST ADJU	USTMENT		
APPLICABILITY All rate schedules for natural gas service Side Management Cost Adjustment ("DSMCA") design and indirect costs of Demand-Side Management Prog accordance with Commission-approved Demand-Side M 4750 through 4760 of the Commission's Rules Regu Pipeline Operators, 4 Code of Colorado Regulations The DSMCA shall apply to all base rates for all and are as set forth on Sheet No. 42D.	ed to rec grams ("DS anagement ulating Ga s 723-4 ("G	over the M Program Plans and s Utiliti Gas DSM Ru	direct ns") in l Rules es and ules").
Effective January 1, 2009, the Company shall DSMCA pursuant to the Commission's final order Plan and shall include Current Period DSM Cost January 1, 2009, plus all DSM costs incurred by Co 2009 in accordance with its prior DSMCA. The Company will file an advice letter to reto be effective July 1 through December 31 of the 1 to be effective January 1 through June 30 of the 1 filing will revise DSMCA for Current Period DSM following year and the April 1 filing will revise Demand-Side Management Cost Adjustment ("PDSMCA"), to Deferred amount from the preceding year, including the Company will include in its annual DSMC information and support documentation as is required and as specifically set forth in Gas DSM Rules.	on Compants incurred company prices the less the less for the DSM applicable of the DSM	y's 2009- d on and or to Jane DSMCA on a r and on a r. The a recasted : CA for the mus and to le DSM In all pe	after after ary 1, April 1 October for the Prior the DSM terest.
DEFINITIONS			
DSM Bonus The amount of bonus approved by the Commission in Report as set forth in Gas DSM Rule 4760.	n the Comp	any's ann	ual DSM
Current Period Demand-Side Management Costs The CDSC are projected calendar year expenditur Portfolio after January 1, 2009, including all d The CDSC shall comprise costs of DSM programs customers and costs of DSM programs directed at and shall be expenses and recovered over twelve of the year in which the costs are expected to be	res for the direct and the directed to the dir	indirect at resi ential cu inning Ja	.costs dential stomers
(Continued on Sheet No. 42			
ADVICE LETTER	ISSUE		
DECISION NUMBER MANAGING DIRECTOR, NUMBER Government & Regulatory Affairs	DATE _ EFFECTIVE DATE	January	1, 2009

Settlement Appendix C

COLO. PUC No. 6 Gas

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Sheet No. 42A
P.O. Box 840 Denver, CO 80201-0840	Cancels Sheet No.
NATURAL GAS RATES DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT COST ADJUSTM	ENT
DEFINITIONS - Cont'd	
DSM Interest The amount of net interest accrued on the average model of DSM subaccounts of Account No. 186, whether positive determined by multiplying the monthly balance by an interest company's Commission-authorized after tax weight capital. DSM Interest shall be calculated separate balances associated with the Residential DSMCA and DSMCA.	ive or negative, as nterest rate equal to ted average cost of ely for the deferred
DSM Portfolio The energy efficiency programs as approved by th Company's DSM plan filings as required under the Gas Portfolio shall comprise DSM programs directed at residential customers.	DSM Rules. The DSM
RESIDENTIAL DSMCA The DSMCA for residential service ("RDSMCA") sadjustment applicable to all base rates for custome under rate Schedule RG and shall be calculated as followed to the control of the con	ers receiving service
RDSMCA = RDSM Cost + RDSM Deferred + RDSM Bo R CCount * RS&F + R Sales * R Rat	
Where: 1) RDSM Cost is the CDSC of residential DSM Progradendar year revised annually by a October 1 2) RDSM Deferred is the positive or negative described projected cost of residential DSM Programs from residential customers during the perincluding DSM Interest, revised annually by a 3) RDSM Bonus is the residential allocated port Bonus from the previous calendar year revised filing	filing ifference between the and amounts collected rior calendar year, April 1 filing ion of the total DSM
4) R CCount is the Company's forecasted resident: the twelve calendar months following the e RDSMCA 5) RS&F is the Service and Facility Charges appl: service in effect on the effective date of the	ffective date of the cable for residential
(Continued on Sheet No. 42B)	
	J SUE TE
DECISION MANAGING DIRECTOR, EF	FECTIVE January 1, 2009

Settlement Appendix C

COLO. PUC No. 6 Gas

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	42B
P.O. Box 840 Denver, CO 80201-0840	Sheet No. 42B Cancels Sheet No
NATURAL GAS RATES	
DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT COST A	ADJUSTMENT
6) R Sales is the Company's forecasted res and IG separately) in therms for t following the effective date of the RDS7) R Rate is the Usage Charge per therm service in effect on the effective date	the twelve calendar months MCA applicable for residential
NONRESIDENTIAL DSMCA The DSMCA for nonresidential service ("NDS adjustment applicable to all base rates for under rate Schedules CG and IG and shall be cal-	customers receiving service
NDSMCA = NDSM Cost + NDSM Deferred + N N CCount * NS&F + N Sales *	
Where: 1) NDSM Cost is the CDSC of nonresident following calendar year revised annual 2) NDSM Deferred is the positive or negat projected cost of nonresidential DSM Presidential Customers during including DSM Interest, revised annual 3) NDSM Bonus is the nonresidential allocated Bonus from the previous calendar year residential in the Company's forecasted in for the twelve calendar months following NDSMCA 5) NS&F is the Service and Facility nonresidential service (Schedules CG at on the effective date of the NDSMCA 6) N Sales is the Company's forecasted non CG and IG separately) in therms for following the effective date of the NDSMCA 7) N Rate is the Usage Charge per therm a service (Schedules CG and IG separately date of the NDSMCA	y by a October 1 filing ative difference between the cograms and amounts collected the prior calendar year, y by a April 1 filing ated portion of the total DSM cevised annually by a April 1 conresidential customer count ng the effective date of the y Charges applicable for and IG separately) in effect cresidential usage (Schedules the twelve calendar months comes
(Continued on Sheet No.	42C)
ADVICE LETTER	ISSUE
NUMBER	DATE EFFECTIVE January 1, 2009
DECISION MANAGING DIRECTOR, NUMBER Government & Regulatory Affairs	_

COLO, PUC No. 6 Gas.

	COLO, PUC No. 6 Gas	Settlement	Appendix C
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO		Sheet No	42C
P.O. Box 840 Denver, CO 80201-0840		Cancels Sheet No. —	
NATURAL GAS	RATES		
DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMEN	T COST ADJUSTMENT		1
PRIOR DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT COST ADJUST		T1	2000
The PDSMCA will recover the costs in associated with the Company's DSM prograzion, including those costs that historiamortized over a five-year period as we and recovered over 12 months. The PDS through the DSMCA until such time as amortized costs and expenditures have any deferred amounts remain after all such that be allocated in the RDSM Deferred PDSMCA shall be a percentage adjustment adjustments for the RDSMCA and the NDSM applied to all base rates for Gas Transp	ams in place on or cally have been call as those costs of the costs of	prior to M pitalized a that are ex to be rec nt (100%) n the even and expend tive or ne d balances to the percentage	day 22, and are spensed covered of the t that ditures egative. The centage rider
be as follows:	ortacion bervice.	1110 1251101	
DDGMG3 3 +	n . a . n		
PDSMCA ≃ <u>A *</u>	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E		
	6.		
Where: 1) A is the Prior DSM Program a previous calendar year as amorti 2) B is the Commission-authorize 3) C is the grossed up income ta 4) D is the amortization expensions costs 5) E is the total gas base rate	zed over a five year d gas rate of retu x amount on A*C e of the prior DSM	period rn program d	eferred
(Continued on Sh	eet No. 42D)		
•	×		
ADVICE LETTER NUMBER	ISSUE DATE		
DECISION MANAGING D			
NUMBER Government & Re		January_	1, 2009

	COLO. PUC No. 6 Gas Settlemen		nt Appendix C	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO			42D	
P.O. Box 840 Denver, CO 80201-0840		Sheet No Cancels Sheet No	420	
	D 2 MD C			
NATURAL GAS DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMEN RATE TAI	T COST ADJUSTMENT			
Residential Service				
RG	6.04 %			
Commercial & Industrial Sales Service				
CG	3.49%			
IG	3.49%			
Gas Transportation Service				
TF	0.92%			
TI	0.92%			
·				
ž.				
ž.				
ADVICE LETTER NUMBER	ISSUE DATE			

MANAGING DIRECTOR, Government & Regulatory Affairs

DECISION NUMBER

EFFECTIVE DATE

January 1, 2009

APPENDIX D

Appendix D

COLO. PUC No. 7 Electric

Exhibit No. TJS-5

OI.	IBL IC	SEDVICE	COMPANY	OF	COL	OR	ADI	O
	JD1 II .	SERVICIE	CAUMPAINT	v		\mathbf{U}	~L .	_

107C _ Sheet No. Cancels Sheet No. . P.O. Box 840 Denver, CO 80201-0840

	ELECTRIC RATES		
	DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT COST ADJUST	rment .	
	RATE TABLE		
Rate Schedule	Applicable Charge	Monthly Rider Rate	-
Residential Ser	<u>vice</u> Energy Charge	\$0.00304/kWh	I
RD	Demand Charge	0.80/kW-Mo	I
Small Commercia C	l Service Energy Charge	0.00319/kWh	I
Commercial & In	dustrial General Service Energy Charge	0.01203/kWh	ı
SG	Demand Charge	0.96/kW-Mo	I
PG	Demand Charge	0.94/kW-Mo	I
TG	Demand Charge	0.92/kW-Mo	I
Special Contrac	t Service		
SCS-7	Production Demand Charge	0.94/kW-Mo	I
Standby Service SST	Gen Standby Capacity Reservation Fee Usage Demand Charge	0.13/kW-Mo 0.83/kW-Mo	I
PST	Gen Standby Capacity Reservation Fee Usage Demand Charge	0.12/kW-Mo 0.82/kW-Mo	I
TST	Gen Standby Capacity Reservation Fee Usage Demand Charge	0.12/kW-Mo 0.80/kW-Mo	I
Lighting Servic			
SHL, SLU	Energy Charge	0.00306/kWh	I
TSL	Energy Charge	0.00158/kWh	I
ADVICE LETTER NUMBER		SSUE August 11, 2008	
DECISION		EFFECTIVE January 1, 2009	•

DECISION NUMBER January 1, 2009 DATE Government & Regulatory Affairs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 28th day of October 2008, the original and seven (7) copies of the **STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** were served via hand delivery in Docket 08A-366EG to the following:

Doug Dean, Director Colorado Public Utilities Commission 1560 Broadway, Suite 250 Denver, CO 80202

and copies were hand delivered or served via United States Mail and served via email on all Parties on this service list.

Bill Vidal Manager of Public Works 201 W. Colfax, Dept. 608 Denver CO 80202

Russell W. Ray, PLLC 6212-A Old Franconia Road Alexandria, VA 22310 *Holly Rachel Smith, Esq. Wal-Mark & Sam's West holly@raysmithlaw.com

Anne K. Botterud Office of Attorney General 1525 Sherman St., 5th Floor Denver, CO 80203 Anne.botterud@state.co.us

Christopher M. Irby
Office of Attorney General
Chris.irby@state.co.us

P.B. Schechter
Office of Consumer Counsel
Pb.Schechter@dora.state.co.us

Stephen W. Southwick
Office of Attorney General
Stephen.southwick@state.co.us
Dale.hutchins@state.co.us
Chere.Mitchell@dora.state.co.us

Mariya Barmak Becky Bye Advisory Counsel <u>Mariya.barmak@state.co.us</u> Becky.bye@state.co.us

Jeff Ackerman Advisory Staff 1560 Broadway, #250 Denver, CO 80202 Jeffrey.ackerman@dora.state.co.us

Thorvald A. Nelson Robyn A. Kashiwa Colorado Energy Consumers tnelson@hollandhart.com rakashiwa@hollandhart.com Koriley@hollandhart.com

Ron Davis
Paul Caldara
Karl Kunzie
Billy Kwan
Trial Staff
Ronald.davis@dora.state.co.us
Paul.caldara@dora.state.co.us
Karl.kunzie@dora.state.co.us
Billy.kwan@dora.state.co.us

Karl F. Kumli, III
*Mark Detsky
Paul Kriescher
Robert Bowles
Energy Efficiency Business Coalition
karlk@dietzedavis.com
mdetsky@gmail.com
paulk@lightlytreading.com
Robert@energyefficiencybusinesses
.org

Jerry W. Goad National Resources & Envir. <u>Jerry.goad@state.co.us</u>

Morey Wolfson Governor's Energy Office Morey.wolfson@state.co.us

Richard L. Fanyo Mark A. Davidson Michelle Brandt King rfanyo@duffordbrown.com mdavidson@duffordbrown.com mking@duffordbrown.com

Michael L. Kurtz Kurt J. Boehm Kroger Company mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com

Howard Geller Southwest Energy Efficiency Project hgeller@swenergy.org

Steven S. Michel
Victoria R. Mandell
Western Resource Advocates
smichel@westernresources.org
vmandell@westernresources.org

Leslie Glustrom Iglustrom@gmail.com

Nancy LaPlaca nancylaplaca@yahoo.com

John Baeverstad

John.b@vlplighting.com

Sue Ellen Harrison
Jonathan Koehn
Sarah Van Pelt
Leslie Lacy
Ann Livingston
Boulder, City and County
harrisons@bouldercolorado.gov
koehnj@bouldercolorado.gov
vanpelts@bouldercolorado.gov
llacy@bouldercounty.org
alivingston@bouldercounty.org

Ronal W. Larson
Ratepayers United of Colorado
rongretlarson@comcast.net

Jeffrey G. Pearson
Jennifer Gremmert
Energy Outreach Colorado
Jgplawqwest.net
jgremmert@energyoutreach.org

G. Harris Adams Administrative Law Judge Harris.adams@dora.state.co.us

Denotes persons eligible to receive confidential proprietary information pursuant to the Commission's rules on confidentially, 4 CCR 723-119-1102