DECISIONS

# "<u>A" PERMIT</u>

Operation covered - Includes operations "TO" a fixed terminus or termini, not "FROM" - So application here called a "B" permit.

Re: Schultz

Decision No. 11928 Appl. 4245 - 1938.

# ABANDONMENT

# of Agency Station

Adverse affect on town business considered. Railroad allowed to replace agent with custodian at Stoneham, Colorado, because of losses, over protest of town that business will be hurt.

Re:	С.	Β.	and	Q.	R.	R.	Co.	Decision No. 16799
								I. and S. Docket 239 - 1941

### of Agency Station

Denied. Where business justified agent, and public better served, abandonment of agent and substitution of custodian denied at Vona, Colorado.

Re: C. R. I. and P. R. R. Co.

Decision No. 20798	
I. and S. Docket 246	
Application No. 6218	 1943

### of Agency Station

Allowed. Not enough business to justify agent at Padroni, Colorado.

Re:	C.	Β.	and Q.	R.	R.	Co.	Decision No. 20817
			-				I. and S. Docket 247 - 1943

# of Agency Station

Allowed, over protest of labor union.

Re: The D. and R. G. W. R. R. Co. Decision No. 16408 I. and S. Docket 258 - 1941

# of Agency Station

Allowed. Small inconvenience to public outweighed by saving to railroad.

Re: U. P. R. R. Co. and Western Union Decision No. 15818 Appl. 5397 - 1941

# of Agency Station

Denied. Agency Station at Branson, Colorado, deemed important enough, and savings so small, that application to substitute custodian is denied.

Re: C. and S. Ry. Co. Decision No. 16892 I. and S. Docket 241 - 1941

of Agency Station

Substitution of custodian allowed in Dailey, Colorado.

Re:	С. В	. and	Q.	R. R	. Co.	Decision No. 16890
			-			I. and S. 240 - 1941

# of Agency Station

Railroad allowed to substitute custodian for agent to effect economy in bankrupt proceedings; discretion not abused; even though town somewhat affected.

Re:	С.	R.	I.	and P.	Ry.	Co.	Decision No.	11020
					-		Appl. 4219 - 1	1937

# of Certificate

By abandoning service. Where carrier abandoned service, thus neglecting its duties under certificate, Commission holds certificate itself has been abandoned, and transfer denied.

Re:	Champa 3	Auto Livery	Decision No. 11713
			Case 4687 - 1938

#### of Certificate

Ceasing service under Rule 11 does not constitute abandonment.

Re: Buckingham

Decision No. 21607 Appls. 844-AAAA, 1011-AAA, 1436-ABAA, 1836-AA, 1913-AA - 1943

# of Certificate

Ground cancellation. Dissolution of corporation and cessation of business for 4 years held an abandonment, and certificate cancelled - transfer denied.

Re:	Swift Moving Co.	Decision 10347
	-	Appl. 1295-A - 1937

# of Certificate

Intent to abandon must be shown. Where no intent to abandon, and attempts made to render service, certificate won't be cancelled.

Re:	Pikes Peak Auto Li	ivery I	Decision No	. 12477
		Ű	Case 4698 -	1938

# of Certificate

No operation for year. Prima facie abandonment even though road reports filed showing no haul.

Re:	Byron	Bunker	Decision N	0.	18112
	-		App1. 1889	-	1942

# of Certificate

(of more than one car under certificate) Commission, on transfer application, restricts authority to one car, where that is all that has been used for years.

Re:	С.	W.	Davis	Decision No.	17479
				Case 4867 - 1	941

# of Certificate

Partial, by non use of authorized vehicles. See "Non Use of Vehicles."

Re: Rocky Mountain Motor; Fallico Decision No. 15200 Appl. 552 Case 4744 - 1940

# of Certificate

By non use. Where certificate not used for years as to certain territory, it has been abandoned and does not exist to be transferred.

Re:	Herbel	Decision No. 26118
		Appl. 7497 - 1946

### of Certificate

5-day non user. Facts held not to show abandonment.

Re:	Pollock	Decision No. 10055
		Appl. 1875-A, - 1937

# of Certificate

By non user. Where no intent to abandon, mere non use is insufficient. Re: C. W. Davis Decision No. 18577 Case No. 4867 - 1942

# of Sightseeing Authority

Where operator does not use all authorized equipment to take care of business, there is abandonment; but where no business, there is no abandonment.

Ro.	Barcroft	Decision No. 26283
NC.		Appl. 7389 - 1946

### of Sightseeing Authority

PUC will not construe abandonment of right to use all cars authorized, where not all cars in service due to bad business.

Re:	Conwill	Decision No.	26350
		App <b>l. 7456 –</b>	1946

### of Electric Service

Sale to REA. PUC allows transfer although claim made that REA not subject to PUC jurisdiction.

Re:	₩.	Power	Co.	Decision No. Appl. 5640 -	
				Mpp1. 0040	1012

# of Electric Service

Though no franchise, utility cannot abandon service in a newly incorporated town without PUC approval.

Re:	Highland Utilities	Co.	Decision No. 5086
	5		Case 1168 - 1933

# of Electric Service

Abandonment of service to single customer not authorized, where additional customers could be secured, and thus line not operated at a loss.

Re:	Highland	Utilities	Co.	Decision N	No.	10382
	•			App1. 3959	9	

of Gas Service

Where no protest from 16 town customers, service in Sugar City allowed to be discontinued.

Re:	Citizens	Utilities	Decis	ion No.	16871
			Appl.	1926 -	1941

# of Intrastate Line

Where ICC has authorized abandonment of intrastate service and intrastate branch because operating loss burdens interstate commerce, PUC has no jurisdiction to compel continuance of service.

Re:	С.	and S	;.	Ry.	Co.	Decision No. 10871
				•		Case 2037 - 1937

# of Passenger Service

See Passenger Service, Discontinuance of

Re:	Rio Grande	Decision No.	16108
		Appl. 5461 -	1940

# of Passenger Service

Rail - loss of income. Passenger service between Pueblo and Salida allowed to be abandoned, on condition that mail and baggage cars be added to another train.

Re:	The D &	RG	WR	R	Co.	Decision No.	•	18004
						Appl. 5701 -	-	1941

# of Regular Freight Train Service

Where ICC refused abandonment, suggesting "on call" service instead of weekly, PUC allows substitution.

Re:	U P R R Co.	Decision No. 2	21031
		I & S Docket 2	250
		Appl. 6330 - 1	943

# of Passenger Service and Station

Tossave freight service, losing passenger service between Durango and Dolores is discontinued and Mancos Station closed.

Re:	Rio Grande	Southern	Decisi	ion No.	17336
			Appl.	5605 -	1941

# of Passenger Service - Proposed Substituted Service

Trucks for trains allowed where no competition, but denied otherwise.

Re:	Rio Grande	Southern	Decision No.	15876
			App1. 3958 -	1940

# of Permit

By lease of equipment. See "Lease of Equipment."

Re: Wingo

Decision No. 11907 Case 4697 - 1938

# of Permit

Not shown. Authority granted, never used, not abandoned where PUC never required institution of service.

Re:	Everitt	Decision No. 134	804
		App1. 4435 - 193	9

# of Permit

Partial, as to products. Effect of non user. Where nothing hauled for years except oil, etc., other authority was cancelled.

Re:	С.	W.	Kelly	Decision No.	15849
				Appl. 5324 -	1940

# of Permit

Must be clear evidence. Where owner intended not to abandon, and the evidence is not clear, no abandonment will be held.

Re:	Everitt	Decision No. 13431
		Case 4716 - 1939

# of Permit

By non user. No inference as to intent to abandon permit. Where permit to be transferred, no restriction made as to authority because not used, where no evidence of intent to abandon.

Re:	Loyal	Morgan	Decision	No.	24546
		-	Appl. 67	23 -	1945

#### of Permit

By non user. Non use for six months not forfeiture or abandonment where no intent to abandon. Rule 9 does not require such a holding.

Re:	Wheeler	Decision No. 12047
		Case 4681 - 1938

### of Permit

Acts may show intent to abandon permit. Abandonment must be shown by intent, but proof of intention is to be found in what carrier did, not what he said he did. Permit held abandoned.

Re: C. W. Kelley Decision No. 25522 Case 4927 - 1946

# of Pullmans

Justified by record. Where only one passenger per day uses Pullman to Leadville and Glenwood Springs, sleeping car service on two trains is authorized to be abandoned.

Re:	The D & R G W R R Co.	Decision No. 13307
		Case 4705 - 1939

### of Railroad Station

Allowed on ground of lack of revenue.

Re: A T & S F Ry. Co.

Decision No. 9097 Appl. 3681 - 1936

### of Service Line (E)

Loss in operations. Where line serves one customer, and utility cannot earn enough to repay investment, it is allowed to abandon, where, after hearing, new customers did not materialize.

Re: Highland Utilities Decision No. 12874 Appl. 3959 - 1939

### of Territory (M)

No abandonment even where no service for long period, if carrier willing and able to serve, but just does not get the business.

Re: Franktown Truck Decision No. 11311 Case 4679 - 1938

#### of Territory

By non use of permit. Road reports showing non use for long period of time are sufficient for Commission to restrict permit.

Re: Charles W. Wilson Decision No. 18810 Case 4868 - 1942

# of Trains

Supported by record. Where few passengers ride trains, loss may be cut by allowing abandonment of passenger trains, where little inconvenience to public.

Re: Missouri Pacific Decision No. 13625 I. and S. Docket 229 - 1939

# of Water Service

Municipality cannot abandon water users outside city limits merely because service is unprofitable.

Re:	City and County of Denver	Decision No. 9832
	•	Case 1994 - 1937

of Water Utility

Re: Marsh

Where only six customers, and they will not pay rate sufficient to meet operating costs, certificate cancelled.

Decision No. 13834 Appl. 5027 - 1939

# ACCOUNTS

Electrical and Gas Utilities

See "Uniform Accounts"

Decision No. 12481 Case 4693 - 1938

#### **ADDITIONAL CUSTOMERS**

Duty of Utility. See "New Customers"

Re: Dr. Shea

Decision No. 11508 Case 4672 - 1938

#### ADEQUATE SERVICE

#### Common Carrier

Where price too high. Where common carrier cannot haul ore except at price which will close mines (no rate being prescribed by PUC), owner of mill and trucks given private permit so he can offer a combination rate low enough to keep mines open. Common carrier thus not adequate. Public better served by keeping mines rather than common carrier.

Re: Huntington

Re: Mildred Watson

Decision No. 24485 Appl. 4813 - 1945

# Common Carrier

Effect of War. See "War, Effect of"

Decision No. 24115 Appl. 4186 - 1945

#### War Conditions

People must suffer some inconvenience during war, and new call and demand service not authorized against protest of common carriers.

Re: Hardrick

Decision No. 22446 Appl. 6535 - 1944

# Grounds for Denying Additional Certificate

Where service made adequate to Fort Logan, new authority denied.

Re:	Maurice H	111	Decis	ion No.	21	068
			Appl.	5875-B	-	1943

# ADEQUATE SERVICE (Continued)

### Grounds for Denying Additional Certificate

Where only reason to change private into com-on is to help carrier, and all shippers are adequately served, application denied.

Re: Siegel Decision No. 13797 Appl. 5009 - 1939

#### ADVERTISING

#### Private Carrier

Private carrier cannot advertise himself or hold out that he will indiscrimately serve the public.

Re: Worley

Decision No. 25497 Case 4913 - 1946

Violations

See "Violations of Rules"

AGENCY STATION

Abandonment

Matter is in company's discretion.

Re: U.P.R.R. Co.

Decision 19029 I. & S. Docket 244 Appl. 5914 - 1942

#### AGENCY-TELEGRAPH STATION

#### Abandonment

Discontinuance allowed to effect economy in bankrupt railroad; discretion of management not abused, even though town may be hurt somewhat.

Re: C. R. I. and P. Ry. Co. Decision No. 11020 Appl. 4219 - 1937

### AIRPLANES

As Common Carriers

PUC Jurisdiction. Call and demand certificate granted over protest that PUC had no jurisdiction as to airplanes.

Re: Colorado Recreational Airways Decision No. 24538 Appl. 6716 - 1945

### AIR TRANSPORTATION

### Public Convenience - Relation to National Picture

PUC will consider needs of a nationally adequate and sound air transport system when giving intrastate rights.

Re:	Marvin Jackson	Decis	ion No.	22508
		App1.	6424 -	1944

# PUC Jurisdiction

PUC has jurisdiction over intrastate air operations and grants certificate, using CAA safety rules.

Re:	L.	Ε.	Smith	Decis	ion No.	22444
				App1.	6546 -	1944

# AMENDING APPLICATION

### Certificate to Permit

Procedure before PUC is not technical and applicant will be given "B" permit, although he asked for certificate.

Re:	Larsen	Т	&	S	Decisi	ion No.	24978
					App1.	6981 -	1945

# AMENDING ORDERS

### After long period

Where many years elapsed since order entered, and carriers have been operating in certain manner, PUC will not change order, even if incorrect.

Re:	Barcroft	Decis	ion	No.	26283
		Appl.	738	39 -	1946

# ANNUAL REPORTS

### Failure to file as ground for cancellation of Certificate

Carrier failed to file Annual Reports, PUC took no action, so certificate not cancelled on that ground.

Re: Champa 3 Auto Livery

Decis	sion	No.	11713
Case	4687	′	1938

# APPEAL FROM RATE CASE

On Remand of PUC

PUC amends order.

Re: Colorado Utilities Decision No. 19741

Case 4664 - 1942

APPEAL BOND

Approved where sufficient

Re: McKay

Decision No. 9856 Cases 1622, 1626 - 1936

# AUXILIARY SERVICE

Truck for Rail

Certificate granted to railroad to haul freight from railhead by truck, in spite of existing truck certificate. See "Substituted Service."

Re:	Rio	Grande	Motor	Way,	Inc.	Decisi	ion No.	16185
				•		Appl.	5463 -	1940

# Truck Connecting to Rail

Where railroad wants to deliver from railhead by truck, it is not taking new business from truck lines, and its connections should not be left to competing carrier. Certificate granted. Rehearing denied.

Re:	Rio Grande	Motor h	Vay,	Inc.	Decisi	ion No.	16396
			-		App1.	5463 -	1941

### "B" PERMIT

Definition - Statewide

On transfer, authority limited to oil products previously hauled.

Re:	С.	Ψ.	Kelly	Decision No.	15849
				App1. 5324 -	1940

### Denied

Adequate service. All applicants cannot be given permission to serve neighbors; would impair regular transportation agencies.

Re:	Milner	Decision No.	12320
		Appl. 4656 -	1938

Not Favored

Call and demand favored over "B" permit.

Re: Nelson Decision No. 19516 Appl. 5924 - 1942

# BEER HAULS

# Specialized Service

Permit granted to hauler who can give special service in special equipment.

Re:	Pless	Decis	ion No.	6733
		Appl.	237 <b>7-</b> P	р

# BURDEN OF PROOF

# Impairment Case

Common Carrier must show his service will be impaired.

Re:	Boller	Decision No.	13149
		App1. 4216 -	1939

# Impairment of Common Carrier

Common Carrier must show that his service will be impaired.

Re:	₩.	С.	Hopkins	Decisi	ion No.	22430
				Appl.	6640 -	1944

# Inadequate Service

Applicant for competing certificate must prove present service inadequate.

Re:	Foster	Decision No. 2	6113
		Appl. 7312 - 1	946

### BUS SERVICE

#### Lakewood

Where territory growing, not adequate service by Tramway, certificate issues now, rather than when Tramway gets a future report.

Re:	Bussard	Decision No.	25757
		Appl. 6876 -	1946

# Preferred over taxi

PUC will favor scheduled bus service over taxi service; it serves more people for less money.

Re:	Rehder	Decision No. 26102
		Appl. 7369 - 1946

# BUSINESS TELEPHONE

In residence. Where phone in residence and no business designation in directory, residence rate applies, even though occasional business calls come in.

Re:	Pagosa Springs	Decision No. 13857
		I. and B. Docket 228 - 1939

"C" PERMIT

Scope of authorization - Operation of carrier held evasion of law and passage of title to milk more subterfuge; operation held one of carrier for hire, and "C" permit revoked.

Re:	Brady	and	France	Decision	No.	10768
	Ū			Case 465	2 - 1	937

# C.A.B. JURISDICTION

Over Charter Service. Call and demand is under CAB and PUC will not pass on it.

Re:	L.E	. Smit	th			Decis	ion No	•	22444
						Appl.	6546 ·	-	1944

#### CALL AND DEMAND

Refused in Part - Adequate Service - Except on Livestock.

Where service adequate except on livestock, call and demand refused on other products.

Re:	Joe Gallegos	Decision No. 20865
		Appl. 4057-AB - 1943

# Favored over "B" permit

Re: Nelson

# Decision No. 19516 Appl. 5924 - 1942

# Granted where other rates too high

Where interstate operator charges rates too high, new certificate granted, and on conditions not impairing present operation.

Re:	Phars.	Decis	ion No.	12494
		Appl.	4732 -	1938

# Livestock

Such certificates favored by PUC. Less showing required than on line-haul.

Re:	Reinhardt	Decision No. 19168
		Appl. 5921 - 1942

### Local Service

Certificate granted where local service needed by shippers. Part-time carriers authorized.

Re:	Warren	Kemp	Decis	ion No.	10553
			App1.	3978 -	1937

CALL AND DEMAND (Continued)

# 0il Products

Where nearest carrier 200 miles away, private permit granted to serve oil company.

Re:	Groendike	Decision No.	12728
		Appl. 4853 -	1938

Rates:

See Rates, "A", "B" Permit.

Re: Marshall

Decision No. 10891 Appl. 4224 - 1937

### CANCELLATION OF CERTIFICATE

# Abandonment of Service

Dissolution of corporation and cessation of business for four years held an abandonment, and certificate cancelled. Transfer denied.

Re:	Swift Moving	Co.	Decisi	on No.	10347
			App1.	1295-A	- 1937

# Destroying Partner's Interest

Where certificate issued to two jointly, interest of one partner may be cancelled.

Re: Oberwortman Decision No. 12063 Case 4682 - 1938

### CANCELLATION OF PERMITS

Violation of permit found to be willful and permit cancelled.

Re: Decker Decision No. 13282 Case 4728 - 1939

# Vio<sup>‡</sup>ation of Rules

Permit cancelled where operator made no effort to read or follow rules of  $\ensuremath{\text{PUC}}$ 

Re:	Girling	Decision No. 10915
		Case 4661 - 1937

# CARRIER, HOLDING TITLE

Commercial carrier operation - "C" permit held not to cover operation where carrier held title as a subterfuge and evasion of the law and "C" permit revoked.

Re:	Brady and Fra	nce 1/	Decision No. 10768
		14-	Case 1652 - 1937

# CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

See Hearings under "Common Carrier"

# Denied

Adequate service. Where sufficient authority present, public convenience and necessity did not require additional service.

Re: Jess Wolff Decision No. 17507 Appl. 5635 - 1941

Adequate Service. Where present operators sufficient, no new certificate granted, except on livestock.

Re:	Haines	Decision No. 8013	
		App1. 3230 - 1936	

No public convenience and necessity. Adequate service in Denver and metropolitan area; if rate too high, PUC may correct situation; new service not granted.

Re:	Fred	Hoffman	Decision No.	15755
			App1. 5139 -	1940

Not granted on unlawful service. Building up public demand by unlawful service is not proper basis on which to predicate certificate.

Re: Gowan

Decision No. 11389 Appl. 3688 - 1938

# Electric Utility

Denied

Where new, inexperienced utility wants to come into new area next to established utility, certificate given to more stable company.

Re:	North	Fork	Power	&	Light	Decisi	on No	•	24466
					-	Appl.	6811 ·	-	1945

Where private utility is already operating in fringer area.

Re:	La Junta	Decision No.	18070
		Appl. 5619 -	1941

Granted (M)

Inadequate service. Application granted over same route where previous service not entirely adequate.

Re:	Newton	Sandlin	Decis	ion No.	10488
			Appl.	2162-B	- 1937

# Mail Carrier

Where service adequate, no additional authority granted, except Railroad Express.

Re:	Sandlin	Decision No. 5846
		Appl. 2162 - 1934

Since no regular service given by common carrier, mail driver given authority to serve residents of Big Thompson Canyon.

Re:	Hart	Decis	ion No.	13708
		Appl.	4634 -	1938

# Neighborhood Service

Where applicant buys most cattle in area, and wants to haul the rest out, and other service not convenient, certificate issues.

Re:	Polhemus	Decision No. 12760	
		Appl. 4803 - 1938	

#### Taxi

Previous violations as found for denial. Denver "fringe" authority denied.

Re:	Green & White,	, etc.	Decisi	on No.	97	07			
			Appls.	3156,	7,	8,	9	- 1937	1

Competing applicants. Both applicants given certificates for Sterling and fringe where both in business for long period.

Re:	Mahaffey;	John	Bell	Decision No. 98	845	
	-			Appls. 3841, 38	842, -	1937

Duplicating existing service. Certificates will be denied unless existing facilities inadequate. Applicant must show necessity.

Re:	Sam	Strange	Decision	No.	9709
			App1. 377	7 -	1937

### CHANGED CONDITIONS

Evidence Required

When application recently denied, new applicant must show change of condition before Commission will set hearing.

Re:	George	Apple	Decision No.	17908
	-		Appl. 5776 -	1941

Ground for Certificate

(Continued on next page)

# CHANGED CONDITIONS (Continued)

# Ground for Certificate (Continued)

Where District Court enjoined further proceedings, PUC could still grant certificate where facts a year later showed change of conditions to justify service.

Re:	Harris	Decision No. 12153
		Appl. 3771 - 1938

# CHARTER COACH CERTIFICATES (M)

Conditional - Certificate granted on condition applicant give first refusal to other carrier serving point of origin.

Re:	Denver and	Interurban	Decis	ion No.	10189	
			App1.	454-B,	790-B,	- 1937

Rules - Deadhead Charges, Rules Promulgated

Re:	Interstate Transit Lines	Decision No. 9967 - 1937	
		Appls. 1717, 1515, 1621, 1649, etc	•

# CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY

# Combination of Routes

Where transferor operated two separate routes, transferee will not be permitted to combine them.

Re:	Worley	Decision No.	25497
	-	Case 4913 -	1946

### Motor Truck

Held no authority from Denver to Leadville by liking two authorities.

Re:	James	Α.	Schaeffer	Decis	ion No.	17034
				App1.	4322 -	1941

# CLARIFICATION OF ORDERS

### PUC Jurisdiction

PUC has jurisdiction to clarify and construe its own orders.

Re:	Borden	Decision No.	13 <b>8</b> 91
		App1. 4746 -	1939

17

# CLARIFICATION OF PERMIT

#### Intermediate Points

Applicant's permit held to cover intermediate points when originally issued; impairment of common carrier not pertinent in clarification case.

Re: Burbridge

# CLARIFICATION OF PERMIT (Continued)

# Intermediate Points (Cont'd)

Intermediate points not included, and character of service not controlling; fact that reports and records show haul to unauthorized town is not final.

Re:	Byes	Decision	No.	12604
		Permit A.	-348	- 1938

Intermediate points held included in permit although not named. Rehearing (Decision No. 10474)

Re: McKay Decision No. 9478 - 1937

Rehearing granted, pending Supreme Court review on companion case. PUC granted authority in original hearing. See 102 Colo. 211

Re:	McKay	Decision No. 10474
		Case 1622 - 1937

# Motor Carrier "A"

Application filed after deadline not granted where no compelling reason shown.

Re:	J.	Β.	Montgomery	Decision	No.	9677
				Permit A	-138	- 1937

# Previous Operations

Illegal operations under mistake of extent of territory not ground to extent permit or change to common carrier.

Re:	David	Sma11	Decisio	n No.	22066
			App1. 1	579 -	1944

# CLARIFICATION OF ROUTE

Highway - Order not specifying highway to be traversed will be clarified.

Re: Hill

Decision No. 21068 Appl. 5875-B - 1943

# CLARIFICATION OF TERRITORY

Contiguous territory, Where electric utility expanded into territory contiguous to towns where it was certificated, PUC confirms its authority under the statute and issues certificate.

Re:	Inland Utilities	Decision No. 22970
		Appl. 6698 - 1944

COAL HAUL

Impairing Common Carrier. Where permit issued without hearing, and later protests made, and evidence showed impairment, permit denied.

Re:	Sanchez	Decision No.	13334
		App1. 4918 -	1939

C O D CLAIMS

Trust funds. If they cannot be traced, they are general claims

Re: Resler Decision No. 21425 Appls. 5257-A, 2356-I-A - 1943

### C O D COLLECTIONS

Common Carrier (M)

Failure of present certificate-holder to remit promptly all COD collections is ground for issuance of another certificate.

Re: Drumright

Decision No. 10266 Appl. 3872 - 1937

### Withholding

Certificate cancelled on ground that carrier did not account for COD collections. See also 9984.

Re: John Shull

Decision No. 10342 Case 2006 - 1937

#### COLORED PEOPLE

Limited Certificate. Illegal to issue certificate limited to carriage of colored people.

Re: Ritz Cab Company Decision No. 19110 Appl. 5869 - 1942

#### COMBINING CERTIFICATES

See "Liking"Certificates.

Re: Woods

Decision No. 18157 Appls. 1436-AB, et al - 1942

### COMBINING OPERATIONS

Common and Private Carrier

\$150 fine assessed and drastic action threatened unless violations discontinued.

Re: Resler

Decision No. 12078 Case 4671 - 1938

# COMBINING OPERATIONS (Continued)

#### Interstate Common and Intrastate Private

Dual operation allowed temporarily, until Commission promulgated rules.

Re: Duncan

Decision No. 13180 Appl. 2776 - 1939

#### COMMERCIAL CARRIER PERMIT

# Cancelled

As camouflage for "for-hire" operation. Commercial carrier permit cancelled where subterfuge of buying and selling was used to cover up forhire operation.

Re:	Decker	Decision No. 13282
		Case 4728 - 1938

### Definition

Where, under contract, applicant sold lumber to a company and agreed to transport it to points of re-sale, he is carrier for hire, not commercial carrier.

Re:	Joe Chavez	Decision No.	22578
		App1. 6635 -	1944

### Operation as "for-hire" carrier

Passage of title held to be mere subterfuge to evade law, and operation essentially one of carrier for hire. "C" permit revoked.

Re: Brady and France Decision No. 10768 Case 4652 - 1937

# Products "to be sold"

Definition. Ton-mile tax applies to ore, even though refined; it is still "to be sold."

Re: Vanadium Corporation

Decision No. 18992 also Decision No. 17400 Case 4846 - 1942

#### COMMINGLING COMMON CARRIER AND PRIVATE CARRIER BUSINESS (M)

Invalid when done with same equipment, same name, same route. Cites PUR 1932-A-55; PUR 1933-E-506.

Re: McKay Truck Line

Decision No. 9478 - 1937 Cites 1965, 9403 Rehearing 9673

# COMMINGLING COMMON CARRIER AND PRIVATE CARRIER BUSINESS (M) (Continued)

Commission will not allow private and common carrier operation in same equipment over same route at same time.

Re: Ammerman Decision No. 8872 Appl. 3167

## COMMON CARRIER CERTIFICATES

Call and Demand - Rates - See "Rates, A & B Permit"

Re: Marshall Decision No. 10891 Appl. 4224 - 1937

# Can't Combine with Private Permit

Certificate granted if applicant cancels"B" permit, so he won't be common and private carrier at same time.

Re: Morgan Decision No. 11280 Appl. 4312 - 1938

# Combining Private Carriage Operations

Allowed only where on same highway, different classes of commodities carried, and di-ferent shippers served with separate equipment.

Re:	Louis W.	Johnson	Decision No.	9403
			App1. 3685-PF	2-A - 1937

# Competing Certificates

Public Interest Paramount. Certificate granted when business justifies and impairment not great.

Re:	R.	W.	Greely	Decision No.	9360
			-	App1. 3538 -	1937

# Competition over Same Route

Competing certificates not granted unless volume justifies or service inadequate.

Re:	Leamon	Resler	Decision No. 9213
			Appl. 1487-AB - 1937

# Definition

Includes horse-drawn vehicles

Re:	Pikes	Peak	Stage	Coach	Decisio	n No.	22278
			•		App <b>1.</b> 6	602 -	1944

# COMMON CARRIER CERTIFICATES (Continued)

Definition (Continued)

Doesn't need to advertise or solicit, or carry for everybody at all times. Indiscriminate carriage is enough. Private permit denied.

Re: Riss & Co.	Decision No. 5970 Appl. 2203-PP
Holds out to public by advertising	
Re: McKay Lines - Rehearing	Decision No. 9673 Cases 1622, 1626 - 1937

### Detriment by Extension of other Common Carriers' Service

Application for certificate extension denied.

Re:	John Stickler	Decision No. 9212
		Appls. 2449-B, 2451-B - 1937

# Discontinuance of service by Railroad

Lack of revenue. Minor inconvenience to public. Discontinuance of passenger trains allowed.

Re:	CRI&P	Decision No. 9320
		I. & S. Docket 217 - 1937

Encroachment by Private Carriers

Necessity of shipper. Extension of "B" permit granted, where impairment only slight.

Re: Bennie Goldstein Decision No. 9231 Appl. 3222-PP-B - 1937

# Impairment of Service

(By private carrier). "B" permit denied in part because of.

Re:	J.	D.	Haynie	Decision No. 9101
				Appl. 3654-PP - 1936

Permit denied because of

Re: Alvie Cummings Decision No. 9056 Appl. 3562-pp 1936

# Not Actually such where rates not prescribed

Where ore hauls not subject to PUC minimum rate, there is very little difference in private and common carrier.

Re:	Huntington	Decision No. 24	485
		Appl. 4813 - 19	45

~ ~

# COMMON CARRIER CERTIFICATES (Continued)

0il Pipe Line

Certificate granted to Rangely field.

Re: Utah Refining Co.

Decis	ion No.	22977
App1.	6734 -	1944

#### No Combined Operation Permitted

Where same equipment used, but at different times, for common and private haul, no injury to public because no chance for confusion.

Re:	Loya1	Morgan	Decision No.	24546
	-	-	Appl. 6723 -	1945

# Protected from Competition

See "Protection of Common Carrier"

Re:	Guthridge	Decision No.	10882
		App1. 4074 -	1937

# Transfer denied

Commission denies transfer where carrier is "common" in Denver and "private"beyond City Limits.

Re:	Dime	Delivery	Decision No.	18840
		-	Appl. 3861 -	1942

# What Constitutes "Seasonal Operation"

Guests at summer hotel are a sufficient number of the public to make service one of common carriage.

Re:	L.	Mason	Decis	ion No.	10928
		an an 🕳 an	App1.	4240 -	1937

# COMPETITION

# Between Electric Utilities

Where PUC denied authority in a certain territory, there is no competition when REA goes in.

Re:	San Jiguel Assn.	Decision No. 20831
		Case 4809 - 1943

# COMPETITION (Continued)

### Between Private Carriers

Where common carriers not involved, interests of public sometimes demands competing and duplicating service.

Re:	Ross Watts	Decision No.	17809
		Appl. 5718 -	1941

# Between Carriers

PUC has adopted theory of regulated monopoly, and certificate denied where adequate authority in the field.

Re:	Jack Schwab	Decision No. 17483
		Appl. 5376 - 1941'

### Between Common Carriers

Discussion of, in motor carriers.

Re:	Haines	Motor	Freight	Decision N	lo.	17737
				App1. 5339	1 -	1941

# Electric

Municipality and REA - City given certificate in area where coop served, because coop said it was not a public utility.

Re:	Lamar	Decis	ion No.	21392
		App1.	5913 -	1943

### Not healthy

Competitition in natural monopolies is economically unsound and wasteful.

Re:	Conwill	Decision No. 26350
		Appl. 7456 - 1946

### Unnecessary and Undesirable

Regulation of monopoly is basic theory of PUC

Re:	Harpster	Decision No.	10643
		Appl. 1489 -	1937

### COMPLAINTS

### Service of

Complaints must be served by registered mail.

Re:	General	Investigation	Decision No.	10449
			Case 1585 - 1	937

COMPLAINTS (Continued)

Who can File

Colorado Trucking Assn. held not authorized to file complaint protesting rates.

Re:	General	Investigation	Decision No. 10449
			Case 1585 - 1937

# CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATE

Charter Coach Service

Certificate granted on condition applicant give first refusal to common carrier serving point of origin.

Re:	Denver & Inte	erurban	Decis	ion No.	10189		
			Appl.	454-B,	790-B	-	1937

# Local Franchise

See "Franchise, Condition to Certificate."

Re:	La Junta	Decision No. 18070
		Appl. 5619 - 1941

# Substituted Service

Certificate for substituted service conditioned on continued operation of freight line.

Re: D & R G Southern Decision No. 17841 Appl. 3958 - 1941

### CONFUSING COMMON AND PRIVATE CARRIER OPERATIONS

Concurrent operation allowed where separation of commodities and equipment provided.

Re:	J.	W.	Kirwin	Decision No. 9455
				Appl. 3614 - 1937
				Cites 9403, 4955

### CONSOLIDATION OF PERMITS

PUC will allow consolidation of two private permits where effect of transfer of one permit would effect same result.

Re:	Bob Clark	Decision No.	24545
		Appl. 5782 -	1945

# CONSOLIDATING PRIVATE PERMITS (M)

Illegal for owner to do so without permission of PUC.

Re:	Southwestern Transportation Co. v.	Decision No. 9511
	J. R. Marks Truck Line	Case 1992 - 1937

# CONTIGUOUS TERRITORY

Confirming utility service

Where no objections, PUC confirms electric utility into contiguous territory.

Re:	Kansas-Colorado	Decision No.	25799
		App1. 7206 -	1946

### Extension into

No certificate needed for utility to expand into vacant, contiguous territory

Re:	Public Service Co.	Decision No. 24859
		Appl. 6807 - 1945

# CONTINUANCE OF HEARING

Witness not present. Hearing not continued when witness had notice and should have been present.

Re:	Hardrick	Decision No. 22446
		Appl. 6535 - 1944

### CONTRACT CARRIER

Place in Transportation Scheme

General discussion. Where US required special service, permit issues.

Re:	Ρ.	V.	Vendegna	Decision No.	19413
			·	1942	

# Special Service

Discussion of benefits of private carriers; although common carrier is adequate, Army required special service on breathing oxygen and permit issues.

Re:	₩.	C.	Hopkins	Decis	ion No.	22430
				Appl.	6640 -	1944

### CONTRACT TO CARRY CUSTOMER'S GOODS

By Private Carrier. Permit-holder cannot enter into contracts with others for transportation of his customer's freight.

Re:	De La S	alle	Decis	ion No.	9496	
			Appl.	3350-PI	2-AA -	1937

### CONTRACTS OF RAILROADS

PUC jurisdiction. See "Jurisdiction."

Re: C & S Ry. Co.

Decision No. 15345 Case 4781 - 1940

#### CONTRACTURAL MATTERS

Jurisdiction of PUC. See "Jurisdiction of PUC."

Re.	U.S.	Government vs.	Golden	Decision	No.	21998
				Case 4911	_ ]	1944

# CONVENIENCE TO FEW SHIPPERS

Not public convenience. Where one or two shippers would be convenienced by private permit extension, public convenience did not justify impairment of common carriers.

Re:	Stephenson	Decision No. 13894
		Appl. 3274 - 1939

# CONVERTING "A" PERMIT TO "B" PERMIT

Rather than convert "A" to "B" permit, involving change of rates, application considered as one for new "B" permit, instead of extension of "A".

Re:	George Marshall	Decision No. 10891
	-	Appl. 4224 - 1937

# COOPERATIVE, AS PUBLIC UTILITY - REA

PUC has jurisdiction over cooperatives, and they are public utilities.

Re:	San Miguel	Assn.	Decision No. 20831
			Case 4809 - 1943

# CORPORATE REORGANIZATION

	As	Ground	for	Transferr	ina	Certificate	
--	----	--------	-----	-----------	-----	-------------	--

Granted without hearing

Re: Colorado Gas & Utilities Co. Decision No. 9456 Appls. 1726 et al - 1937

#### COST OF PLANT

Improvident investment not included.

Re:	Glenwood Light	Co.	Decision	No.	5398
	Ū		Case 1138	3 - 1	1933

#### COST OF PROPERTY

Historical, Reproduction, Actual. Comparison of methods.

Re:	Glenwood	Light	Co.	Decision No.	5598
		0		Case 1138 - 1	933

#### CREDITORS' CLAIMS

Paid before transfer. PUC will decide claims which it will require to be paid before granting transfer.

Re:	Bob Clark	Decision No. 24545
		Appl. 5782 - 1945

## CREDITORS OF TRANSFEROR

Method of payment. Where creditors agree, PUC will decide priority of claims and Commission Attorney will disburse purchase price to creditors.

Re: Resler Decision No. 21425 Appls. 5257-A - 1943

#### CROSS-COMPLAINT

In Cancellation Proceeding. Where complaint charges abandonment, defendant cannot, by cross-complaint, seek revocation of complainant's authority.

Re:	Pikes	Peak	Auto	Livery	Decision No. 12477
				·	Case 4698 - 1938

#### CROSSING, GRADE, CLOSING OF.

See, Generally, "Grade Crossings." Where new crossing to be built near by, and no objection to closing of the instant crossing, application granted without hearing.

Re:	С.	Β.	and	Q.	R.	R.	Co.	Decision No.	15499
								Appl. 5385 -	1940

# CROSSING PROTECTION

Over Main Highway. Order allowed public crossing, but jurisdiction retained to specify exact protection at spur track over 6th Avenue.

	Re:	Rio	Grand	e
--	-----	-----	-------	---

Decision No. 16860 Appl. 5561 - 1941

# CUMULATIVE EVIDENCE AT REHEARING

See "Rehearing"

Re: Fornoff

Decision No. 15258 Appl. 4995 - 1940

## CURTAILMENT OF PERMITS

Commission'e power. On transfer, "B" permit authority out to products previously hauled.

Re: C. W. Kelly

Decision No. 15849 Appl. 5324 - 1940

#### CURTAILMENT OF SERVICE

See "Discontinuance of Service"

# CUSTODIAN

Substituted for Agency Station. Petition to abandon station denied, but railroad allowed to substitute custodian.

Re: Rio Grande R R Co.

Decision No. 12162 I. and S. Docket 225 - 1938

#### CUSTODIAN SERVICE AT STATION (R)

Substitute for Agency. Managerial discretion will not be interfered with where no showing of great inconvenience to public and carrier has a loss.

Re: U. P. R. R. Co.

Decision No. 14564 Appl. 5239 - 1939

# CUSTOMER LISTS"

#### Limit on number

PUC would not revise private carrier rules during the War, and would not pass on violation of number of customers.

Re: Hickman

Decision No. 21610 Case 4886 - 1943

# Necessary for Private Permit

Applicant for "B" permit who cannot list customers is a common carrier.

Re: Paxton

Decision No. 13111 Appl. 4904 - 1939

Violations

See "Violations of Rules."

### CUSTOMER LISTS (Continued)

### DAMAGES, ON OVERCHARGES

Need to prove in reparation case. See "Reparation." Decision No. 5352

Re: Granada vs. Lamar

Case 729 - 1933

# "DAY," DEFINITION OF

See "One Day."

Re: Pikes Peak Livery

Decision No. 15295 I. and S. Docket 232 - 1940

#### DEADHEAD CHARGES

Charter Coach. Not authorized on line or 5 miles radius.

Re: Interstate Transit Lines. Rehearing Decision No. 9967 ordered: Decision No. 10087 Appl. 1717 et al - 1937

### DEATH OF OWNER

Effect on certificate. Certificate does not die with owner; it may be inherited.

Re: William Irvine

Decision No. 22323 App1. 637 - 1944

### DEFENSES TO VIOLATIONS

Private carrier. Employees not following instructions as to accepting freight from non-customers. Defense not valid.

Re:	McKay Truck L	ine		Decision No.	9478
	Rehearing - D	Decision No.	9673	Appls. 1622,	1926 - 1937
				Cites 8216	

### DELIVERY SERVICE

Not competitive with Call and Demand

Small package delivery service must be prompt, efficient, fast.

Re:	Hellesen	Decision No. 2635	54
		Appl. 7525 - 1946	5

#### Sears, Roebuck

Permit granted for Sears employee to deliver and set up merchandise; only small loss to common carriers in Pueblo.

Re:	Clementi	Decision No.	12815
		App1. 4897 -	1939

# DEPRECIATION

# Accrued, Straight line

Discussion of methods used to set up reserve.

Re:	Glenwood Light	Co.	Decision No. 5398
			Case 1138 - 1933

### Straight Line, Retirement

Commission amends previous order requiring straight line depreciation, and allows utility to choose retirement method.

Re:	Uniform	System	of	Accounts	Decision No.	12661
		•			Case 4693 - 1	1938

#### DETOUR ROUTES

Extent of Certificate. Respondent fined \$50 for serving unauthorized intermediate points on a detour route.

Re:	Rio Grande Motor Way	, Inc.	Decision No. 9842
	-		Case 2011 - 1937

# DISCONTINUANCE OF SERVICE

Constituting abandonment of Certificate (M)

Facts held not to show abandonment.

Re: Pollock

Decision No. 10055 Appl. 1875-A - 1937

## Common Carrier (RR)

Lack of revenue. Minimum inconvenience to public. Discontinuance of passenger trains allowed.

Re: C. R. I. & P. Decision No. 9320 I. and S. Docket 217 - 1937

#### DISCRIMINATION

Because of Color

Every public conveyance must haul people of every color, so limited certificate cannot issue.

Re:	Ritz Cab	Co.	Decisi	ion No.	19110
			Appl.	5869 -	1942

# Among Water Customers

Whether new customers bought from Milner or not, they are entitled to service from utility serving that area.

Re: Milner - No. Federal Water Co. Decision No. 25323 - Appl. 7000 - 1945

# DISCRIMINATION (Continued)

# By Water Company

City of Golden ordered to end discrimination and allow applicant to install inside taps.

Re:	Iacino	Decision No. 10102
		Case 2012 - 1937

# Between Water Users

Municipality cannot discriminate between users outside City Limits on ground of profitableness of service.

Re:	City	and	County	of	Denver	Decis	sion No.	9832
	Ŭ		, i			Case	1994 -	1937

# Switching Charges

See "Switching Charges"

Re:	Perry Petroleum - U.P.	Decision No. 15401
	•	Case 4764 - 1940

# DISPARITY IN RATES

Railroad. Different rates to one town from two others cannot be reasonable per se.

Re:	D. & R.	G R W R Co.	Decision No. 21181
			Case 4904 - 1943

### DISTRAINT FOR TAXES

Determination of Tax. See same decision under "Taxes."

Decision No. 11409 Case 4673 - 1938

#### DUAL OPERATIONS

See "Combining Operations."

Common and Private not allowed.

Although PUC has found that public interest is served by allowing dual operations, transfer of certificate denied here when individual had private and partnership had common duplicating authorities.

Re:	Harry L.	Bennett	Decision No.	24985
	-		Appl. 1581 -	1945

DUAL OPERATIONS (Continued)

#### Not permitted

Where husband and wife both own trucks and permits, common and private, and if it is a "family affair," dual operations specifically warned agains.

Re:	Lang	Decision No.	13602
	-	App1. 2604 -	1939

Common and Private together, unlawful.

Re:	McKay Lines	-	Rehearing	Decisio	on No	. 9673
				Appls.	1622	, 1626 - 1937

# DUPLICATING CERTIFICATES

### Not Common

Strong case must be made to justify duplicate certificate.

Re:	Perry	Truck	Lines	Decisi	ion No.	17771
				Appl.	5312 -	1941

# Proof Required for Issuance

Denied unless clear showing that existing facilities inadequate, and no prospect of being made better.

Re:	Poole	Decision No.	10707
		Appl. 3657 -	1937

### DUPLICATING SERVICE

### Electric

City won't be given certificate in fringe area where private utility is serving.

Re:	La Junta	Decision No. 18070
		Appl. 5619 - 1941

### Harder to get Certificate

Where certificate will duplicate existing service, clear showing must be made that present facilities are inadequate or unsatisfactory.

Re:	Hollerin	Decision No.	13795
		Appl. 4977 -	1939

# DURATION AND THREE MONTHS

Time limit on Certificate. Camp Carson Taxi service authorized to Colorado Springs for Duration.

Re:	Colorado	Springs	Taxi	Co.		Decisio	on No.	21053	1	
						Appls.	6283,	et al	-	1943
					33.					

# DUTY OF PUBLIC UTILITY

Water Customers, New. Duty to serve public extends to all members of public in area of certificate, and who comply with the reasonable rules and regulations of the utility.

Re:	Milner -	North	Federal	Water	System	Decis	ion No.	25323
					-	App1.	7000 -	1945

### EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT

Prudent spending a prerequisite to profit. Utility must economically and judiciously manage its business.

Re:	Glenwood Light Co.	Decision No. 5398
	-	Case 1138 - 1933

# ELECTRIC CERTIFICATE

Based on Franchise. Where Canon City gave francise, no protests were received, certificate issued without formal hearing to authorize electric utility service in fringe area.

Re:	Southern	Colorado	Power	Decist	ion No.	14106
				App1.	5169 -	1939

# ELECTRIC UTILITY

Extension of Service. Rule 42-A Revised.

Decision No. 9949 Case 501

### EVIDENCE

Facts in carrier's knowledge. Where carrier would not produce actual records as to hauling, PUC determination of tax held valid.

Re:	Los Angeles-Albuquerque Express	Decision No. 11409
		Case 4673 - 1938

### EXCHANGE OF PASSENGERS

Between sightseeing operators. See "Ticket Credit"

Re:	Fallico	Decision No. 15332	
		Case 4744 - Appl. 522 -	- 1940

#### EXEMPTION FROM LAW

PUC cannot exempt furniture mover from getting certificate; since no showing of public need, certificate denied.

Re:	Archald	Decision No. 21674
		Appl. 6322 - 1943

# EXPLOSIVES

PUC Rule 10 (k) Rule Amended.	Decision No. 16028 - 1940
Maximum load	
Load limit raised by change in Rule 9 (b)	Decision No. 11930 - 1938
EXTENSION OF CERTIFICATE (M)	
Competitors	
Where one competitor given extension, other	one also granted it.
Re: <b>R</b> unkle, Diehl	Decision No. 5839 Appl. 2169, 2172 - 1934
Convenience of Shippers	
Commission grants extension where it will b shippers, although little evidence shown th service.	
Re: Jefferes	Decision No. 15342 Appl. 2443 - 1940
For Green Mountain Dam	
Service needed. First applicant gets it. important.	Priority of application
Re: Larson	Decision No. 12709 Appl. 1208 - 1938
Grounds for	
To get extension, applicant must show public plus fact that present carrier service is no made adequate.	
Re: McKee	Decision No. 25911 Appl. 1405 - 1946
Into Territory of Another Certificate Holder	
Extension denied where no shipper or other we service was inadequate, or could not be made	
Re: Orville Dunlap	Decision No. 25132 Appl. 2985 - 1945
No Necessity	
Certificate not extended where no showing ma make a better living not controlling. Coal	

Re: Bross

Decision No. 12744 Appl. 3946 - 1938

# EXTENSION OF CERTIFICATE (M) (Continued)

## Preferred Over New Authority

Where present operator needs the new business, his established service is given preference over new applicant.

Re: Moberly

Decision No. 19239 Appl. 5961 - 1942

# Previous Violations

Previous violations of territory not ground for refusal of extension where all protestants guilty of same acts.

Re: Harsch

Decision No. 6451 Appl. 1609-B

# EXTENSION OF PERMIT (M)

### Applicant Owning Interest in Shipping Company

Permit extended to include hauling from mine owned 1/10 by applicant, although sufficient service present.

Re:	Bender Brothers	Decision No.	9914
		App1. 2566 -	1937

### Denied

Based on Illegal Service

Re:	Hill	Decision No. 12197
		Appl. 1979, 4617 - 1938

#### In Denver

Meat equipment adequate in Denver and public convenience does not require new private authority.

Re:	Bennie	Goldstein	Decision No	. 15640
			Appl. 3222	- 1940

#### Previous Violations

Where applicant repeatedly hauled in excess of authority, extension not granted; also other haulers "got the job done."

Re:	Fred Meloney	Decision No. 1	5787
	-	App1. 3037 - 1	940

# Where Service Adequate

Rehearing denied where violators did not show common carriers not able to give adequate service.

Re: Hill

Decisio	on No.	12803	
Appls.	1979,	4617 -	1938

# EXTENSION POLICY (E)

Utilities generally pay part of extension cost, so reparation order stays in effect during period when line being paid for:

Re:	Granada vs.	Lamar	Decision No. 5853
			Case 729 - 1934

# EXTENSION OF ELECTRIC SERVICE

Rule 42-A Revised.	Decision No. 9949
	Case 501 - 1937

## EXTENSION OF TERRITORY

# Contiguous Territory

PUC issues order confirming previous expansions into contiguous territory.

Re:	Public	Service	Company	Decision No.	24860
				Appl. 6806 -	1945

No certificate needed to expand into contigous territory, so expansions approved by PUC.

Re: Public Service Company Decision No. 24859 Appl. 6807 - 1945

# FAIR RETURN

None on improvident investment

See "Improvident Investment" Re: Glenwood Light Co. Decision No. 5398 Case 1138 - 1933

# On Whole System

Isolated portions of the system cannot be considered in rate case. Utility is a unit.

Re: Glenwood Light Co. Decision No. 5398 Case 1138 - 1933

# FAIR VALUE

Discussion of all factors

Re: Home Gas and Electric Decision No. 5803 Case 1074 - 1934

# FARM MOVEMENTS

Service requirements. To insure adequate service for farmers, PUC authorized carrier service in excess of what is required at off season.

Re: Martinson

#### FARM PRODUCTS

Permit during "harvest season" Private permit limited to harvest season, only.

Re:	Chet F.	Leichliter	Decis	ion No.	15816
			Appl.	5434 -	1940

# FARM SERVICE

Preferred. Commission will see that all areas have sufficient farm-tomarket service, even to giving competing permits.

Re:	Ross Watts	Decision No. 17809
		Appl. 5718 - 1941

## FARM SUPPLIES

Definition. Includes freight used on farm, except groceries, clothing, feed for livestock, and other freight "not strictly used on farm."

Re: Lischke and Heflin

Decision No. 10742 Appl. 4073 - 1937

Decision No. 11830 Appl. 4514 - 1938

## FARMERS, AS CARRIERS

PUC does not favor permits to farmers to haul at their own convenience. Here, however, applicant proposes regular stops for milk pick-up.

Re: Johnston

#### FARMER'S PERMITS

(B Truck). "B" Permit issued for loose hay hauling by farmer, from areas around his farm.

Re: Glasier

Decision No. 9899 Appl. 3883-PP

# FARMER'S SERVICE

During crop time. Farmers entitled to ample service under all conditions, even if during slack times, there is not enough business for all authorized carriers, especially if there is no rail service.

Re: Richardson

Decision No. 12280 Case 4675 - 1938

#### FINES BY PUC

PUC has jurisdiction to levy penalty.

Re: Resler

Decision No. 12654 - Case 4671 - 193

PUC has right to levy fines in lieu of cancellation of certificate.

20

Re: Snell

Decision No. 13841 - Case 4722 - 1939

FINES BY PUC (Continued)

Serving beyond territory by Private Carrier. \$25, fine for one violation.

Re:	Edward	Martin	Decision NO. 9477
			Case 2-02 - 1937

# FIXED ROUTES, TERMINI

Private Carrier may use. McKay case does not prohibit private carriers operating over fixed routes or termini. ("A" Carriers).

Re: Perry Truck Lines

Decision No. 17771 Appl. 3312 - 1941

# FORM OF APPLICATION

Transfer

PUC will waive technical compliance with rules as to form and contents of applications where testimony sufficient.

Re: Beach

Decision No. 12358 Appl. 962 - 1938

# FRANCHISE FROM GOVERNMENT CONDITION TO CERTIFICATE

Commission sometimes waives requirement of local franchise and isues conditional certificate.

Re: La Junta

Decision No. 18070 Appl. 5619 - 1941

# FRANCHISE - LACK OF

Cause for abandonment of service. Electric utility cannot abandon service in a town without PUC authority, even if it has no franchise.

Re:	Highland Utilities	Co.	Decision No. 5086
	-		Case 1168 - 1933

#### FREIGHT FORWARDER

Private Carrier as

Not permitted.

Re: De La Salle

Decision NO. 9496 Appl. 3350-PP-AA - 1937

Weicker Co., as

Weicker a common carrier, not a mere forwarding agent, and must follow filed tariffs.

Re:	Weicker	Decision No. 5170
		Case 1083 - 1933

# FREIGHT INTERCHANGE

Not allowed between private carriers, but permit transferred subject **b** 

Re: De La Salle

Decision No. 9496 Appl. 3350-PP-AA - 1937

## FREIGHT, NOT AUTHORIZED

See "Revocation of Permit" "Suspension of Permit" "Unauthorized Service"

#### FRINGE AREA

Boulder Taxies. Evidence discloses necessity for five-mile fringe area service, and three certificates granted.

Re: Hindman Decision No. 13763 Appl. 5046 - 1939

# FRINGE TERRITORY

Denver Taxies. Denver having authorized service, PUC holds the public convenience and necessity require authorization to fringe territory.

Re: Public Cab Co., etc. Decision NO. 11810 Appl. 4424 - 1938

# GAS COMPANY

Transfer of Certificate on Ground of Corporate Reorganization. Granted without hearing.

Re:	Colorado Gas and Utilities Co.	Decision No. 9456
		Appl. 1726 et al - 1937

# GAS SERVICE - ABANDONMENT

See "Abandonment" Decision No. 16871 Appl. 1926 - 1941

# GENERAL ORDER #48

Form and filing of insurance

Decision No. 17855

#### GOING CONCERN VALUE

Included in rate base. Included in Property value for rate base.

Re: Glenwood Light Co. Decision 5398 Case 1138 - 1933

#### GRADE CROSSING

# Application for

Denied where evidence did not show public convenience and necessity.

:	Town of	Fountain	Decision No.	9605
			Appl. 3754 -	1937

# At Grade

Re:

County and carrier having agreed on expense, and on closing two other crossings if present one approved, and crossing hazards lessened, application granted.

Re:	El Paso	County	Decision No.	11734
		·	Appl. 4299 -	1938

# Balancing Danger with Public Convenience and Necessity

Where potato growers wanted spur track, and Highway Department protested, PUC allowed truck on evidence that danger was outweighed by necessity.

Re:	Colorado Potato	Growers	Decision No.	25746
			Appl. 6696 -	1946

#### Highway Department Request

County Commissioners' request for over-head crossing granted at their expense.

Re: La Plata County

Decision No. 10100 Appl. 3961 - 1937

## Opening Crossing

Where present crossing inconvenient, and all residents want location changed, protests of Railway not sufficient to warrant denial of petition, where not much hazard difference in the two.

Re: Bethune Decision No. 13960 Appl. 5054 - 1939

PUC Rules

Commission Rules	Amended	Decis	ion No.	204	146
		App1.	6205-PF	· _	1942

# Signals

Lack of Federal funds not ground for delay in installing signals at extremely dangerous crossing. Installation ordered.

Re:	Adams Coun	ty Decisi	on No.	10932
		App1.	3002 -	1937

# HARVEST SEASON - PERMIT

Authority limited in time as to farm products. Where extra trucks necessary during harvest, applicant can haul; but not any other time. Re: Chet F. Leichliter Decision No. 15816 App1. 5434 - 1940 HEAVY MACHINERY (M) Certificate granted. Heavy machinery hauler given certificate, even though other haulers may sometimes be able to move it. Decision No. 6584 Re: Sackowitz and Germaine Appl. 2350 - 1935 HIGHWAY CONGESTION May be ground for denying interstate permit, but none such here. Re: Grover C. Jacobsen Decision No. 5092 Appl. 2112 - 1933 HIGHWAY ROUTE Proper. Orders not specifying highway to be traversed will be clarified. Re: Hill Decision No. 21068 Appl. 5875-B - 1943 HISTORICAL COST Method of valuation. Discussion of U S Cases. Decision No. 5398 Re: Glenwood Light Company App1. 1138 - 1933 HOME RULE CITY Taxi Service out of: See "Taxi Service" Re: Public Cab Co. Decision No. 13073 Appls. 4424, 4427 - 1939 Where taxies run in and out of home-rule cities, PUC governs whole trip. Re: Hindman Decision No. 13763 Appl. 5046 - 1939

### HORSES AND COLTS

Certificate for movement. Where no showing as to other livestock, applicants expension request limited to hauling riding horses.

Re: McKee

Decision No. 25911 Appl. 1405 - 1946

# I.C.C. JURISDICTION

Abandonment (R) of Intrastate branch. See Jurisdiction of PUC; Abandonment; I.C.C. paramount.

Re: C. and S. Ry. Co.- Decision No. 10871 Case 2037 - 1937

## ILLEGAL OPERATIONS

As to wiping them out with a penalty. Where fine was paid for violation of law, Commission allows transferee to get certificate, although denied previously.

Re: Lois Ervin Decision No. 18998 Appl. 2461 - 1942

#### IMMEDIATE SERVICE

Not required from Common Carrier. Where "low-bed" equipment needed, one day's delay will not justify new authority, and permit refused where adequate carriers in field.

Re: Leona

Decision No. 21032 Appl. 6331-PP - 1943

#### IMPAIRMENT OF COMMON CARRIER

Burden on Proof. Common Carrier must prove impairment of service when protesting private permit.

Re: W. C. Hopkins

Decision No. 22430 Appl. 6640 - 1944

### By Private Permit

Coal haul denied where common carrier would be injured, although private carrier might serve a few people better.

Re:	Joe Bertwon	Decision No. 16866
		Appl. 5537 - 1941

Long discussion as to how the impairment or lack of it must be proved; burden is on common carrier to show impairment.

Re:	Bollet	Decision No.	13149
		Appl. 4216 -	1939

# Certificate Denied (M)

Application for competing certificate denied where present motor carrier giving adequate service.

Re:	Capron	Decision No. 10150
		Appl. 2230 - 1937

# IMPAIRMENT OF COMMON CARRIER (Continued)

# Evidence not Clear

Call and demand service must show impairment will result if permit granted. More needed than on scheduled haul. Rehearing denied.

Re:	Leist	Decision No. 13442
		Appl. 4890 - 1939

#### General Discussion

Protestants have burden to show that private permit will impair their efficient service.

Re: Dick Maulin Decision No. 21220 Appl. 6385-PP- 1943

# Limited Loss of Tonnage in Individual Case

Although several shippers might be convenienced and only a small part of business would be affected, gradual enroachment will hurt common carriers.

Re:	Young Brothers	Decision No. 15035
		Appl. 5201 - 1940

#### Must be Shown by Evidence

Where no evidence as to loss to be sustained, or profit made, and applicant developed new business, permit granted.

Re: Logan

Decision No. 13151 Appl. 4888 - 1939

# Must be Shown by Protestant

Even though applicant had no contracts, no customers, and made no showing of inadequate service, permit granted when no proof made by common carriers that they would be impaired.

Re: Purvis

Decision No. 14294 Appl. 5159- 1939

## Must Show more than Loss of Revenue

To prevent private permit, common carrier must show that his loss of revenue will be so great that ability to perform efficient service will be impaired.

Re:	s.	S.	Huntington	Decision No. 24485
			Ŭ	Appl. 4813 - 1945

# IMPAIRMENT OF COMMON CARRIER (Continued)

#### New Business

Where new business is subject to a contract, and if private permit not granted shipper will get own trucks and nobody gets the business, no impairment of common carriers.

Re:	Callbeck	Decision No. 13768
		Appl. 5020 - 1939

Where Government Dam requires local hauling, private permit granted. Common carrier is not protected as much here, as when the haul is the result of gradual business development which the common carrier has helped to bring about.

Re: Jenkins Decision No. 15186 Appl. 2773 - 1940

## New Certificate Denied (M)

Public convenience bows to statute, where PUC is of opinion that present service of common carrier would be impaired.

Re:	Juan Salas	Decision No.	10705
		App1. 2790 -	1937

# Overcome by Benefit to Shippers

Where only slight impairment to common carrier, permit issued because of benefit to customers.

Re: Ullstrom

Decision No. 15474 Appl. 5343 - 1940

# Personal Opinion of Carrier not Considered

Where carriers did not object to similar permit by one applicant, but did object to instant application, although no evidence of impairment shown, application granted.

Re: Harper

Decision No. 10940 Appl. 4164 - 1937

## Private Permit Denied

Even though applicant built up business, it was an unlawful operation, and he cannot now get a permit on strength of it, where common and private carriers are giving good service.

Re:	Brady	and	France	Decisi	ion No.	10976
	-			App1.	4278 -	1937

# IMPAIRMENT OF COMMON CARRIER (Continued)

# Relevancy in Clarification Proceeding

Question not relevant in clarification proceeding.

Re: Burbridge

Decisi	ion No.	9947
Appl.	3856 -	1937

# Sightseeing Operations

See "Sightseeing Operations"

Re: Rocky Mountain Motor; Fallico Decision No. 15200 Case 4744 - Appl. 552 - 1940

# Successive Private Permits

PUC adopts previous opinions where private service might be more convenient, but adequate service already in territory, and denies permit extension.

Re: Dayton Riggs

Decision No. 16825 Appl. 4160 - 1941'

#### Where Inadequate Equipment

Where present carriers are using equipment of applicant for long periods of time, that is evidence that there is not much impairment when applicant gets certificate for oil well supply haul.

Re: W W Toler

Decision No. 24721 Appl. 6777 - 1945

# Where Service Inadequate

Where service inadequate, impairment question does not arise, and additional permits and certificates will issue.

Re: Lewis Klamm

Decision No. 18700 Appl. 5861 - 1942

## IMPAIRMENT OF SERVICE

Of Common Carriers

See "Common Carriers"

#### Of Private Carriers

See "Motor Carriers"

# By Adding New Customers

See "New Customers"

Re: Dr. Shea

# IMPROVIDENT INVESTMENT

No part of rate base. If money invested improvidently or recklessly, utility cannot earn a return on it.

Re: Glenwood Light Co.

Decision No. 5398 Case 1138 - 1933

#### IMPROVING SERVICE

Alternative to new certificate. Present certificate-holder given sixty days to improve service, or applicant can renew request for competitive certificate.

Re: Beatty

Decision No. 11673 - Appl. 4474

# IMPROVING TAXI SERVICE

New application denied. Present operator given chance to improve service, and application for competing certificate denied with jurisdiction retained.

Re: Brown

Decision No. 12490 Appl. 2089 - 1938

#### INADEQUATE SERVICE

Burden of Proof

See "Burden of Proof"

Re: Foster Truck Line

Decision No. 26113 Appl. 7312 - 1946

# Common Carrier

This is ground for additional permits and certificates.

Re:	Lewis	K1 amm	Decis	ion No.	18700
			App1.	5861 -	1942

# Ground for New Certificate

Where railroad will move most of pipe to railhead, applicant should have right to small, emergency intrastate movements because it is needed.

Re: J. L. Cox Decision No. 24874 Appl. 7026 - 1945

# Ground for Private Permit

Where impairment shown and no evidence of inadequate service by common, private permit denied.

Re:	Fornoff	Decision No. 15258
		App1. 4995 - 1940

INADEQUATE SERVICE (Continued)

Local Service Needed

Leadville needs local hauler, even though some over-lap of territory results.

Re: Harold Patridge Decision No. 19323 Appl. 5035 - AB - 1942

## INSURANCE

Form and Filing Clarified

Decision No. 17855 General Order

# INSURANCE CERTIFICATES

Failure to file. Carrier filed inadequate insurance in some years and no insurance in others. PUC should not have accepted it, so certificate not cancelled on that ground.

Re: Champa 3 Auto Livery

Decision no. 11713 Case 4687 - 1938

# INTERCHANGE OF FREIGHT (M)

Common with Private. Common Carrier cannot interchange freight with himself as private carrier, and accept through shipments.

Re: Read Truck Line

Decision No. 10211 Case 1608 - 1937

# INTERCHANGE POINTS

PUC establishes freight interchange points; cannot supply through service to all points on line.

Re: Harpster

Decision No. 11748 Appl. 1489 - 1938

# INTERCHANGE WITH CARRIERS

Unauthorized extension of route. Common with common. Private with Common. Private with Private. Carrier ordered to desist. Rehearing, 9673.

Re: McKay Truck Line

Decision No. 9478 Cites 8386 - 1937

# INTERLINING

Commission policy. Interchange of freight and interlining of passengers will be required before granting new certificates.

Re:	Amarillo-Denver Bus Co.	Decision No. 17203
		Appl. 5517 - 1931

#### INTERLINING AND THROUGH RATE

Line-haul and call and demand. Not permissible for freight to be interlined between line-haul and call and demand carrier on through rates.

Re: Miller

Decision No. 14187 Appl. 5057 - 1939

#### INTERMEDIATE POINTS

#### Clarification of Permit

Rehearing granted pending Supreme Court review of PUC holding that intermediate points were authorized. See 102 Colo. 211.

Re: McKay Decision No. 10474 Case 1622 - 1937

Since respondent did not file petition for clarification in time, he is ordered to cease serving intermediate points.

Re: Barr

Decision No. 12280 Case 4675 - 1938

## INTERPRETATION OF COMMISSION ORDER

Commission will interpret orders granting certificates, as to routes and type of haul.

Re: Swena

Decision No. 16857 Appl. 2138 - 1941

## INTERSTATE CARRIER

Basis for granting intrastate authority. Commission will not grant any intrastate authority that will not stand on its own feet.

Re: Amarillo-Denver Bus Co. Decision No. 17203 Appl. 5517 - 1941

# INTERSTATE CERTIFICATE

May be denied if highway congestion great. Since highway congestion not bad, certificate issued on interstate business.

Re:	Grover C.	Jacobsen	Decision No.	5092
			<b>⊅</b> pp1. 2112 -	1933

#### INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Burden on

See "Abandonment of Intrastate Line"

Re: C & S Ry. Co.

Decision No. 10871 Case 2037 - 1937 **INTERSTATE COMMERCE (Continued)** 

# PUC Jurisdiction

See "Jurisdiction"

Re: Sightseeing

Decision No. 16701 Case 4762 - 1941

# Taxes on, by Colorado

See same decision indexed under "Taxes."

Re: Los Angeles-Albuquerque Express Decision No. 11409 Case 4673 - 1938

# INTERSTATE OPERATION

As Basis for Intrastate Permit. Intrastate authority not granted automatically over interstate route; public necessity considered. Present here.

Re: Cardinal Stage

# Decision No. 5843 Appl. 2174 - 1934

# JOINT OWNERS OF CERTIFICATE

Splitting certificate. One of several joint owners may dispose of his interest, or forfeit it.

Re: Oberwortman

Decision No. 12063 Case 4682 - 1938

# JOINT THROUGH RATE

On two services of one owner, Owner cannot make one route out of two consecutive routes, and PUC will not let him do it by establishing a through rate.

Re: Woods

Decision No. 21234 Appl. 1436-AB, et al - 1943

# JURISDICTION

# Abandonment (R) I I C C Paramount

PUC cannot prevent abandonment of intrastate branch where the operating loss is so great as to put a burden on interstate commerce, where ICC has authorized it.

Re: C & S Ry. Co.

Decision No. 10871 Case 2037 - 1937 JURISDICTION (Continued)

# Air Carriers

Air carriers are common	carriers and PUC has	given certificates since 1929
Re: Colo. Recreational	Airways	Decision No. 24538 Appl. 6716 - 1945
Air Transport		

Airplanes are common carriers under PUC as to intrastate scheduled flights. Certificate issued.

Re: L. E. Smith Decision No. 22444 Appl. 6546 - 1944

# Alter Orders

Section 49 of Ch. 127 gives PUC this power

Re: Trone Decision No. 11623 Case 1996 - 1938

See "Rescinding Orders"

Re: Gately Decision No. 12235 Appl. 3977 - 1938

# C.O.D. Rules

Carrier cannot be compelled to give COD service. If he gives it, he must follow PUC rules. Certificate revoked or \$200 fine.

Re:	Ramstetter	Decision No. 10767
		Case 4650

# Constitutionality of Laws

PUC will not refuse to hear case on ground that act creating respondent was unconstitutional.

Re:	Lakewood	Sanitation	District	Decision No.	. 25747
				Case 4934 -	1946

# Construe own Orders

PUC may construe and clarify its prior rate orders.

Re: Borden Decision No. 13746 Appl. 4746 - 1939

# JURISDICTION (Continued)

#### Contracts

Where U.S. and City of Golden had contract for water, complaint based on that contract not under PUC jurisdiction.

Re:	U.S.	Government vs.	G <b>ol</b> den	Decision No. 21998
				Case 4911 - 1944

# During Appeal

If no stay order, PUC decision still in effect.

Re:	Pikes Peak Livery	Decision No. 16949
	•	Cases 4835 - 1941

# Inactive Carriers

Where carrier ceased operating as such, PUC had no subsequent jurisdiction over its contracts, etc.

Re:	Rupert Borden	Decision No. 16064
		Appl. 4746 - 1940

# Limit Number of Vehicles in Sightseeing Operation

PUC holds it has jurisdiction. Cites many cases.

Re:	C. W.	Davis	Decision No. 18577
			Case 4867 - 1942

# Municipalities

No jurisdiction to determine contracts between parties and municipalities.

Re: City of Golden	Decision No. 17291 Case 4860 - 1941
Where Denver sells water outside city, it is function and subject to PUC jurisdiction.	engaged in proprietary
Re: City and County of Denver	Decision No. 9832 Case 1994 - 1937
Negligent Operation - Revocation of Permit	

Decision No. 11598 Case 4685 - 1938

# Over Interstate Commerce

See "Negligent Operation"

PUC will not circumscribe itself by a rule eliminating interstate commerce. I.C. Act is all the limit they will accept.

Re:	Sightseeing		Decision No. 16701
		50	Case 4762 - 1941

# JURISDICTION (Continued)

#### Over REA

PUC did not pass on it, but allowed transfer of property to REA.

Re: Western Colorado Power Decision No. 18147 Appl. 5640 - 1942

#### Private Contracts

PUC has no jurisdiction to determine contract rights. If two claim to be sole transferees, courts must settle it. Transfer authorized by PUC.

Re: Morgan

# Decision No. 13734 Appl. 1619 - 1939

# Railroad Contract

Where RR had contract with school board to maintain a foot-bridge the question is one of contractual liability over which the Commission has no jurisdiction.

Re: C & S Ry. Co. Decision No. 15345 -Case 4781 - 1940

# Transfer Certificate after Death of Owner

Certificate does not expire on death of owner. PUC must confirm action of Probate Court in setting over a certificate.

Re: William Irvine

Decision No. 22323 Appl. 637 - 1944

# JURISDICTION RETAINED

Application for Certificate (M). PUC retains jurisdiction of application for certificate, to be reopened if territory shows need for second common carrier.

Re: Cozza Decision No. 10170 Appl. 3926 - 1937

## LEAP-FROG OPERATION

Not authorized to interstate carrier.

Re:	Amarillo-Denver	Bus	Co.	Decision No.	17203
				Appl. 5517 -	1941

PUC prefers interchange business to benefit carriers rather than leap-frog business.

Re:	Frank	Miller	Decision	No.	17902
			App1. 12	61 -	1941

# LEAP-FROG OPERATION (Continued)

PUC will give certificates to carriers in the field who will interchange with others, rather than a new through service, "leap-frogging" operations of existing carriers.

Re: E. L. Miller	Decision No. 24879 Appl. 7040 - 1945
PUC will not allow leap-frog operations.	Freight interchange required.
Re: Harpster	Decision No. 11748 Appl. 1489 - 1938

## LEASE OF CERTIFICATE

Partial lease. PUC does not allow lease of part of certificate in this case.

Re: Sorenson

Decision No. 25800 Appl. 1404 - 1946

# PUC Approval Required

Where operator leased equipment without Commission approval, and carried on no other operation, permit cancelled.

Re: Wingo Decision No. 11907 Case 4697 - 1938

## PUCRules

By Supp. Rule 47, carriers prohibited from leasing equipment to lessees other than duly authorized carriers for hire.

Decision No. 11498 Misc. Docket 39-General Order - 1938

#### TON-Mile Tax

See "Taxes, Ton-Mile."

Re: Ris and Co.

Decision No. 5401 Case 1178 - 1933

## LEASE OF PERMIT

Revocability. Lessor can revoke prior to Commission's approval.

Decisi	on	No.	911	4	
App1.	369	4-PP	'-A	-	1936

# LICENSE, PERMIT AS

See "Permit, Nature of"

Re: Harry Russell

# LIMITATION ON REPARATION

Two-year limit. Complaint as to unreasonable rate is also a request for reparation. You do not need a "claim for reparation."

Re:	Granada vs.	Lamar	Decision No. 5352
			Case 729 - 1933

#### LIMITED CERTIFICATE

"Duration and Three Months." Certificate limited to "Duration" for taxi service from Camp Carson.

Re: Colorado Springs Taxi Co. Decision No. 21053 Appls. 6283, et als. - 1943

# LINE-HAUL CARRIERS

# Duplicating Service

Not granted unless positive need shown.

Re:	Frank W.	Miller	Decis	ion No.	17902
			Appl.	1261 -	1941

Where livestock concerned, call and demand does not need to prove present service inadequate as strongly as line-haul applicant needs to do.

Re: Reinhardt

Decision No. 19168 Appl. 5921 - 1942

#### Not Authorized under Certificate

Where line-haul carriers are operating, it is desirable to extend that service, rather than to authorize a new service.

Re: E. L. Miller

# Decision No. 24879 Appl. 7040 - 1945

# Protected by PUC

PUC must protect common carrier line haulers, and where present service adequate, and loss of truck-load lots would hurt carrier, private permit denied.

Re:	Stron	Decision No. 13858
		App1. 3304 - 1939

# LINKING CERTIFICATES

Same owner. Owner must get separate authority for through route; cannot combine several individual certificates.

Re: Woods

Decision No. 18157 Appl. 1436-AB, et al - 1942

# LIVESTOCK CERTIFICATE

Favored by PUC. Call and demand. Call and demand for livestock does not require that present service be inadequate, as much as line-haul does.

Re: Reinhardt Decision No. 19168 Appl. 5921 - 1942

# LIVESTOCK PERMITS

Commission policy. Commission is liberal in granting livestock permits even where overlapping authority, but no inadequacy of service here, so permit denied.

Re: M. Rains Decision No. 17385 Appl. 5631 - 1941

# LOCAL SERVICE

Call and Demand

Even where overlapping of territory, Leadville needs local service; certificate issues.

Re:	Harold Partridge	Decision No. 19323
		Appl. 5035-AB - 1942

# Resident Carrier

Each community should have resident common carrier. Certificate issues.

Nelson	Decision No. 19516
	Appl. 5924 - 1942

#### MAIL ROUTE

Re:

Impairing Common Carrier. Even though mail carrier should have the freight, too, fact that present carrier would be impaired necessitates denial of certificate.

Re: Ward Decision No. 13446 Appl. 4826 - 1939

# MANAGERIAL DISCRETION

Agency Station Abandonment. Unless arbitrary act, decision to abandon station is in exercise of discretion.

Re:	UPRRCo.	Decision No. 19029		
		I & S 244, Appl. 5914 - 1942		

#### MANAGERIAL EXPENSE

What proper in Electric Utility. Such payments are justified where services are necessary and fees no greater than independent agency or utility's own personnel.

Re:	Colorado	Utilities	Decision	No.	14528
			Case 466	4 - '	1939

# METER DEPOSITS

Interest must be paid if PUC rule so provides.

Re: Montezuma P & L

Decision No. 16604 Case 4812 - 1941

Decision No. 10768 Case 4652 - 1937

# MILK CARRIER, HOLDING TITLE

As carrier for hire. "C" permit holder, "buying" milk and "selling" to dairy, held a carrier, for hire, and passage of title a subterfuge and evasion of law. Permit cancelled.

Re: Brady and France

# MONOPOLY, IN CERTIFICATES

Sightseeing operations. No monopoly in Pikes Peak sightseeing business, and besides, regulated monopoly is purpose of PUC.

Re: Conwill

Decision No. 26350 Appl. 7456 - 1946

Regulated monopoly in certificates is now the law; where private permit would take business from public carrier, permit denied where public service adequate. People cannot compete freely in this field.

> Decision No. 16853 Appl. 4378 - 1941

# MONOPOLY, POSITION OF UTILITY

Re: J. C. Hansen

Gives rise to commensurate public duty.

Re: Glenwood Light Co.

Decision No. 5398 Case 1138 - 1933

## MORAL QUALIFICATIONS

Violation of law. See "Violation of Law" -- Not necessarily conclusive that applicant not fit and proper.

Re:	L. E.	Reinhard	Decision No. 19168	
			Appl. 5921 - 1942	

# MOTION TO DISMISS APPLICATION

Where no showing in hearing. Motion granted as to part of application, but hearing proceeded on remainder of case for certificate.

Re:	Haines Motor	Freight	Decisi	on No.	17737
			Appl.	5339 -	1941

#### MOTION TO DISMISS CASE

No service on carrier. Motion to dismiss denied, where no service on carrier represented by Association. At most, it could only appear and protest; not get\_application dismissed.

Re:	Gately	Decis	ion No.	10994
		App1.	3977 -	1937

## MOTION TO STRIKE

Time of filing. Motions and pleadings may be filed any time up to hearing.

Re:	Trone	Decision No. 11623
		Case 1996 - 1938

## MOTOR CARRIERS

# Certificates

Denied where adequate service by other common carriers, or present carriers will correct inadequacies.

Re:	Henry Dressel	Decision No. 9509
		Appl. 3617 - 1937

Extension denied because of adequate service by other common carriers.

Re:	John Stickler	Decision No. 9212
		Appls. 2449-B, 2451-B - 1937

No Competing certificates unless volume justifies or service inadequate.

Re:	Leamon Resler	Decision No. 9213
		Appl. 1487-AB - 1937

## Connecting with Rail

See "Auxiliary Service"

Re: Rio Grande Motor Way, Inc. Decision No. 16396 Appl. 5463 - 1941

# Permits

# Application for:

Impairment of existing common carrier service. "B" permit denied where need slight, and existing service impaired.

Re: J. W. King Decision No. 9441 Appl. 3744-PP - 1937

Combining Contiguous Permits - (Same owner)

Unlawful without Commission approval

Re: Southwestern Transportation Co. vs. Decision No. 9511 J. R. Marks Truck Line Case 1992 - 1937

#### Denied:

"Back-haul" authority. "B" permit denied in part. Adequate service by common carrier.

Re: J. D. Haynie Decision No. 9101 Appl. 3654-PP - 1936

"A" permit denied. Adequate service by common carrier.

Re: Alvie Cummings Decision No. 9056 Appl. 3562-PP - 1936

"B" permit denied. Adequate service by common carrier.

Rio Grande Coal Co. Decision No. 9100 Appl. 3663-PP - 1936

# Extended

Necessity of shipper vs. impairment of common carrier. "B" permit extended where impairment only slight.

```
Re: Bennie Goldstein Decision No. 9231
Appl. 3222-PP-B - 1937
```

# Granted

Objections of competing carriers. "B" permit granted as to articles on which competitors did not object.

Re: B. H. Harms Decision No. 9157 Appl. 3458-PP - 1936 MOTOR CARRIERS (Continued)

### Public Entitled to Service

Even if public can get along without service, public convenience may still require it. Public demands truck as well as rail service.

Re: Frank Miller

Decision No. 11853 Appl. 1261 - 1938

#### Suspension of Permit

#### Exceeding Authority

30-day suspension for carrying unauthorized back-haul at lower rates.

Re:	J. B.	Tague	Decision No. 9603
			Case 2007 - 1937

# Violation of Rules

"A" permit suspended, or fine in lieu thereof.

Re:	George Wood	Decision No. 9146
	•	Case 1619 - 1936

#### MUNICIPAL WATER SERVICE

Jurisdiction of Commission in "Fringe Territory." Modifies original Denver opinion. Holds city a contractor, not a public utility.

Re:	City and County of	of Denver	Decision No. 10497
	•		Case 1994 - 1937

#### MUNICIPALITY

## Extending into fringe area, where utility service exists

City cannot take over fringe area where private utility is giving adequate service.

Re: La Junta Decision No. 18070 Appl. 5619 - 1941

Denver is utility when engaging in proprietary function, as opposed to governmental function; cannot cut off water customers outside City Limits.

Re:	City and County of Denver	Decision No. 9832
		Case <b>19</b> 94 - 1937

#### PUC Jurisdiction

Lamar vs. Wiley cited.

Re: Granada vs. Lamar

# MUNICIPALITY (Continued)

# Water Utilities

Commission jurisdiction discussed.

Re: Pueblo	Decision No. 6459 Case 1384 - 1935
See "Jurisdiction of PUC" - Contracts	
Re: U.S. Government vs. City of Golden.	Decision No. 21998 Case 4911 - 1944

## Where there is contract only

Where no showing of utility service, Commission refused to take jurisdiction of Golden.

Re:	City of	Golden	Decision	No.	17291
	Ū.		Case 4860	) - 1	941

## NATIONAL PARKS

PUC Jurisdiction. PUC has no jurisdiction to allow service through or into Rocky Mountain National Park.

Re: Snell Decision No. 13287 Appl. 736 - 1939

# NEEDS OF CARRIER

Not decisive. Public need is controlling factor, not necessities of carrier.

Re: Haines Motor Freight Decision No. 17737 Appl. 5339 - 1941

# NEGLIGENT OPERATION OF VEHICLE

PUC has no jurisdiction to hear negligence suits or revoke permits ("C") because of negligence (if proved) in operating vehicle.

Re: Moore

# Decision No. 11598 Case 4685 - 1938

## NEW CUSTOMERS

City water system. Whether city a public utility or not, it cannot add more customers without injury to present customers, so application of new user denied.

Re:	Dr.	Shea	Decision No. 11508
			Case 4672 - 1938

# "NON-ASSIGNABLE" CERTIFICATE

Clause is valid. Commission has power to put "non-assignable" clause in certificate and will not remove it in the absence of showing.

Re: S. L. Rodgers Decision No. 15858 Appl. 2061 - 1940

#### NON-PROFIT CO-OPS

As Public Utilities. Co-ops public utilities and PUC has jurisdiction.

Re: San Miguel Decision No. 20831 Case 4809 - 1943

#### NON-USER

Abandonment

Private permit with state-wide authority held not abandoned as to products or territory not hauled or served, in absence of direct evidence.

Re: Loyal M. Morgan Decision No. 24546 Appl. 6723 - 1945

Non-user alone is not abandonment if no intent; even for six months. Rule 9 does not require this.

Re: Wheeler

Decision No. 12047 Case 4681 - 1938

Where all 11 years, carrier used only 2 of 7 authorized vehicles, he is held to have abandoned authority above 2 vehicles. Decision also based on operation without insurance with the cancellation as a penalty.

Re: Rocky Mtn. Motor; Fallico Decision No. 15200 Case 4744 - 1940

# NOTICE OF HEARINGS

No Prejudice

Where competitor did not receive notice, but appeared in later hearings involving certificate, and evidence showed no prejudice, decision rendered anyway.

Re:	Troy Denton	Decision No.	13796
		App1. 2355 -	1939

# On Application for Permit

Where no notice given to carrier, motion to dismiss denied where no showing it was entitled to service. At most, it could appear and protest

Re:	Gately	Decis	ion No.	10994
		Appl.	3977 -	1937

# OBJECTION BY COMMON CARRIER TO PRIVATE PERMIT

See "Impairment of Common Carrier. Personal Opinion of Carrier." Decision No. 10940 Re: Harper Appl. 4164 - 1937 O.D.T. POLICY On New Authority. State Commission authority required, but ODT had different test -- whether it furthers War Effort. Re: Hardrick Decision No. 22446 Appl. 6535 - 1944 OFFICE OF CAB COMPANY Limited Site. Office limited to Five-Points Area at applicant's request. Re: Ritz Cab Co. Decision No. 19110 Appl. 5869 - 1942 OIL PIPE LINE Certificate as Common Carrier. Rangely Field held entitled to pipe line to connect with Wyoming line, over protest of trucker. Decision No. 22977 Re: Utah Oil Refining Co. Appl. 6734 - 1944 OIL WELL MACHINERY Private Permit. Where special knowledge, equipment and skill required. oil well driller given permit to carry machinery even where authorized carriers want business. Re: Manning and Martin Decision No. 12734 App1. 4836 - 1938 "ON CALL" SERVICE Substituted for Regular Service. Commission allows weekly freight to be cancelled, due to ICC suggestion and War Effort. Re: U. P. R. R. Co. Decision No. 21031 Appl. 6330, I & S 250 = 1943 "ONE DAY" Definition. "One Day" means from sun-up to sunset, or at most, from midnight to midnight, not any twenty-four-hour period. Re: Pikes Peak Livery Decision No. 15295

I.and S. 232 - 1940

oincountingsop optimistic figure installand in the installand	
Constitutes goods "to be sold," requiring tax and	i Commercial Permit.
	Decision No. 18992 Case 4846 - 1942
OVERLAPPING OF TERRITORY	
May be proper. O.K. where whole public needs ser	vice.
Re: Harold Partridge	Decision No. 19323 Appl. 5035-AB - 1942
No objection to carriers competing in overlapping	territory.
Re: Fred Rein	Decision No. 11777 Cases 4684, 4686 - 1938
PARTIES TO PUC CASES	
Who must be parties. Where complaint requests wa utility to have all its customers made parties is	
Re: Brookside	Decision No. 13852 Case 4755 - 1939
PARTNERS	
Splitting certificate. See "Joint Owners"	
Re: Oberwortman	Decision No. 12063 Case 4682 - 1938
PASSENGER SERVICE	
Discontinuance of Narrow Guage. Where only 2, 4, trains, and operating loss sustained on branch an require operation of passenger train.	
Re: Rio Grande (Ouray, etc.)	Decision No. 16108 Appl. 5461 - 1940
PASSENGER SERVICE IN COMPETITION WITH RAILROAD	
Commission denies application to give C & S time	to put new train into Alma.
Re: Auto Rental Service	Decision No. 6503 Appl. 2309 - 1935
PENALTY, MONETARY	
PUC Jurisdiction. PUC has power to assess penalt	les.
Re: Resler	Decision No. 12654 Case 4671 - 1938
Ek	

# (PRIVATE) PERMITS

# "A" Permits

Illegal to establish through route or rate on two separate permits (same owner) or contiguous routes, without Commission approval.

Re:	Southwestern Transportation Co. vs.	Decision No. 9511	
	J. R. Marks Truck Line	Case 1992 - 1937	

#### Applicant owning interest in Shipping Company

Permit extended to include hauling from mine owned 1/10 by applicant, although sufficient service present.

Re:	Bender Brothers	Decision No. 9914
		Appl. 2566 - 1937

#### Nature of

Only a revocable permit; no rights on the highways are given.

McKay Lines. Rehearing.	Decision No. 9673
	App1. 3357-PP-B - 1937

Only a license, but requires carrier actually to carry, not make contracts to carry, customer's goods.

Re: De La Salle Decision No. 9496 Appl. 3350-PP-AA - 1937

#### Previous Illegal Operation

Where applicant operated for three years illegally, permit denied.

Re:	De Priest	Decision No. 11712
		App <b>1. 4468 - 193</b> 8

#### PETROLEUM CARRIERS

No need for certificate. Where private permit and railroad service adequate, certificate will be denied.

Re: Barlow

Decision NO. 12252 Appl. 4528 - 1938

#### PICK-UP AND DELIVERY SERVICE

Railway Express Agency. Constitutes public utility and certificate required.

Re:	Railway	Express	Agency	Decision No.	22128
				App1. 5901 -	1944

65.

# PIPE LINE AS COMMON CARRIER

See "Oil Pipe Line"

Re: Utah Refining Co.

Decision NO. 22977 Appl. 6734 - 1944

#### PREFERENCE BETWEEN APPLICANTS

Extension over new authority. Present operator preferred over new authority.

Decision No. 19239 Appl. 5961 - 1942

## PREFERENCE IN CERTIFICATES, GROUNDS

Where only one authority is to issue between two applicants, choice is made on basis of priority of application; occupation of territory, superior equipment, financial condition, operating experience.

Re: Starks Stages Decision No. 22347 Appl. 6604 - 1944

#### PREFERENTIAL RATES

Re: Moberly

On Electricity to REA. Lower rate on wholesale electricity to REA -- justified on basis of ability to pay, advantages to public, and right of other utilities to charge lower rates.

Re: Highland Utilities

#### PRIOR APPLICATION (M)

Given consideration. Where applicants about equal, first application given some consideration in deciding.

Re: Colman

Decision No. 8387 Appls. 3155, 968-AB, 3261 - 1936

# PRIVATE CARRIER

#### Definition

Where, under contract, applicant sold lumber to a company, and agreed to transport it to points of resale, he is carrier for hire, not commercial carrier.

Re: Joe Chavez

Decision No. 22578 Appl. 6635 - 1944

Decision No. 20832 Case 4891 - 1943

#### Grounds for

Where proposed operation is directly in competition with common carrier service, a clear showing must be made as to sufficient business existing to justiry the additional service.

Re:	Henry Schaeffer	Decision No. 25180
	-	Appl. 7107 - 1945

# PRIVATE PERMITS (Continued)

# Grounds for (Continued)

It must be shown that presently-authorized common carrier cannot give adequate service to shipper before permit will issue.

Re: Renaud

Decisi	ion No.	. 21042	
Appl.	5278-F	P-BBB -	1943

## Granted to Holder of Certificate - Prevention of Commingling

Permit granted on same route only where different commodities carried and different shippers served with separate equipment.

ion No. 9403
Case 4955
933-E-506
. B. Faus)

#### Impairment of Common Carrier

Permit issued to insure farmers of adequate trucks for perishable crops, even if some impairment present.

Re:	Sosa	Decision	No.	10092
		App1. 393	31 -	1937

# Issuance to Common Carrier

Allowed with reluctance and under safeguards to keep separate, because shippers without service otherwise.

Re:	Anderson	Decision No.	11368
		App <b>1.</b> 4309	

#### New Business

Permit granted over objection where shipper will get own trucks if permit denied, and nobody will get the business.

Re:	Callbeck	Decision No. 1	13768
		App1. 5020 - 1	939

# Operating as Common

Permit denied, in part for the reason that proposed operations would be common in character.

Re:	Fornoff	Decision No.	15258
		App1. 4995 - 1	1940

## Rights and Duties

Colorado cases discussed.

Re: Haines Motor Freight

Decision No. 17737 Appl. 5339 - 1941 PRIVATE CARRIER (Continued)

### Special Service

Permit granted where shipper needs and applicant will furnish flexible, individualized, specialized service to excelsior factory and oil well drillers.

Re:	Roesner	Decis	ion No.	15333
		Appl.	3642 -	1940

# Supplement Mail Contract

No public necessity shown; no showing that common carrier would not be impaired; so no permit to aid unremunerative mail contract.

Re:	McMichael	Decision No. 1	2658
		App1. 4843 - 19	938

# PROBATE OF CERTIFICATE

Certificate may be inherited, but PUC must confirm action of Probate Court.

Re:	William Irvine	Decision No. 22323
		Appl. 637 - 1944

#### PROBATE OF ESTATE BEFORE TRANSFER OF PERMIT OF DECEDENT

Required where question raised as to heir and debts.

Re:	Cora E.	James	Decisi	on No.	17312
			Appl.	849 - 1	1941

# PROBATIONARY PERIOD TO SHRIVE VIOLATIONS

Where applicant has disobeyed law, he is not permanently barred from getting certificate, and after long probation, if he is otherwise qualified, certificate can issue.

Re: Richardson

Decision No. 12350 Appl. 4370 - 1938

## PROCEDURE BEFORE PUC

Rules of Practice Amended. Rule 1(b), 1(f), 1(g), 1(k), 1 (m), Rule 2(c), 3(c) Rule 8 (b), 8(d), etc., amended.

> Decision No. 25065 General Order 49 - 1945

# PROCESSING MATERIALS

No exemption under Commercial Carrier Act. Ore is "to be sold" even though it is refined.

Re: Vanadium Corporation

Decisions Nos. 18992, 17400 CASE 4846 - 1942=

 $\sim$ 

# PROPERTY RIGHT IN CERTIFICATE

Certificate may be inherited, and PUC can confirm Probate Court heirship.

Re:	William Irvine	Decision No. 22323
		Appl. 637 - 1944

# PROTECTING COMMON CARRIER

Farmer's Interests Considered. Private carrier given back-haul to farms, but no authority to serve cities where line-haul common carrier operates.

Re:	J.	L.	Robinson	Decis	ion No.	15091
				Appl.	2806 -	1940

Private Permit denied where adequate common carrier service given by carriers complying with law.

Re:	Guthridge	Decision No. 10882
		Appl. 4074 - 1937

# PROTESTANTS TO AIR SERVICE MUST SHOW GROUNDS

Where no protestant shows he is ready to inaugurate and maintain service immediately, and there is a present need, certificate will issue.

Re:	Marvin	Jackson	Decis	ion No.	22508
			Appl.	6424 -	1944

# "PUBLIC" - DEFINITION

"Public" does not mean everybody all the time; it means so considerable a portion of people that it becomes public.

Re: San Miguel

Decision No. 21239 Case 4809 - 1943

# PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

Adequacy of existing carriers

Where existing common carriers have adequate equipment and service, no new certificate granted, even though others are operating illegally.

Re:	Eitemiller	Decision No. 13787
		App1. 5002 - 1939

# Air Transport

PUC will consider national picture when granting intrastate authority.

Re:	Marvin Jackso	n l	Decisi	ion No.	22508
			App1.	6424 -	1944

# PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY (Continued)

## Colored People

Certificate issued for Denver fringe area to cab company hauling mostly colored people.

Re:	Ritz	Cab	Decis	ion No.	19110
			App1.	5869 -	1942

# Final Test on Certificate

Limited certificate issued where only a few shippers would benefit and present carrier service impaired.

Re:	Choate	Decisi	on No.	10706
		Appl.	1266 -	1937

# Limited Certificate (M) Issued

Protection of certificated carriers must bow to public convenience and necessity.

ReL	J.	s.	Wright	Decis	ion No.	10647
				Appl.	4055 -	1937

# Must be Shown Affirmatively

Applicant's desires not considered; new certificate is based on public need; and if present carriers can make service adequate, they will be prefered.

Re:	Conwill	Decision No.	26350
		App1. 7456 -	1946

# Not Satisfied - Certificate Denied

Where adequate service in field, additional authority denied.

Re:	С.	Μ.	Davis	Decis	ion No.	17483
				App1.	5378 -	1941

# Shwing Required

Applicant must show affirmatively that public needs the service, and where parallel service asked for, must show it is inadequate and unsatisfactory and cannot be improved.

Re:	Haines	Motor	Freight	Decis	ion No.	17737
			-	Appl.	5339 -	1941

70.

# War Conditions

War amends definition of public convenience and necessity; extension not ordered.

Denver Tramway

Decision 20138 Case 4901 - 1942

# PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY (Continued)

#### What Constitutes

Fact that public can get along without service does not mean there is not public convenience and necessity. Public demands truck as well as rail transportation.

Re: Frank Miller

Decision No. 11853 Appl. 1261 - 1938

# PUBLIC UTILITY

#### Co-op as

REA is public utility and must get certificate from PUC

Re: San Miguel Decision No. 20831 Case 4809 - 1943

# Municipality as

Denver is utility where operating outside city limits, and subject to jurisdiction of Commission in this proprietary function.

Re:	City	and	County	of	Denver	Decision No. 9832
	-		-			Case 1994 - 1937
						(Rehearing)

New Customers

See "New Customers"

Decision No. 11508 Case 4672 - 1938

#### PULLMANS, ABANDONMENT

Where revenue not sufficient, sleeping car service on two trains is allowed abandoned.

Re: D & R G W R R Co.

Decision No. 13307 Case 4705 - 1939

# RAILROAD

# Discontinuance of Passenger Trains

Lack of revenue. Minor inconvenience to public. Discontinuance of trains allowed.

Re: C R I & P Decision No. 9320 I & S 217 - 1937

71.

# RAILWAY EXPRESS AGENCY

Held public utility. Certificate (limited) issued to REA for pick-up and delivery service.

Re: Railway Express Agency

Decision No. 22128 Appl. 5901 - 1944

# RATE CASE

# Discrimination

Rates found too high; new figures called for.

Re:	Montezuma	Power	and	Light		Decision	No.	166	504
					1	Case No.	4812	-	1941

# Discussion of all factors

Re:	Home Gas	and	Electric	Decision No.	5803
				Case 1074 -	1934

# Fair Value

Discussion of all factors and a finding of value and rate.

Re:	Colorado Utilities	Decision No. 14528
		Case 4664 - 1939

#### On Remand

Commission amends order after appeal from rate case.

Re:	Colorado Utilities	Decision No. 19741
		Case 4664 - 1942

# Water

Discusses, rate base, expense, depreciation, market value, rate of return.

Re:	Indian Hills	Decision No. 16983
		Appl. 5462 - 1941

# RATE ORDER

Collateral attack in Show Cause Order not allowed. Respondent in Show Cause. Proceeding could not show violations by other carriers.

Re:	John Shull	Decision 9418
		Case 1839 - 1937

## RATES

## A and B Permit

A must charge same as common carrier; B must charge 120% of common carrier rate, as must call and demand common carrier.

Re:	George Marshall	Decision No. 10891
		Appl. 4224 - 1937

RATES (Continued)

# Change In

Supplemental order approving motor carrier rates.

Re:	Motor Assn.	Decision No. 11872
		Case 1585 - 1938

### Compensatory

Where rate, if reduced, will allow new competition among coal mines, this is not alone a ground for its suspendsion.

Re:	D & S L Ry.	Co.	Decision No. 8471
	-		Case 1834 - 1936

## Electric

Rates too high in past not a basis for too low rates in future.

Re:	Glenwood Light Co.	Decision No. 5398
		Case 1138 - 1933

# Excessive

Interpretation of Tariff. PUC interprets tariff and orders rebate on fire clay haul.

Re:	Rio Grande Motor	Way, Inc.	Decision No. 25912
		-	Case 4937 - 1946

# Express

Increase allowed. Where ICC raised rates, PUC follows on intrastate where the increased revenue is only .68%.

Re: REA Decision No. 13223 Appl. 4960 - 1939

#### Gas

When not too low. Coal dealer's complaint that industrial gas rates are too low dismissed. Large users help defray overhead.

Re:	City	of	Colorado	Springs	Decision No	. 5850	6
					Case 1387 -	1934	

# Lega1

Tariffs on file. No rate is legal and binding, even if in PUC order, until tariff is filed with PUC; carriage with no such tariff is under private contract.

Re:	Borden	Decision No.	13746
		Appl. 4746 -	1939

Legal (Continued)	
Violation by mistake. Private carrier order	ed to collect undercharges
Re: Edward Martin	Decision No. 9477 Case 2002 - 1937
Metropolitan Denver	
PUC rates, charges, classifications, rules a by Motor vehicle shall not apply to an area miles of Denver.	
Re: General Investigation	Decision No. 12387 Case 1585 - 1938
Penalty for Late Payment of Bill	
Valid if filed with PUC; electric service.	
Re: Mo <b>n</b> tezuma Power and Light	Decision No. 16604 Case 4812 - 1941
PUC Finding	
Valuation of property and rate-fixing case.	
Re: Glenwood Light Co.	Decision No. 5398 Case 1138 - 1933
Railroad	
Equalization - where rates to Telluride from were not equal, but neither was unjust or un to maintain differential to meet truck compe	reasonable, Railroad allowed
Re: The D & R G W R R Co.	Decision No. 21181 Case 4904 - 1943
Increase to conform to ICC - PUC increases rasing sugar beets, limerock, molasses and concentration	
Re: Class I Railroads	Decision No.11854 Appl. 4487 - 1938
Exparts 148 - Intrastate Rates increased to s in 148.	same extent as ICC allowed

Decision No. 18528 Appl. 5819 - 1942

# Reasonableness

Sphere of Discretion. Where a disparity exists, but neither rate unreasonable, Railroad will be allowed to maintain a differential from Durango and Grand Junction to Telluride.

Re: The D & R G W R R Co.

Decision No. 21181

RATES (Continued)

Return on Improvident Investment

See "Improvident Investment"

Re: Glenwood Light Co.

Decision No. 5398 Case 1138 - 1933

# Sightseeing

# Increase Denied

Where one carrier protested increased rates, and record as to costs not made, application to increase rates denied.

Re:	Pikes Peak Sightseeing	Decision No. 25251
	τ τ	Case 4933 - 1945

# Insufficient Rates Not Allowed

Where no evidence presented to justify lower rates, and record shows that the carriers are barely breaking even, no reduction in rates allowed.

Re: Cadillac Sightseeing Decision No. 6479 Cases 1167, 1170 - 1935

# Telephone

For Hand Sets. Stipulation by PUC and Telephone Company regarding charges for hand sets.

Re:	Mountain	States	Т	&	Т	Co.	Decision No. 11708
							Case 4677 - 1938

## Undercharges

PUC jurisdiction over previous orders. See "Jurisdiction - Inactive Carriers."

Re: Rupert Borden

Decision No. 16064 Appl. 4746 - 1940

# Undercharge and Overcharge

\$150	penalty	and	requirement	that	restitution	or	collection be made.
Re:	Resler						cision No. 12078 se 4671, 4676 - 1938

# Unreasonable

No need to make valuation to determine one rate unreasonable when it is out of line with general rate structure.

Re:	Granada	vs.	Lamar	Decision	No	).	5352
				Case 729	-	19	)33

#### RAILROAD STATION

Abandonment - Allowed on lack of revenue

Re: A T & S F Ry. Co. Decision No. 9097 Appl. 3681 - 1936

#### REA

# Amending Articles

Transfer of property allowed on condition that REA would subject itself to PUC jurisdiction and amend its Articles.

Re:	Highland	Utilities	Decisi	on No.	21708
	-		App1.	1271 -	1943

Transfer of utility property authorized on condition REA come under PUC and amend its Articles.

Re;	Eagle River	Electric	Co.	Decis	ion No.	21764
	. •			Appl.	2135 -	1943

# Applicant for Certificate

Where Power Company in Rangely wants to serve oil fields and adjacent ranches, it gets certificate over REA, which was not created, says PUC, to serve towns or oil fields.

Re: White River REA

Decision No. 26298 Appl. 7571 - 1946

#### Competing with Municipality as Public Utility

City given certificate in area served by co-op on ground that REA said it was not a utility; PUC says no competition.

Re: Lamar

Decision No. 21392 Appl. 5913 - 1943

### Complaint of Town

Withdrawn in view of 36k regarding co-operative electric utilities.

Decision No. 24468 Case 4923 - 1945

#### Jurisdiction of PUC

Re: Town of Fountain

REA is a public utility, and PUC has jurisdiction.

Re:	San Miguel	Assn.	De	ecision	No.	20831
			. Ce	<b>a</b> se 480	9 - '	1943

REA (Continued)

# Jurisdiction of PUC (continued)

Transfer of property allowed, although claim made that PUC has no jurisdiction over REA.

Re:	Western Colorado Po	ower Co.	Decision No. 18147
			Appl. 5640 - 1942

#### Entitled to Preferential Rates

Wholesale electricity rate to REA may be lower than to private utilities. See "Preferential Rates."

Re: Highland Utilities Decision No. 20832 Case 4891 - 1943

#### Purchase of Private Utility

Sale to REA allowed where it serves nonmembers and would agree to be a utility in the area.

Re:	Intermountain	REA	Decision No. 24809
			App <b>l. 1164 - 194</b> 5

#### REBATE OF OVERCHARGE

See "Rates, Excessive"

Decision No. 25912 Case 4937 - 1946

#### REHEARING

Change of Conditions

Granted on application for certificate, to take new evidence of public convenience and necessity.

Re:	Louis A.	Ammerman	Decis	ion No.	9435
			Appl.	3167 -	1937

# Cumulative Evidence

Former decision denying permit not reversed, where evidence at rehearing was merely cumulative of prior evidence.

Re:	Fornoff	Decision No. 15258
		App <b>1. 4995 - 1940</b>

# Extension of Territory

Where authorized common carriers can perform service, previous violators not given permit extension.

Re:	Hi]]	Decision No. 1280	13
		Appls. 1979, 4617	- 1938

REHEARING (Continued)

# Granted

Where decision based in part on alleged illegal operations after hearing, rehearing granted.

Re:	Gerwick	Decision No. 12	089
		App1. 4193 - 19	38

# Grounds

Where applicant's witnesses not present and he has additional evidence for private permit, rehearing granted.

Re:	G S Leischuck	Decision No. 16514
		Appl. 5326 - 1941

# Will not be Granted by Indirection

When new application filed a few days after rehearing denied, on same facts, PUC denies it and will not, in effect, grant the rehearing by indirection.

Re: Harpster Decision No.11309 Appl. 1489 - 1938

#### REINSTATEMENT

#### Certificate

Re: Richards

Where cancelled due to error in PUC records. PUC reinstated certificate where cancelled due to error in PUC records.

Decision No. 12079 Appl. 1060 - 1938

#### Permit

Denied as to part previously cancelled. Reinstatement handled same as original application, and denied. Transfer of part approved.

Re:	Davis	Decision NO. 8199
		Appl. 2847-PP - 1936

Jurisdiction of PUC - PUC can alter or amend orders; where permit cancelled, and no new facts shown, petition to reinstate denied, whether considered original application or for an amendment of order.

Re:	Trone	Decision No. 11623
		Case 1996 - 1938

#### REMAND OF RATE CASE

PUC amends rate base, return and allowances on remand from district Court

Re:	Colorado Utilities	Corporation	Decision NO. 19741
			Case 4664 - 1942

# REPARATION

# How Calculated

No need to show actual damages to get reparation; if rate is unreasonable or discriminatory, reparation awarded.

Re:	Granada vs.	Lamar	Decision NO	. 5352
			Case 729 -	1933

#### Proof of Damage

Where discrimination is basis for complaint, shipper must show the amount of damage, since the discrimination may be cured by lowering one rate or raising another.

Re:	Perry Petroleum - UP	Decision No. 15401
	-	Case 4764 - 1940

#### REPRODUCTION COST

Method of Valuation. Discussion of U S Supreme Court Cases. Method not exclusive.

Re: Glenwood Light Co. Decision No. 5398 Case 1138 - 1933

# **RESCINDING ORDERS**

PUC Jurisdiction. PUC can alter, amend, or rescind orders at any time, on on notice. But where such a motion is in effect one for another rehearing, it will be denied.

Re: Gately Decision No. 12235 Appl. 3977 - 1938

#### RESIDENT CARRIER

Local Service. Each community should have a resident common carrier.

Re: Nelson Decision No. 19516 Appl. 5924 - 1942

#### RESJUDICATA

#### Not applicable to PUC

But where facts the same, previous decision on similar application followed.

Re:	Haines Motor	Freight	Decision No.	17737
			App1. 5339 -	1941

#### Orders of PUC

Previous opinions not res judicata in legal sense.

79

Re: Harpster

DecisionNo. Appl. 1489 -	
Appl. 1489 -	1938

# RESTRICTION OF PERMIT

On ground of abandonment. Commission restricts permit where long nonuse shows abandonment.

Re:	С.	W.	Wilson	Decision No. 18810
				Case 4868 - 1942

#### RETAIL STORE DELIVERIES

Permit granted. Montgomery Ward deliveries and pick-ups authorized to be handled by one carrier in 50-mile radius of Greeley, although some slight impairment of line-haul.

Re:	Hughes	Decision No.	14407
		Appl. 3225 -	1939

# REVOCATION

Certificate

# Violation of Rules

Carrying unauthorized freight, undercharging, etc., certificate split by PUC, and respondent's interest cancelled.

Re: Oberwortman	Decision No. 11926 Case 4682 - 1938
See Decision No. 11926	Decision No. 11654 Case 4682 -1938
Re: Oberwortman	Case 4002 -1950

#### Permit

Violation of Rules

Rates below common carriers. Hauling unauthorized freight, permit revoked.

Re: E. E. Montgomery

Decision No. 9493 Case 2000 - 1937

For many and varied violations, private permit cancelled; common certificate not affected.

Re:	Ammerman	Decision No. 13759
		Cases 4723, 4729 - 1939

Advertising; Customer Lists (showed carriers as customers, too). Interchange. Commingling common and private business. Revoked or \$200 penalty in lieu. (Rearing 9673).

Re:	McKay	Truck	Lines	Decisi	ion No.	9478		
				Cases	1622,	1626,	-	1937

# **REVOCATION** (Continued)

# Permit (Continued)

# Violation of Rules (Continued)

Permit revoked (or \$300 fine in lieu) where deliberate undercharges made to "get business."

Re: John Shull Decision No. 9418 -Case 1839 - 1937

# ROUTES

Extension or change. Order construed as limiting applicant to West Colfax, although West 6th Avenue sometimes used.

Re:	Harold Swena	Decision No. 16857
		Appl. 2138 - 1941

#### RULES

# Charter Coaches

Promulgated.

Re:	Interstate Transit	(Rehearing,	Decision No. 9967	
	Decision 10087)	-	Appls. 1717, 1515, 1621, 164	9,
			et al - 1937	

#### Binding on PUC

Commission quotes from U.S. Supreme Court to effect that administrative regulations may be withdrawn and are not binding.

Re:	Van Hoesen	Decision No. 12344
		Appl. 2221 - 1938

# Electric Utilities, Extension of Service

Rule 42-A Revised Decision No. 9949 Case 501 - 1937

# Explosives

Load	limit	raised	by	amending	Rule	9-b.	Decision NO	11930	
							General Ord	er #43 -	1938

# Leasing of equipment

By Supplemental Rule 47, carriers prohibited from leasing equipment to lessees other than duly-authorized carriers for hire.

Decision NO. 11498 General Order #39 - 1938

### SALE OF CERTIFICATE

#### Price too High

PUC will not hold price too high where new business is coming up; will not use price in rate base, if sightseeing rates involved, however.

Re:	Barcroft	Decis	ion No.	26283
		Appl.	7389 -	1946

## To REA

Generally speaking, a certificate-owner should be allowed to sell, unless it would be detrimental to the public to do so, and where REA would agree to be utility in area, sale approved.

Re:	Intermountain REA	Decision No. 24809
		Appl. 1164 - 1945

#### By Water Utility

Sale of water rights allowed where adequate supply.

Re:	Pure Spring Water Co.	Decision No. 17331
		Appl. 5572 - 1941

# SCOOTER SERVICE

See "Delivery Service"

Re: Hellesen

Decision No. 26354 App1.7525 - 1946

#### SEASONAL OPERATION

Common carrier. Applicant granted authority to transport guests to his hotel to station in Denver during hotel season.

Re:	L.	Mason	Decisi	ion No.	10928
			Appl.	4240 -	1937

# SERVICE OF COMPLAINTS

Validity. Complaints must be served by registered mail.

Re:	General	Investigation	Decision No. 10449
			Case 1585 - 1937

# SERVICE AREA

Re:

Certificated territory. Established utilities must serve all customers in the area, and may file limitation or extension petitions if it has not sufficient water rights.

Brookside	Decision No. 13 <b>9</b> 91	
	Case 4752 - 1939	

# SERVICE, FREIGHTAbandonment of Regular Train for "On Call."See "Abandonment."Re: U P R R Co.Decision No. 21031I & S 250, Appl. 6330 - 1943SERVICE OF NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMITSee "Notice of Hearing"Re: GatelyDecision No. 10994Appl. 3977 - 1937

# SIGHTSEEING CERTIFICATES

# Competition in Horse-Drawn

PUC allows two operations to be conducted at Pikes Peak during war only, to give plenty of opportunity to visitors.

Re: Starks Stages

Decision No. 22347 Appl. 6604 - 1944

#### General Rules

See "Rules" revised

Re: Pikes Peak Livery

Decision No. 16701 Case 4762 - 1941

# Impairment of Common Carrier

Operator giving regular, year-round service is protected from too much competition in summer tourist business.

Re:	Rocky	Mountain	Motor;	Fallico	Decision No. 15200	
					Case 4744	
					Appl. 552 - 1940	

# Irregular Service

Former order modified so that only irregular nonscheduled service can be rendered. Discussion of Colorado Springs to Estes Park tours.

Re:	Snell	Decision No. 13754
		Appl. 736-B - 1939

# Jurisdiction of PUC

Commission can limit number of cars, but will not do so to only one' carrier without general investigation.

Re: C. W. Davis

Deci	sion	No.	18577
Case	4867	- 1	942

# SIGHTSEEING CERTIFICATES (Continued)

# Jurisdiction of PUC (Continued)

Jurisdiction over number of vehicles. PUC has jurisdiction to set number of vehicles for each operator.

Re:	A11	sightseers	Decision No. 16174
		C C	App <b>l. 7</b> 53 - 1940

#### Pikes Peak

History of PUC activity in Colorado Springs sightseeing is reviewed.

Re:	Conwill	Decision No. 26350
		Appl. 7456 - 1946

Where there is adequate service, PUC will not grant additional automobiles to a carrier for his own convenience.

Re:	01sen	Decision No. 12418
		Appl. 837 - 1938

# Practices

Discussion of "Pikes Peak Auto Livery" practices. Some condemned, some excused.

Re:	Frank Snell	Decision No. 13751
		CASE 4722 - 1939

#### PUC to encourage

Commission should encourage tourist business, and although some impairment of other carriers, certificate granted for two-day tour to Estes Park from Colorado Springs.

Re: Snell Decision No. 13287 Appl. 736 - 1939

# Rates

Lowered rates disallowed to meet cog line competition where evidence insufficient.

Re:	Cadillac	Decision No. 6479
		Cases 1167, 1170 - 1935

# SIGNALS AT GRADE CROSSINGS

See "Grade Crossing Signals"

Re: Adams County

# SOLD OR TO BE SOLD

See Definition of Commercial Carrier	Decision No. 17400, 18992 Case 4846 - 1942
SPECIAL SERVICE BY CONTRACT CARRIER	
Special service to larger shipper is main reaso	on for private carrier.
Re: Vendegna	Decision No. 19413 Appl. 5885-PP - 1942
SPECIAL SERVICE AS GROUND FOR PERMIT	
See "Private Carriers"	
Re: Roesner	Decision No. 15335 Appl. 3642 - 1940
SPLIT CERTIFICATES	
Call and Demand Line Haul. PUC will allow trar from call and demand.	nsfer of line-haul separately
Re: Buckingham	Decision No. 21607 Appl. 844-AAAA, et al - 1943
PUC allows certificate to be divided, and the tw other carriers.	wo parts leased and sold to two
Re: Thompson	Decision No. 11831 Appl. 1156 - 1938
Joint Owners. See "Joint Owners"	
Re: Ob <b>erwor</b> tman	Decision No. 12063 Case 4682 - 1938
For Lease. PUC refused to allow lease of part	of certificate.
Re: Sorenson	Decision No. 25800 Appl. 1404 - 1946
SPLIT PERMITS	
Allowed	
Coal permit split from rest of permit and tr	ansfer authorized.

Re: Hintz Decision No. 13101 Appl. 3716 - 1939

85.

# SPLIT PERMITS (Continued)

# Transfer of Portion of Permit

PUC will not allow transfer of portion of permit.

Re:	Clayton Hill	Decision No. 19296
		App1. 2950-PP-B-AA - 1942

# SPUR TRACK

At Grade. Spur Track allowed over Highway Department objection.

Re: Colorado Potato Growers Decision No. 25746 Appl. 6696 - 1946

# STATE-WIDE AUTHORITY - "B" PERMIT

Authority limited on transfer to oil products previously hauled.

Re: C. W. Kelly

Decision No. 15849 Appl. 5324 - 1940

#### STIPULATIONS BETWEEN CARRIERS

PUC not bound. PUC welcomes agreements and stipulations between carriers, but will not be bound by them. Carriers cannot define their own authority.

Re: Schlangen

Decision No. 26002 Appl. 7274 - 1946

#### SUBSIDIARY COMPANY

PUC disregards corporate entity when subsidiary attempts to do something parent could not legally do (i.e. charge lower rates).

Re: Weicker Decision No. 5170 Case 1083 - 1933

#### SUBSTITUTED SERVICE

# Clarification

Previous authority clarified to apply to all points along railroad rightof-way.

Re:	Rio	Grande	Southern	Decis	ion No.	13788
				App1.	3958 -	1939

# Motor for Rail

Granted as to Durango where no competitive truck; public necessity overcomes saving to railroad.

Re:	Rio Grande	Southern	Dec	ecisi	on No.	15876
			Арг	)p].	3958 -	1940

# SUBSTITUTED SERVICE (Continued)

# Motor for Rail (Continued)

Good discussion of whole question. Where certain freight can be hauled by truck saving a loss to rail operation, it will help keep road in operation to allow substituted service.

Re:	The D & R	G W R R Co.	Decision No. 17841
			Appl. 3958 - 1941

# Truck for Rail

Authorities discussed. Such auxiliary service is not in reality competition with truck; and is allowed.

Re:	Rio	Grande	Motor	Way,	Inc.	Decisi	on No.	19009
						Appl.	5 <b>8</b> 98 -	1942

Where wash-outs of track and better service to shippers required it, certificate for truck freight authorized as substitute service.

Re:	Rio Grande	Southern	Railroad	Co.	Decis	ion No.	10248
					App1.	3958 -	1937

#### SUSPENDING BOND

Court Appeal. Approved where sufficient.

Re: McKay

Decision No. 9856 Cases 1622, 1626 - 1937

#### SUSPENSION OF PERMIT

#### Exceeding authority

30-day suspension for carrying unauthorized back-haul and at lower rates.

Re:	J. B.	. Tague	Decision No. 9603
		•	Case 2007 - 1937

#### Violation of Rules

Ignorance no excuse when rules and rates are available. Permit suspended for thirty days; other permits cancelled.

Re:	Carlisle	DecisionNo. 11	891
		Case 4680 - 19	38

"A" Permit suspended or \$5 fine in lieu thereof (carrying milk and livestock in same truck.)

Re:	George Wood	Decision No. 9146
	-	Appl. 1619 - 1936

# SUSPENSION OF PERMIT (Continued)

# Voluntary

Permits already suspended 18 months not suspended for six more months as per request, but only 60 days.

Re: Leubert

Decision No. 13112 Permits Nos. A-687 , A-452, B-1688 - 1939

# SWITCHING CHARGES

#### Discriminatory

"Adams City" switching rates found to be discriminatory and inequality ordered removed.

Re:	Perry	Petroleum	vs.	UP	R	R	Co.	Decis	ion	No.	15401	
	· ·							Case	4764	- 4	1940	

#### SWITCH SIGNALS

Change in Type

Relfectors authorized.

Re: Missouri Pacific

Decision No. 21730 Appl. 6530 - 1943

#### TARIFF

Interpretation of

PUC interprets tariff and orders rebate

Re: Rio Grande Motor Way, Inc. Decision No. 25912 Case 4937 - 1946

#### Must be Filed

Where PUC suspends rates, utility must file some new ones pending hearing; if none filed, it must serve anyway, even though it cannot collect anything.

Re:	Millner	-	North	Federal	Water	Co.	Decisi	ion No.	25323
							Appl.	7000 -	1945

#### To Establish Legal Rate

If no tariff on file, rates set by PUC order are not legal rates for carrier, and private contract governs.

Re:	Borden	Decis	ion No.	13746
		App1.	4746 -	1939

#### TAXES, HIGHWAY COMPENSATION

# Distraint for

Where respondent incorrectly reported haulings, and PUC used same ratio to set tax due for period preceding, respondent failing to produce records, distraint held valid.

Re:	Los	Angeles-Albuquerque	Express	Decision No.11409
		• · · ·		Case 4673 - 1938

#### On Leased Equipment

Lessee must pay taxes on goods hauled in leased trucks.

Re:	Riss and	Co.	Decis	ionNo.	5401
			Case	1178 -	1933

#### TAXICAB CERTIFICATES

#### Competing Applicants

Two certificates issued for Sterling and fringe where both applicants in business for long period.

Re:	Mahaffey;	John	Bell	Decisio	on No.	9845	
				Appls.	3841,	3842 -	• 1937

#### Delta

Where service needed, and no objection, certificate issues.

Re:	Schlangen	Decision No.	26002
	-	App1. 7274 -	1946

#### Duplicating Authority

Authority denied for second tax company in La Junta, where no clear showing that present service inadequate or that new service is needed.

Re:	Delbert	Carter	Decisi	on No.	17389
			App1.	5566 -	1941

#### Fringe Territory

See "Fringe Territory."

Re:	Public Cab Co	., etc.	Decision No.	11810
			Appl. 4424 -	1938

#### Home-Rule Cities

Commission has jurisdiction over trips from home-rule cities to points outside; that is not local matter.

Re:	Public Cab		Decision No. 13073
		~~	Appls. 4424, 4427 - 1939

# TAXICAB CERTIFICATES (Continued)

#### Impair Previous Operator - Denied

Where applicant charged rates too low to make profit and would impair present service, no certificate.

Re:	Cantrell	Decision No. 11929
		Appl. 4547 - 1938

# Issuance of

Denied where existing facilities now shown inadequate.

Re:	Sam Strange	Decision No. 9709
	-	Appl. 3777 - 1937

#### Luxury Service

Since taxi service is luxury, certificate denied where bus service is in Longmont ontrial, and one taxi service already exists.

Re:	Rehder	Decision No. 26102
		Appl. 7369 - 1946

# Violations

Previous violations as ground for denial. Certificate denied for Denver fringe area because of violations and applicant's lack of control.

Re:	Green & Whit Cab,	Public Cab,	Bill's	Decisio	on No.	9707			
	Cab, Dollar Cab			Appls.	3156,	3157,	3158,	3159	-
				1937					

# TERRITORIAL VIOLATIONS

Clarification Requests. Show Cause defendant not penalized for following custom.

Re: McKay Truck Line	Decision No. 9478
	Rehearing 9673, 10474 - 1937

#### THROUGH RATES

Over operations owned by same operator. PUC will not allow through rate unless certificate granted for through service.

Re: Woods Truck Line Decision No. 18157 Appl. 1436 - 1942

### TICKET CREDIT

Between sightseeing operators. Transfer of certificate delayed until transferor strikes a balance with all operators who have his tickets, and receives payment for tickets held by him.

Re:	Falico		Decision No. 15332
			Case 4744
		90.	Appl. 552 - 1940

# TITLE TO FREIGHT

Carrier holding title. Operation of carrier as owner of milk held attempted evasion of regulation and "C" permit revoked.

Re:	Brady and	France	Decision	No.	10768
	× ·		Case 465	2 - 1	1937

# "TO BE SOLD"

See Definition of Commercial Carrier Decision No. 17400 Decision 18992 - 1942

#### TOMBSTONES

Permit to haul. Special handling requires that installer also carry the tombstone to cemetery, and application granted; but denied as to back-haul of other materials.

Re: Bochman

# Decision No. 11745 Appl. 4494 - 1938

#### TRANSFER

#### Authority Restricted

On transfer, authority restricted to one car, where rest of cars not used for years.

Re: C. W. Davis

Decision No, 17479 Case 4867 - 1941

#### Certificate

#### Abandonment

Transfer denied where no operations conducted for a year, and besides, transfer would combine private operation.

Re: Byron Bunker Decision No. 18112 Appl. 1889 - 1942

# Commingling Private and Common Operations

Transfer denied where applicant did not show separation of operation.

Re: Pollock

Decision No. 10055 Appl. 1875-A - 1937

# Corporate Consolidation

Certificate transferred without hearing.

Re:	Colorado	Gas	and	Utilities	Co.	Decisio	on No.	9456	
						Appls.	1726,	et al -	1937

# Denied, Where "Non-Assignable" Clause in Original Certificate

Commission assumes insertion of "non-transferrable clause" was proper at time, and does not remove it in absence of showing.

Re: S. L. Rodgers

Decision No. 15858 Appl. 2061 - 1940

#### Disputed Ownership

PUC will leave disputes as to ownership and breach of contract for the Couts to decide. On transfers, applications need not be technically correct.

Re: Beach Decision No. 12358 Appl. 962 - 1938

#### Grounds of Denial

- 1. Transferee not in existence.
- 2. No contract of transfer.
- 3. Transferor violated COD rules.
- 4. Transferor violated insurance rules.
- 5. Certificate already cancelled.
- 6. Transferee has no assets.

Re: Ramstetter

Decision No. 10785 Appl. 2188 - 1937

#### Grounds of Objections

Transfer can be denied only on ground that transferee is not a fitperson.

Re: Rocky Mtn. Motor; Fallico

Decision No. 15200 Case 4744 Appl. 552 - 1947

#### Portion of Certificate

PUC will consider line-haul and call and demand authorities as severable and will allow transfer of one without the other.

Re:	Buckingham	Decision No. 21607
		Appls. 844-AAAA, et al - 1943

Where freight operation sought to be transferred, with transferor keeping passenger operation, PUC must make finding that transferring a portion of certificate is in public interest. It did so here.

Re:	Drumright	Decision No. 1249 <b>8</b>	
	-	Appl. 3872 - 1938	

# Certificate (Continued)

# Probate Court

PUC must confirm Probate Court action in adjudging heirship and transfer of certificate.

Re:	W <b>illia</b> m	Irvine	Decision No. 22323
			Appl. 637 - 1944

# Question of Abandonment

Transfer allowed where no intention of abandonment, and certificate wrongfully cancelled by PUC but reinstated, and insurance kept up.

Re:	Pikes Peak	Warehousing	Co.	Decisi	ionNo. 11037	
				Appl.	1299 - 1937	

### Requirements

No statute requires special findings by Commission on a transfer. Its rules are its own. Rehearing denied.

Re:	Argonaut	Garage	DecisionNo. 1	314	4	
	-	-	Appls. 555, 5	77	-	1939

#### Revoked Certificate

Where certificate stands revoked in PUC records, no transfer will be authorized.

Re: Jones

Decision No. 12375 Appl. 3615 - 1938

# Where not in Public Interest

Where transferee (and transferor) operated as common carrier and private carrier in same truck, when going in and out of Denver, transfer denied.

Re:	Dime Delivery	Decision No. 18840
	·	Appl. 3861 - 1942

#### Gas System

Complete gas transfer system approved.

Re:	Citizens	Utilities	Decis	ion No.	14835
			App1.	5290 -	1940

# Permit

# Authorized

Statement that private carrier operation will have to be conducted; not service to public generally.

Re:	J.	Ε.	Clayburg	Decision No.	15753
			• •	App1. 5003 -	1940

# Conditions

Allowed if fine paid and purchase price distributed to creditors.

Re:	John Shu <b>ll</b>	Decision No. 9688
		Appl. 3774-PP-A - 1937

#### Conditioned on:

#### Curtailment of Products Hauled

Where nothing but oil products hauled for years, Commission would not allow new transferee to extend the business into new area as to new products.

Re:	С.	₩.	Kelly	Decis	ion No.	15849
			-	App1.	5324 -	1940

#### Payment of Debt

Transfer authorized if all debts paid.

Re:	Van Dossen	Decision No. 1	12344
		Appl. 2221 - 1	1938

# Heirs of Owner

Where no debts and no doubt as to heir. Commission allows transfer, but where objection, probate must be had.

Re: Cora E. James Decision No. 17312 Appl. 849 - 1941

#### Not Abandoned

Where authority not used, but PUC did not have any limit on instituting service, transfer allowed and no abandonment found.

Re: Everitt Decision No. 13408 App1. 4435 - 1939

# Subterfuge

Where "Transfer" subject to cancellation, it is a subterfuge to allow transferee to have local headquarters and is denied. Re: Blue Eagle Truck Lines Decision No. 17368 Ann1 1651 \_ 1011

# Permit (Continued)

# Persons Entitled to Notice

PUC notifies parties concerned in order to get information, including competitors, but such notice not necessary to authorize transfer.

Re: Jack Perry

Decision No. 11624 Case 4674 - 1938

. .

# Portion of Permit

- Allowed here. See "Splitting Permit"
- Re: Hintz Decision No. 13101 Appl. 3716 - 1939

PUC will not allow transfer of portion of permit.

Re: Clayton Hill Decision No. 19296 Appl. 2950-PP-BA-A - 1942

#### Requirements

No notice to competitors required. Rule 7C and 7D do not require transferee to limit operations; if transferor had not abandoned part of permit.

Re: Miller

Decision No. 12052 Appl. 4530 - 1938

#### Restriction on Authority

Authority held not abandoned by nonuser.

Re: Loyal Morgan

Decision No. 24546 Appl. 6723 - 1945

# To:

Certificate Holder

Denied where operations would conflict.

Re:	0. O. Jenkins, Jr.	Decision No. 9454
		Appl. 3462-PP-A - 1937

# Permit Holder

Individual can also be partner in another permit operation; transfer authorized.

Re:	De La Salle	Decision No. 9496
		Appl. 3350-PP-AA - 1937

Permit (Continued)

# Through Service

There cannot be through service from and to every point in the state; transfers not too burdensome must be made to protect the basic carriage.

Re:	Amarillo-Denver Bus Co.	Decision No. 17203
		App <b>1.</b> 5517 - 1941

#### Without Authority

Operations of transferee and payment of moneys before order of PUC held not ground for rehearing on cancellation of permit for withholding COD money. See also Appl. 9984'

Re: John Shull Decision No. 10342 Case 2006 - 1937

#### TRAVEL BUREAUS

Selling Tickets for Common Carriers. Rule 46 adopted prohibiting travel bureau from selling tickets for or advertising common carriers.

Re: General Order No. 39.

# Decision No. 10513 - 1937

#### TRUSTEE, FOR CREDITORS ON TRANSFER

Commission attorney named trustee to distribute purchase price among creditors.

Re: Resler Decision No. 21425 Appls. 5257-A, 2356-I-A - 1943

#### TWO CERTIFICATES

Over same route. Will not be granted unless clear showing that existing service is inadequate or that business is sufficient to justify two operations.

Re: Martin Decision No. 11665 Appl. 3779 - 1938

#### UNAUTHORIZED INTERCHANGE

Private with Common. Ordered to desist after 1936 Rules.

Re: McKay Truck Line

Decision No. 9478 Cites 8386 - Rehearing 9673

#### UNAUTHORIZED OPERATIONS AS GROUND FOR DENIAL OF PERMIT

Where applicant carried on operation without permit, it is denied where present common carriers are adequate.

Re: Egan

Decision No. 13250 Appl. 4950 - 1939

# UNAUTHORIZED SERVICE

# Ground for Certificates

Building up public demand by unlawful service is not proper basis on which to predicate certificate.

Re:	Gowan	Decision No.11389
		Appl. 3688 - 1938

#### Territory not within Permit

\$25 fine for serving unauthorized territory.

Re: Edward Martin

Decision No. 9477 Case 2002 - 1937

# UNDERCHARGES

PUC jurisdiction. PUC can clarify and interpret its rate orders, but where carrier ceased operations, PUC has no jurisdiction over its contracts or actions.

Re: Rupert Borden

Decision No. 16064 Appl. 4746 - 1940

#### UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS

PUC orders that NARUC classification and system of accounts to be adopted by electric and gas utilities by January 1, 1939.

Decision No. 12481 Case 4693 - 1938

#### UNLAWFUL HAUL - Good Faith

Where mistake in ticket, and carrier hauled passenger without collecting additional fare, good faith in an honest mistake cancels any penalty for "free service."

Re: Rocky Mountain Motorway, Inc. Decision No. 16

07

Decision No. 16195 Case 4816 - 1940

#### UNSATISFACTORY SERVICE

Ground for additional permit. Where service unsatisfactory and no evidence it can be improved, duplicating permit granted.

Re: Rein

Decision No.11263 Appl. 1560 - 1938

# VALUATION OF PROPERTY

Future value - Future expenses

Must be estimated in rate case.

Re: Glenwood Light Co.

Decision No. 5398

# VALUATION OF PROPERTY (Continued)

Re: Glenwood L

#### Methods

Rate case - reproduction new, carry forward earlier Commission valuation.

ight	Co.	Decis	sion	No.	5398
		Case	1138	3	1933

To determine unreasonable rates

See "Rates, Unreasonable."

Re: Granada vs. Lamar

Decision No. 5352 Case 729 - 1933

#### VANADIUM

See "Ores."

#### VEHICLE DEFINITION

Includes Horse-Drawn. Horse-Drawn sightseeing service subject to PUC jurisdiction.

Re: Pikes Peak Stage Coach Co.

Decision No. 22278 Appl. 6602 - 1944

## VIOLATION OF LAW

Effect on Applicant's Fitness

PUC follows ICC rule that a violation of law does not necessarily mean applicant is not fit and proper, especially where he has paid a penalty for the breach.

Re:	L	Ε	Reinhardt	Decision No.	19168
				App1. 5921 -	1942

# Ground for Denying Certificate

(Unauthorized service). Application for motor certificate denied, even though necessity exists where applicant knowingly violated his previous certificate.

Re: Harpster Decision No. 10643 Appl. 1489 - 1937

Where private carrier had 1,000 customers, served all who applied, and was really common carrier, certificate denied.

Re:	Haines	Motor	Freight	Decision No.	17737
				Appl. 5339 -	1941

VIOLATION OF LAW (Continued)

# Ground for Denying Permit

Permits ("B") Denied where applicants hauled without authority before applying to PUC.

Re: Keck, Milligan, Leonard

Decision No. 9907 Appls. 3814, 3817, 3821, Cited Decision No. 9707

Applicant's unauthorized operations before securing permit held ground for refusing permit.

Re: Kitly

Decisi	on	No.	10356
Appl.	398	1-PP	

# Will not Always Prevent Granting of Certificate

Where additional service needed, and applicant is good operator, certificate issues, after a waiting period, to applicant who had exceeded authority.

Re: Forest Green

Decision No. 25282 Appl. 6900 - 1945

# VIOLATIONS OF PERMIT

Reason for Denial of Extension. Where applicant repeatedly violated permit, extension denied because he is not fit and proper person.

Re: Monroe

Decision No. 12926 Appl. 4828 - 1939

#### VIOLATION OF RATES EXCUSED

Where a "C" holder was hauling stock and common carrier met his price to get business, he is warned, especially after the unauthorized competitor was fined.

Re: Midelson Bros.

Decision No. 13157 Case 4734 - 1939

#### VIOLATION OF RULES

\$150. fine where overcharges, undercharges, combining operations, unauthorized customers, and territory, etc.

Re:	Resler	Decision No. 12078
		Case 4671 - 1938

Advertising by Private Carrier - good faith. Cards construed liberally were in violation of rules, but carrier in good faith.

Re:	McKay Truck Lines	Decision No. 9478
	•	Rehearing 9673 - 1937

#### VIOLATION OF RULES (Continued)

Customer Lists of Private Carrier. Defense that Employees did not follow instructions. Defense not valid as to receiving goods from customers not on list. Re: McKay Truck Lines Decision No. 9478 Cites 8216 - Rehearing 9673 - 1937 Grand for Suspending and Cancelling Permit See "Suspension of Permit" Decision No.11891 Re: Carlisle Case 4680 - 1938 Ground for Revocation. Where carrier violated rules and "thought he could get by with it," permit revoked. Re: Cowen Decision No. 11746 Case 4688 - 1937 Interchange of freight by motor carrier - "A" permit. Respondent admonished to comply with rules, but no fine since violations not willful. Re: L V Shutt Decision No. 9843 Case 1997 - 1937 **VOLTAGE VARIATIONS** Corrected by meter. PUC standards satisfied. Re: Montezuma Power and Light Decision No. 16604 Appl. 4812 - 1941

#### WAR, EFFECT OF

On Private Carrier. Where mine needed full private service to operate full time, permit granted, although contrary result reached if no war -- common carrier inadequate during war.

Re: Mildred Watson Decision No. 24115 Appl 4186 - 1945

#### WAR CONDITIONS

Over-riding normal needs. War amends definition and meaning of public convenience and necessity; new service not ordered.

Re:	Denver Tramway	Decision No. 20138
	-	Case 4901 - 1942

# WAR EFFORT

PUC policy. Any application for transportation service which War Department representatives can reasonably justify as necessary or helpful in the prosecution of war should be granted.

Re:	W.	С.	Hopkins	Decision No. 22430	
				Appl., 6640 - 1944	

## WARNING TO PERMIT HOLDER AS TO EXCEEDING AUTHORITY

Where territorial violations not willful, respondent warned.

Re:	Hardenburgh	Decision No. 20644
	-	Case 4880 - 1943

#### WATER RATES

#### Discontinuance of Service

Denver cannot discriminate against water users outside city; mere loss will not constitute ground for cutting them off.

Re: City and County of Denver

Decision No. 9832 Case 1994 - 1937

# Discrimination between Customers

Unexplained discrimination against applicant by City of Golden (not allowing applicant to install inside taps) ordered ended.

Re: Sacine

Decision No. 10102 Case 2012 - 1937

# Ground for Continuance

See "Continuance"

Re: Hardrick

Decision No. 22446 Appl. 6535 - 1944

# Municipalities

Outside rate should inslude some taxes; meters may or may not be fair outside and not inside. No evidence here of unfair discrimination.

Re:	Pueblo	Decision	No.	6459
		CASE 1384	- 19	35

# Rehabilitation

PUC orders system rebuilt under a trustee to collect water rents. No irrigation water unless surplus over domestic use.

Re:	Idledale Water W	lorks	Decis	ion No.	5647
			I & S	205 - 1	1934

Decision No. 26350

Application No. 7456 August 12, 1946

Harold Conwill and Ed Shouse, dba "The El Ute Tours", Manitou Springs, Colorado.

Full discussion of sightseeing operations in Colorado Springs. Application denied because of adequacy of service of those serving, inasmuch as they are not presently using all equipment authorized to be used.

\* \* \*

Decision No. 26354

Application No. 7525

August 12, 1946

Don A. Helleson and Howard Thompson, dba "The Helleson-Thompson Motor Co.". Greeley, Colorado.

> Limited authority granted for small package delivery service. Duplication of adequate common carrier service, or granting of additional certificate where common carrier service is adequate or carrier willing to make it adequate, is unwarranted, because competition lends to waste, duplication of investment and duplication of service and expenses, and is not necessary in public utilities in order to secure reasonable rates and adequate service, if the regulatory body fulfills its obligation to the public.

> > \* \* \*

Decision No. 26369

Application No. 7375

August 15, 1946

William Barrows and Pete Langoni, donig business as "City Taxicab Co.", Trinidad, Colorado.

> Application denied. Competition not required for control of rates. Regulation is substituted for competition in the utility field.

> > \* \* \*

Decision No. 26391

Application Nos. 7506, 7572 August 17, 1946

John J., Don T., Anthony Tissone, and Willard Bullard, dba "Pioneer Trucking Co.," Boulder, Colorado.

Roy E. Sans and Frank Bristol, dba "Sans and Bristol."

Two carriers seeking similar authority. Authority granted carrier already serving, on the theory that non-duplication of service will help prevent increased rates for transportation of milk.

\* \* \*

Application No. 7761-PP Decision No. 26724

September 23, 1946

Merl W. Fornoff, Denver, Colorado.

Application denied. Commission cannot grant applications upon desire of applicant to go into trucking business. Some definite need for his service must be shown, and proposed service should not be such as would impair the efficiency of authorized motor unhinla nomitan

Harry Williams, Brighton, Colorado.

Petition for rehearing denied, on ground that common carriers appearing in opposition thought it sufficient to show in opposition that they are equipped to handle all business and new operating rights will diminish revenue. Question to be determined is "Will existing motor whicle common carrier operation be so affected that it will not be able to continue efficient service to the public?" Protestant should be required to assume burden of evidence on that point. Establishment of case, as a whole, of course, rests on applicant.

\* \* \*

Decision No. 27575

Application No. 8028

February 15, 1947

Marion A. Strohmeyer, Sterling, Colorado -- Transfer of PUC 1471 to Keith Bauer, Sterling, Colorado.

Portion of operating rights sought to be transferred. Transfer denied, on ground transferor would, in effect, be granting a certificate of public convenience and necessity to transferee, which function is delegated to the Commission by the Legislature.

#### \* \* \*

Decision No. 27655

Case No. 4934

February 24, 1947

School District #47 - Jefferson County, Colorado, v. Lakewood Sanitation District.

Complaint on special assessment from tax-free agencies. Complaint sustained, and Sanitation District required and Directed to cease and desist from charging tax-free agencies a connection fee.

\* \* \*

Decision No. 27747

Application No. 7998

March 15, 1947

Colorado Aviation Co., Inc.

Certificate for air transportation granted. Purpose of air travel is expedited service available to the public. General discussion of aeronautical situation.

\* \* \*

Decision No. 28089 Application No. 7935 April 16, 1947

A. C. Martella and Charles L. McCain, Denver, Colorado.

Certificate granted for personalized delivery service. Interests of the public are of paramount importance, and interests of applicant and other certificate-holders are secondary and subordinant. Limited

#### Decision No. 28089 (Continued)

and regulated competition may, under certain circumstances, not only be an aid to the regulatory powers, but be necessary in the public interest. It may be more effective than a regulated monopoly. Reasonable competition is clearly in the public interest.

#### \* \* \*

Decision No. 28094

#### Application No. 7791

April 21, 1947

Comet Motor Express Co., Inc., Idaho Springs, colorado

Application denied. Objection to two-line interchange service. To allow any purely interstate carrier operating over a particular route through a territory where interstate traffic is not too heavy and to obtain intrastate rights upon this contention is fallacious reasoning. This policy, if adopted and followed constantly, would allow the I.C.C. to determine who would be entitled to intrastate rights -- a function which should be exercised by this Commission. "Necessity"defined.

For grant of authority there should be convincing evidence that presently-authorized carriers are unable or unwilling to meet any reasonable demands that may be upon them.

#### \* \* \*

Decision No. 28095

Application Nos. 8117, 8258

April 18, 1947

W. A. McCall, to Albert E. Payne and Karl R. Neal, Lamar, Colorado Earl Brotton, Lamar, Colorado

> Transfer authorized. New authority sought by Brotten denied. Necessity does not exist unless the inconvenience would be so great as to amount to unreasonable burden on the community. Making necessary allowances for human fralities and mechanical limitations, it would seem there are no real deficiencies in the present service. Two taxi stands furnishing 24-hour service, meeting over-head, with revenue produced from a one-carrier operation would mean poor service, and eventually no service, for the people of Lamar, It is desirable to have taxicabs available for inebriated people, as well as others. Fact that inebriated people have been transported is not basis for complaint against Transferor McCall.

> > \* \* \*

Decision No. 28109

Case 4945, Appl. 7414

April 19, 1947

L. O. Light v. Clyde Lenocker Clyde Lenocker to Lease to W. K. Carroll

> Complaint dismissed. Transfer authorized. Abandonment charged. Actions of defendants cannot be construed as failure to hold out to the public a willingness to furnish service. The existence of a certificate creates a statutory vested motor carrier status which remains in force and effect until terminated by due process of law.

# Decision No. 28109 (Continued)

Certificate-holder has a property right and must be accorded the opportunity of complying with terms of the certificate before the vested right can be revoked. A mere nonuser by a call and demand certificate-holder of all of his rights all the time should not operate as a forfeiture.

Change in ownership which will enable existing rights to be used in a way that will produce better service can hardly be found to be inconsistent with the public interest.

\* \* \*

Decision No. 28125

Application No. 7491

April 23, 1947

Jess Paul Bingman

Application denied.

Insufficient business for two operations. Present operator trying to rehabilitate operations of Evergreen Transfer. Excellent showing made, and should be commended and encouraged. It would be unjust and improper to place another operator in the field.

\* \* \*

Decision No. 28130

I & S Docket 266

April 28, 1947

The D & R G W R R Co.

Applicant to abandon station at Moffat, Colorado, from November 20 to September 20 of the following year, granted.

Necessary to railroad to effect all economies. Ouestion is one of managerial discretion, unless management acts arbitrarily in exercising such discretion. Some inconvenience may be suffered by receivers and shippers of freight, but the inconvenience is not out of proportion to the benefits that will accrue to the railroad and the public, as a whole, by abandonment of the station.

#### \* \* \*

Decision No. 28149

Application No. 8368-PP May 6, 1947

Ralph Rudiger

Application denied.

No one appeared at the hearing. Protestants appeared later -delayed because of snow. Evidence given by them in former hearings considered. Commission is expected to take into consideration experience and knowledge of the needs of the various communities and the service furnished.

Decision No. 28530

Application No. 7601

John C. Murphy, dba "Murph's Express."

Private carrier authority for specialized service granted, over objection of common carriers.

Maintenance of adequate, dependable, line-haul common carrier service essential, but common carriers protesting apparently believe that all that is necessary to show is that they are equipped to handle the business. They have construed the words "impair the efficient public service of authorized motor vehicle common carriers" to mean, "to diminish or to deprive them of possibility of increasing their income."

\* \* \*

Decision No. 28539

Application Nos. 8307, 8308 June 30, 1947

Leo Klinker Wm. E. Faast and Wm. D. Osborne

> Certificate granted Leo Klinker over protest of applicants in Application 8308.

Applicant had been operating unlawfully. - Unauthorized operations by applicant for a motor carrier certificate should be condemned by the Commission, but is is not sufficient justification for denying application, where public need is more compelling than the disciplining of applicant.

\* \* \*