Decision No. R21-0041-I

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

PROCEEDING NO. 21V-0026TNC

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SPENCER THOMAS WILCOCK FOR A WAIVER OF RULE 6713(C) (PROOF OF MEDICAL FITNESS) OF THE RULES REGULATING TRANSPORTATION BY MOTOR VEHICLE, 4 CCR 723-6.

INTERIM DECISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STEVEN H. DENMAN ORDERING PETITIONER TO SHOW CAUSE

Mailed Date: January 26, 2021

I. STATEMENT

- 1. On January 6, 2021, Spencer Thomas Wilcock (Petitioner) filed a verified Petition for Waiver of Safety Regulations Drivers of vehicles with a seating capacity of 15 passengers or less, including the driver (Form PFW-D-15-1, Revised 5/15/2019) (Petition). The Petition includes the following documents: (a) a Medical Examiner's Certificate, (b) a Medical Examination Report Form, (c) a Certified Official Colorado Driver Record, and (d) a copy of Petitioner's Colorado driver's license.
- 2. The Petition seeks a waiver of Rule 6109(c)(I) of the Commission Rules Regulating Transportation by Motor Vehicle, 4 *Code of Colorado Regulations* (CCR) 723-6. Specifically, the Petition states: "The filed Medical Examiner's Certificate states I am medically fit to drive only if accompanied by a waiver for . . . (I) Defect, loss of limb or impairment, . . . loss of right eye."

¹ Petition at 1.

The Petition further states: "For the time I've driven for Lyft and Uber, I've successfully completed over 6,973 trips without any issues."²

- 3. This proceeding was referred to an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) for disposition by minute entry during the Commission's weekly meeting held on January 20, 2021.
- 4. Based upon a review of Petitioner's filing, there are two issues that must be addressed before this Petition can proceed any further.
- 5. First, Commission records show that in Proceeding No. 20V-0032TNC, Petitioner has previously sought and received a one-year waiver of Rule 6713(c)(IX), 4 CCR 723-6, permitting him to drive for a transportation network company (TNC) because he has a visual impairment and can safely operate a personal vehicle in connection with providing TNC services.³ In that Proceeding, Petitioner filed a verified Petition for Waiver/Variance of Safety Regulations – TNC Driver (Form PFW-TNC-1).
- 6. Here in this Proceeding, however, Petitioner filed Form PFW-D-15-1, seeking a waiver of Rule 6109(c)(I), 4 CCR 723-6, permitting him to drive a vehicle with a seating capacity of 15 passengers or less, including the driver.
- 7. The ALJ notes that the instant Petition is a TNC proceeding identified as Proceeding No. 21V-0026TNC. It is unclear to the ALJ whether Petitioner in fact intended to seek a waiver of Rule 6109(c) to drive a vehicle with 15 passengers or less (including the driver), consistent with the Petition filed; or whether Petitioner intended to seek a waiver for Rule 6713(c) to drive for a TNC following the expiration of his one-year waiver granted in Proceeding No. 20V-0032TNC.

² Petition at 2.

- 8. On or before close of business on February 16, 2021, Petitioner will be ordered to:
 - file a written statement confirming that Petitioner intended to file Form PFW-D-15-1, seeking a waiver of Rule 6109(c)(I), 4 CCR 723-6, permitting him to drive a vehicle with a seating capacity of 15 passengers or less, including the driver; **OR**
 - file a Petition for Waiver/Variance of Safety Regulations TNC Driver (Form PFW-TNC-1) if Petitioner intended to seek a waiver of Rule 6713(c)(IX), 4 CCR 723-6, permitting him to drive for a TNC; **OR**
 - otherwise show cause why this matter should not be dismissed without prejudice.
- 9. Second, the filed Medical Examiner's Certificate (Form: MER-15-1, Version Date: 5/15/2018) states: "This person is medically fit to drive for a motor carrier of passengers without condition." However, the Medical Examiner's Certificate does not establish that Petitioner "is medically fit to drive for a motor carrier of passengers (or TNC), only if accompanied by a [specified] waiver (i.e. PUC Vision Waiver, etc.)." Nor does it provide that in the Medical Examiner's "medical opinion, based upon all circumstances known to [him or her] including the medical condition(s) requiring an accompanying waiver, the established medical history or clinical diagnosis is not likely to interfere with the [Petitioner's] ability to control and drive a motor vehicle safely."
- 10. Petitioner is largely free to select the manner of presenting his case and is not bound to use Commission-provided forms. However, the filed Medical Examiner's Certificate does not certify that Petitioner is medically fit to drive only if accompanied by the waivers sought in the Petition – specifically, a waiver of subsection "(I) - Defect, loss of limb or impairment, . . . loss of right eye." Further, the Medical Examiner's Certificate appears to be inconsistent with the

⁴ Medical Examiner's Certificate.

⁵ Petition at 1.

Petition, and it expresses no qualified medical opinion whatsoever in support of the requested relief as to Petitioner's ability to safely operate a motor vehicle.

- 11. On or before **close of business on February 16, 2021,** Petitioner will be ordered to:
 - a) supplement the Petition by filing the correct corresponding Medical Examiner's Certificate certifying whether Petitioner is medically fit to drive for a motor carrier of passengers (or a TNC), only if accompanied by the waiver(s) specified, and expressing a qualified medical opinion as to whether the Medical Examiner feels the driver could safely operate a motor vehicle; **OR**
 - b) supplement the Petition by filing a signed and dated letter from a medical professional/doctor expressing a qualified medical opinion as to whether the Medical Examiner feels the driver could safely operate a motor vehicle coupled with a short description of the physical disqualification requiring a waiver; **OR**
 - c) otherwise show cause why this matter should not be dismissed without prejudice.
- 12. Petitioner is advised that failure to make one of the filings described in paragraph 7 above and one of the filings described in \P 11 above on or before February 16, 2021, will result in dismissal of the Petition without prejudice.

II. ORDER

A. It Is Ordered That:

1. Consistent with the discussion above, Spencer Thomas Wilcock must supplement the Petition for Waiver/Variance of Safety Regulations to cure the deficiencies in the Petition

described above, **or** show cause why this matter should not be dismissed without prejudice (*e.g.*, it may be then be refiled later), on or before **close of business on February 16, 2021**.

2. This Decision is effective immediately.

(SEAL)

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY

Doug Dean, Director THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

STEVEN H. DENMAN

Administrative Law Judge