

Decision No. R20-0099-E

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

PROCEEDING NO. 19A-0369E

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF
COLORADO FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2020-2021 RENEWABLE ENERGY
COMPLIANCE PLAN.

**ERRATA NOTICE FOR
RECOMMENDED DECISION OF
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
MELODY MIRBABA
APPROVING RENEWABLE ENERGY
COMPLIANCE PLAN WITH MODIFICATIONS**

Errata mailed February 18, 2020

Original Decision No. R20-0099 mailed February 14, 2020

1. The last sentence of ¶ 89 on pages 42-43 states:

The ALJ will also enter other relevant orders to ensure that this review is complete, and that Staff agrees to the final incentive amount, which may not exceed \$0.005 per kWh.

The \$0.005 figure is incorrect and is changed to “\$0.05.” As corrected, the last sentence of ¶ 89 states:

The ALJ will also enter other relevant orders to ensure that this review is complete, and that Staff agrees to the final incentive amount, which may not exceed \$0.05 per kWh.

2. The last two sentences of ¶ 97 on pages 46-47 states:

The Company is directed to follow Rule 3658(f)(X)(F)'s requirement to use PV Watts for the Company's data needs for On-Site Small customers. Accordingly, Public Service may not require production meters for On-Site Small customers at the customers' expense, unless authorized under Rule 3664(e), and also is not authorized to recover from ratepayers the cost of production meters installed by the utility at its expense.

The language incorrectly omits "with systems that are 10 kW or smaller." As corrected, the last two sentences of ¶ 97 states:

The Company is directed to follow Rule 3658(f)(X)(F)'s requirement to use PV Watts for the Company's data needs for On-Site Small customers with systems 10 kW or smaller. Accordingly, Public Service may not require production meters for On-Site Small customers with systems 10 kW or smaller at the customers' expense, unless authorized under Rule 3664(e), and also is not authorized to recover from ratepayers the cost of production meters for On-Site Small customers with systems 10 kW or smaller that are installed by the utility at its expense.

3. The first two sentences in ¶ 111 on page 51 state:

To increase transparency, the Company proposes to release an anonymized RFP bid response summary through an informational filing with the Commission within 30 days of the bid deadline; that summary will include average bid price, number and capacity of bids received, and number of bidders. *Id.* at 50: 4-9. The Company plans to continue the following current practices: share early notice of RFP release timing during workgroup meetings; hold RFP informational sessions after they are released, and publish information that arises as part of those sessions; review RFP bid award recommendations with Staff before granting awards; and provide information on operational CSGs in its annual compliance reports *Id.* at 50: 12-21.

This language is incorrectly placed. It is stricken from ¶ 111, and copied exactly as written to become the last two sentences of ¶ 61 on page 29. As corrected, the last two sentences of ¶ 61 state:

To increase transparency, the Company proposes to release an anonymized RFP bid response summary through an informational filing

with the Commission within 30 days of the bid deadline; that summary will include average bid price, number and capacity of bids received, and number of bidders. *Id.* at 50: 4-9. The Company plans to continue the following current practices: share early notice of RFP release timing during workgroup meetings; hold RFP informational sessions after they are released, and publish information that arises as part of those sessions; review RFP bid award recommendations with Staff before granting awards; and provide information on operational CSGs in its annual compliance reports *Id.* at 50: 12-21.

4. The first sentence in ¶ 117 on page 54 states:

The ALJ finds that the Company's proposal to file anonymized RFP bid response data for the On-Site Large offering through an informational filing 30 days after the bid deadline provides added transparency while protecting customer privacy and directs the Company to do so.

This language is incorrectly placed, and the reference to "On-Site Large offering" is incorrect.

The language is stricken from ¶ 117, corrected to refer to "CSG offerings," and copied to become the last sentence of ¶ 73. As corrected, the last sentence of ¶ 73 states:

The ALJ finds that the Company's proposal to file anonymized RFP bid response data for the CSG offerings through an informational filing 30 days after the bid deadline provides added transparency while protecting customer privacy and directs the Company to do so.

5. The last sentence of ¶ 159 on page 74 states:

In support, Staff argues that the RESA accounting history, and the Company's modeling show a significant increase in the RESA balance while revenue and spending remains flat, and that Staff does not have reservations that the Company's RESA account forecast is not reliable or accurate enough to measure meaningful rate impact analysis. Hearing Exhibit 1500, 48: 5-17.

The phrase "does not have" is incorrect. As corrected, the last sentence of ¶ 159 states:

In support, Staff argues that the RESA accounting history, and the Company's modeling show a significant increase in the RESA balance while revenue and spending remains flat, and that Staff has reservations that the Company's RESA account forecast is not reliable or accurate enough to measure meaningful rate impact analysis. Hearing Exhibit 1500, 48: 5-17.

6. This Errata Notice's corrections are effective *nunc pro tunc*, February 14, 2020.

(S E A L)



THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

MELODY MIRBABA

Administrative Law Judge

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Doug Dean".

Doug Dean,
Director