BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

Proceeding No. 16AL-0829W

IN THE MATTER OF ADVICE LETTER NO. 4 FILED BY DALLAS CREEK WATER COMPANY TO INCREASE UTILITY RATES AND FEES TO BECOME EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 1, 2016

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Dallas Creek Water Company, Inc. (DCWC), Staff of the Public Utilities Commission (Staff), the Association of Dallas Creek Water Users, Inc. (ADCWU) and Fairway Pines Estates Owners Association (FPEOA) (collectively, the "Parties") enter into this Settlement Agreement to confirm the terms of their negotiated settlement of the issues in this rate proceeding.

I. Introduction and procedural background.

- A. On October 28, 2016, DCWC filed Advice Letter No. 4 and proposed tariff sheets requesting a general rate increase with an effective date of December 1, 2016.
- B. On November 30, 2016, the Commission issued Decision No. C16-1094 suspending the effective date of the tariffs and setting the matter for hearing under C.R.S. § 40-6-111(1).
- C. By Decision No. C16-1094, the Commission referred the matter to the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) for disposition.
- D. On December 7, 2016, the ALJ issued Decision No. R16-1122-I further suspending the effective date of the tariff sheets filed with Advice Letter No. 4 for an additional 90 days, to and including June 29, 2017.

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 2 of 23

EXHIBIT A to Joint Motion to Adopt the Settlement Agreement

E. On December 23, 2016, DCWC filed a Stipulation Regarding Procedural

Schedule. By Decision No. R17-0004-I (Mailed Date: January 4, 2017), the ALJ approved and

adopted the Parties' Stipulation.

F. Staff, ADCWU, and FPEOA timely intervened as Parties to this proceeding, and

by Decision No. R17-0016-I (Mailed Date: January 9, 2017), the ALJ confirmed their party

status as Intervenors and ordered the Parties to file legal briefs addressing whether two principal

legal issues raised by ADCWU and FPEOA were within the scope of this rate proceeding.

G. On January 17, 2017, the Parties each filed their respective briefs on the legal

issues.

H. By Decision No. R17-0070-I (Mailed Date: January 25, 2017), the ALJ

determined the scope of the proceeding and concluded the two legal issues raised by ADCWU

and FPEOA to be outside of the scope of the proceeding.

I. On January 27, 2017, DCWC filed its Direct Testimony and Attachments of

James Willey.

J. On February 13, 2017, the Parties filed a Joint Motion to Stay the Procedural

Schedule. By Decision No. R17-0136-I (Mailed Date: February 15, 2017), the ALJ granted the

Joint Motion in part and ordered the Parties to file a written settlement agreement or a status

report on or before February 24, 2017.

II. Settlement Terms.

In consideration of the Particular Negotiated Terms contained in this section II.A. of this

Settlement Agreement, Intervenors ADCWU and FPEOA agree to withdraw their objection and

opposition to DCWC's request for Tariff Revisions as set forth in Exhibit D to this Settlement

Agreement. By withdrawing objection and opposition to the Colorado Public Utilities Commission's ("Commission") acceptance of the revised tariff requested by DCWC, Intervenors ADCWU and FPEOA do not endorse, agree with, nor adopt the basis and reasons for the revised tariff, but instead simply withdraw their objections and opposition and rely upon the Commission Staff to address the revised tariff through usual ratemaking procedures and factors, and defer to Commission Staff as to the appropriateness of the revised tariff sought by DCWC in this proceeding.

Similarly, by entering into this Settlement Agreement, DCWC does not expressly or impliedly concede, confess, or agree with any specific arguments, concerns, issues, or allegations raised by FPEOA, ADCWU, or Ouray County against DCWC during the course of this proceeding, including all arguments, concerns, issues or allegations determined by the ALJ to be outside of the scope of this proceeding.

A. Particular Negotiated Terms.

- 1. The Parties recognize and agree that the Colorado Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) jurisdiction and authority is established and defined in Article XXV of the Colorado Constitution and the Public Utilities Law, C.R.S. §§ 40-1-101, *et seq*. By entering into this Settlement Agreement, the Parties do not intend to expand, limit, or alter in any way the Commission's current power and authority to regulate DCWC as a small, privately-owned public utility.
- 2. The Parties recognize and agree that the Commission previously determined DCWC's water supply to be adequate to serve the anticipated growth within its approved service territory. *See Decision No. C06-1410*, ¶ 38, p. 11 in Docket No. 05A-333W (Mailed Date: December 1, 2006); *see also Decision No. R06-1023*, ¶ 171, p. 38 in Docket No. 05A-333W

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 4 of 23

EXHIBIT A to Joint Motion to Adopt the Settlement Agreement

(Mailed Date: September 1, 2006). The Parties agree that nothing in this Docket or Settlement

Agreement alters that prior determination.

3. The Parties recognize and agree that the Commission's authority under C.R.S. §§

40-3-101 and -102 (2017) includes the general jurisdiction to monitor, address, facilitate, oversee

and regulate matters related to the adequacy of DCWC's water supply, including DCWC's

performance of its contractual obligations and enforcement of contractual obligations under

DCWC's water supply lease with JKC Utilities, LLC ("Raw Water Lease and Transportation

Agreement (JKC Utilities LLC and Dallas Creek Water Company)", Attachment JAW-7, Dallas

Creek Water Company's Direct Testimony and Attachments of James Willey, January 27, 2017,

Proceeding No. 16AL0829W). However, the Parties also recognize and agree that such general

jurisdiction does not alter or supersede the jurisdiction and authority of the State, Water Court

and/or County to determine water supply matters pursuant to State statutes and County

regulations; and does not mean the State and/or County may not be in a better position to

determine those matters. See, Decision No. R06-1023, ¶ 177, p. 40 in Docket No. 05A-333W

(Mailed Date: September 1, 2006). Nor does such general jurisdiction create nor does it limit any

additional powers or affirmative obligations of the Commission to sue or otherwise seek

enforcement of DCWC's water supply lease, or otherwise usurp DCWC's decision making

authority and managerial discretion to address these issues as it sees fit in the best interests of the

customers.

4. The Parties recognize that the Commission's authority under C.R.S. §§ 40-3-101

and -102 (2017) includes the general jurisdiction to monitor, address, facilitate, oversee and

regulate matters related to DCWC's emergency water supply in the event of a severe drought and

other potential disaster scenario, such as a hazardous material spill and contamination event in

Dallas Creek, the source water for DCWC's water supply; as well as, any future agreement that DCWC might enter into with the Tri-County Water Conservancy District (TCW) for future interconnection of DCWC and TCW's water systems for purposes of emergency water supply. The Parties agree that DCWC shall keep the Commission informed of DCWC's efforts and progress made towards addressing additional emergency water supplies by filing with the Commission a water supply report within one year of the conclusion of this proceeding, and thereafter as necessary, at such time and in such form as may be requested or directed by the Commission and/or Staff. However, the Parties also recognize and agree that such general jurisdiction does not alter or supersede the jurisdiction and authority of the State, Water Court and/or County to determine and regulate, if necessary, such matters pursuant to State statutes and County regulations; and does not mean the State and/or County may not be in a better position to address such matters. Nor does such general jurisdiction create nor does it limit any additional powers or affirmative obligations of the Commission to determine any particular course of action by DCWC or otherwise usurp DCWC's decision making authority and managerial discretion to address these issues as it sees fit in the best interests of the customers.

B. DCWC's Revenue Requirement and Rate Increase.

1. This Settlement Agreement constitutes agreement by and between DCWC and Staff ("the Stipulating Parties") on both the DCWC revenue requirement and the rate increases allocated to each rate classification. Intervenors ADCWU and FPEOA do not join in agreement with the terms and representations in this section II.B. of this Settlement Agreement, but instead withdraw their objections and opposition to DCWC's request for Tariff Revisions as set forth in **Exhibit D** to this Settlement Agreement.

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 6 of 23

EXHIBIT A to Joint Motion to Adopt the Settlement Agreement

- 2. Prior to filing Advice Letter No. 4, DCWC consulted with Staff in developing the Stipulated Budget attached as **Exhibit A** in order to determine DCWC's current revenue requirement. The Stipulated Budget is based on DCWC's actual operating costs and expenses (collectively costs) incurred in 2015, with specific adjustments or additions being made for the following line items:
 - a. **Distribution System Maintenance Wage.** An additional \$26,000 is budgeted for a new part-time employee responsible for assisting the Water Operator with maintaining the distribution system.
 - b. **Plant and Distribution System Repairs.** Because some of the expenses incurred in 2015 were not reoccurring expenses, the Stipulating Parties stipulated to budgeting the 5-year average of actual costs for this particular line item. This resulted in a downward adjustment of \$74,141 from the 2015 actual cost of \$127,826.
 - c. **DCWC's Rate Case Legal Expenses.** The Stipulating Parties stipulate to budgeting \$70,000.00 to be amortized over 4 years at \$17,500.00 per year, assuming the Settlement Agreement is approved without hearing or modification and no further expenses are incurred by DCWC in prosecuting this case. If a hearing is required or the Settlement Agreement is modified, then the Stipulating Parties understand and agree that the final amount for DCWC's rate case legal expenses will be adjusted based on the actual amounts incurred by DCWC. The rates also will be adjusted in the fifth year to remove the line item expense for this case.
 - d. **Bad Debt Write Off and Other Legal Expenses.** The Stipulating Parties stipulate to excluding bad debt written off as a result of a Commission decision in 2013 in the complaint proceeding brought by FPEOA. Other legal expenses incurred by DCWC in defending various legal threats from groups of customers were also excluded from the Stipulated Budget since they were unrelated to DCWC's ability to provide water service to its customers.

The Stipulating Parties agree that using DCWC's 2015 actual expenses with the above adjustments is just and reasonable and that DCWC's current revenue requirement calculation using the Stipulated Budget is appropriate, just, and reasonable for determining rates in this proceeding.

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 7 of 23

EXHIBIT A to Joint Motion to Adopt the Settlement Agreement

3. The Stipulating Parties agree that the water lease expense for DCWC's water

supply obtained from JKC Utilities, LLC is reasonable. The Commission has previously found

this water lease expense to be just and reasonable. See Decision No. C06-1410, ¶ 6-9, pp. 3-4 in

Docket No. 05A-333W (Mailed Date: December 1, 2006). Since the prior proceeding, no

material terms of or circumstances related to DCWC's lease have changed. Presently, the only

feasible alternative water supplier with water rights capable of serving DCWC's customers is

TCW. The comparable cost of purchasing water from TCW through a new connection and

master meter remains considerably more expensive than the current lease expense with JKC.

Aside from the substantial start-up infrastructure and connection costs, when comparing only the

water lease expense to the cost of TCW water, the JKC lease remains the least cost alternative.

Lastly, the lease expense is prudent because DCWC only pays for what it needs, while

maintaining the right to purchase as much water as DCWC's domestic customers need up to the

full extent of JKC's senior decreed rights.

4. The Stipulating Parties stipulate that the 87 percent operating ratio approved by

the Commission in Docket Nos. 05A-333W and 05S-396W remains appropriate for determining

DCWC's current revenue requirement.

5. **Exhibit B** contains the Stipulating Parties' stipulated cost of service assignments.

The Stipulating Parties further agree the cost of service assignments are appropriate and non-

discriminatory for purposes of rate design and the rate increases requested in this proceeding.

6. **Exhibit** C contains the Stipulating Parties' agreement as to the rate increases for

each rate class. Key assumptions agreed to for purposes of calculating the rate increases are:

a. Water Distribution Fee per 1,000 gallons. The rate calculation assumes an

annual demand of 9,775,000 gallons, which is the actual usage in 2015.

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 8 of 23

EXHIBIT A to Joint Motion to Adopt the Settlement Agreement

- b. **Base Service Charge.** The rate calculation assumes 866 tap owner customers. This assumption is based on the most current information as of January 27, 2017, the date on which DCWC filed its direct testimony.
- c. **Meter In-Service Charge**. The rate calculation assumes 413 connected water customers. This assumption is based on the most current information as of January 27, 2017, the date on which DCWC filed its direct testimony.
- d. Customer Usage Fee per 1,000 gallons. The rate calculation assumes an annual demand of 16,188,000 gallons, which is the actual usage in 2015.
- 7. The Stipulating Parties agree that after accounting for revenue generated from non-water service sources, the current annual re-apportioned or net revenue requirement for DCWC is \$800,060. See Exhibit C.

C. Tariff Revisions.

- 1. The Stipulating Parties agree to the revised tariff pages attached as **Exhibit D**.
- 2. The Stipulating Parties agree that upon final Commission order approving this Settlement Agreement, DCWC will file a compliance filing on not less than one day's notice to place into effect the revised tariff pages.

D. General Terms.

1. This Settlement Agreement shall not become effective until the issuance of a final Commission order approving the Settlement Agreement, which order does not contain any modification of the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement that is unacceptable to the Parties hereto. In the event the Commission modifies this Settlement Agreement in a manner unacceptable to any Party hereto, that Party shall have the right to withdraw from this Settlement Agreement and proceed to hearing on some or all of the issues that may be appropriately raised by that Party in this proceeding under a new procedural schedule. The withdrawing Party shall notify the Commission and the other Parties to the Settlement Agreement, in writing within ten days of the date of the Commission order that the Party is withdrawing from the Settlement

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 9 of 23

EXHIBIT A to Joint Motion to Adopt the Settlement Agreement

Agreement (such notice being referred to as the "Notice"). A Party who properly serves a Notice

shall have and be entitled to exercise all rights the Party would have had in the absence of the

Party's agreeing to this Settlement Agreement, and a hearing shall be scheduled on an expedited

basis, as soon as practicable.

2. In the event that this Settlement Agreement is not approved, or is approved with

conditions that are unacceptable to any Party who subsequently withdraws, the negotiations or

discussions undertaken in conjunction with the Settlement Agreement shall not be admissible

into evidence in this or any other proceeding.

3. Approval by the Commission of this Settlement Agreement shall constitute a

determination that the Settlement Agreement represents a just, equitable, and reasonable

resolution of all issues that were or could have been contested among the Parties in this

proceeding solely addressing DCWC's filed Advice Letter No. 4 and proposed tariff sheets

requesting a general rate increase. The Parties recognize and agree that the two issues raised by

ADCWU and FPEOA which the ALJ determined to be outside of the scope of the proceeding in

Decision No. R17-0070-I (Mailed Date: January 25, 2017) are not affected, settled or resolved

by this Settlement Agreement, and specifically are not "issues that were or could have been

contested among the parties to this proceeding," as they have been excluded from this

proceeding. Further, Intervenors ADCWU and FPEOA do not waive, retroactively or

prospectively, any possible claims in a different proceeding which have not been raised or

addressed in this proceeding addressing DCWC's request for a revised tariff and general rate

increase.

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 10 of 23

EXHIBIT A to Joint Motion to Adopt the Settlement Agreement

4. This Settlement Agreement is the result of a negotiated compromise of issues raised in the proceeding. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed an express or implied admission or acceptance by any Party of any fact, principle, or position. By signing this Settlement Agreement and by joining the motion to adopt the Settlement Agreement filed with the Commission, the Parties acknowledge that they pledge support for Commission approval and subsequent implementation of these provisions.

5. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which when taken together shall constitute the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the issues addressed by this Settlement Agreement.

Dated this 6th day of March, 2017.

DALLAS CREEK WATER COMPANY, INC.

James A. Willey

President/Manager 334 S. 5th Street

Montrose, CO 81401

(970) 240-8123

Approved as to form:

Scott C. Miller, No. 26181

Jason M. Groves, No. 46692

229 Midland Ave.

Basalt, CO 81621

(970) 920-1030

miller@waterlaw.com

groves@waterlaw.com

Attorneys for Dallas Creek Water Company

STAFF OF THE COLORADO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Gabe Dusenbury
Senior Rate Analyst
1560 Broadway, Stc. 1550
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 894-2046

FAIRWAY PINES ESTATES OWNERS ASSOCIATION

/s/ Mike Forstner

Mike Forstner President P.O. Box 151 Ridgway, CO 81432

ASSOCIATION OF DALLAS CREEK WATER USERS, INC.

/s/ Richard Kreutzen

Richard Kreutzen President P.O. Box 651 Ridgway, CO 81432 Approved as to form:

David M. Nocera, No. 28776 1300 Broadway, 8th Floor Denver, CO 80202 (720) 508-6333 dave.nocera@coag.gov

Attorney for Colorado PUC Trial Staff

Approved as to form:

1s/ Gene Dackonish

Gene D. Dackonish, No. 17774 415 Brach Drive Grand Junction, CO 81507 (970) 256-9559 Gene.Dackonish@lawdbh.com

Attorney for Fairway Pines Estates Owners Association and the Association of Dallas Creek Water Users, Inc.

		Rate	C Ordered s effective -01-2007	li	15 Actual nked to inancial Stmts		15 Actual w justment s
Ordinary Income/Expense	-						
Income WATER SALES & STANDBY FEES Usage Per 1000 Raw Water Sales Raw Water Delivery Charges Total WATER SALES & STANDBY FEES		\$ \$ \$	107,255 - 24,105 131,360	\$	138,532 0 13,488 152,020	\$	138,532 0 13,488 152,020
OTHER INCOME Base Rate Meter In-Service Fee		\$	396,609 127,915		426,998 157,512		426,998 157,512
Service Connection Fees After-Hours Turn Off Fees Collection & Returned Ck Fees Water Tap Transfer Fees Water Tap Transfer Fees - Differential System Total OTHER INCOME		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,400	\$	1,387 90 45 2800 588,832	\$	1,387 90 45 2,800 588,832
Total Income		\$	659,284	\$	740,852	\$	740,852
Cost of Goods Sold COST OF WATER & WATER TREATMENT Chemicals & Polymers Compliance Testing & Sampling Plant Operator - Contracted Dist. System Maintenance Wage		\$ \$ \$	7,032 4,697 62,541		24,751 4,281 84,491 0		24,751 4,281 84,491 26,000
COST OF WATER & WATER TREATMENT Chemicals & Polymers Compliance Testing & Sampling Plant Operator - Contracted Dist. System Maintenance Wage Plant Operations Payroll FICA & Medicare Tax Expense FUTA Tax Expense SUTA Tax Expense Workmens Compensation Insurance Mileage Reimbursement Meter Reading Training & Seminars Compliance issues Total Plant Operations & Payroll Utilities-Electric Utilities-Telephone Line/Alarm Water Purchases Total COST OF WATER & WATER TREATMENT Total COGS		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	581 8,407 237 900 436		10,016 766 42 103 566 903		4,997 1,990 84 249 866
Mileage Reimbursement Meter Reading Training & Seminars Compliance issues Total Plant Operations & Payroll		\$	84,831	\$	420 126,339	\$	903 420 149,032
Utilities-Electric Utilities-Telephone Line/Alarm Water Purchases		\$ \$ \$	38,586 51 45,718		43,995 49,267		43,995 49,267
Total COST OF WATER & WATER TREATMENT Total COGS		\$ \$	84,355 169,186	\$ \$	93,261 219,601	\$ \$	93,261 242,294
Gross Profit		\$	490,098	\$	521,251	\$	498,559
Expense OTHER TREATMENT & DISTRIBUTION Local Travel Plant & Dist System Repairs		\$	55		0		
Distribution System Repairs Plant Maintenance & Repairs Pump Repairs & Maintenance Plant/Dist Sys Maint Supplies Small Tools Utilities-Plant Telephone Total OTHER TREATMENT & DISTRIBUTION		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	23,785 1,799 38,397 3,295 967 1,002 69,300	\$	127,826 10,325 582 9,227 1,500 149,459	\$	53,685 10,325 582 9,227 1,500 75,319
INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS Mortgage Interest Property Tax Expense		\$	56,611 6,874	7	38,944 15,335	Ť	38,944 15,335

	Rate	C Ordered es effective -01-2007	li	15 Actual inked to inancial Stmts		15 Actual w ljustment s
Depreciation Expense						
Depreciation Exp-Plant/Dist Sys Total INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS	\$ \$	85,672 149,157	\$	108,671 162,950	\$	108,671 162,950
ADMINISTRATION & OVERHEAD						
Employee Health Ins.	\$	9,327		0		
Salary-Administrator	\$ \$	50,400		51,652		51,652
Salary-Manager	\$	41,100		53,170		53,170
Salary-Secretary	\$	19,500		20,756		20,756
FICA & Medicare Tax Expense FUTA Tax Expense				9,607 126		9,607
SUTA Tax Expense				365		126 365
Workmens Compensation Insurance				576		576
Utilities-Internet Access	\$	1,000		1,420		1,420
Amortization of Loan Fees/ Verizon Lease/ Plant & Office Software	\$	2,642		3,859		3,859
Advertising Expense	\$	1,027		0		0
Auto-License Plates	\$	435		508		508
Auto Maintenance & Repairs	\$	175		2,276		2,276
Bank Charges Bad Debt	ф Ф	1,508		150 0		150
Computer Related Expense	***	_		2,245		2,245
Finance Charges Paid	\$	2,919		2,243		2,243
Fuel	\$	343		1,741		1,741
Insurance - Auto	\$	819		1,490		1,490
Insurance-Property & Liability	\$	8,207		12,416		12,416
Interest Expense	\$	2,279		804		804
Legal Notices	\$	-		44		44
License Fees	\$	423		10		10
Membership Dues Office Rent	\$	125		947		947
Office Maintenance	\$	271		1,745		1,745
New Gas & Electric	\$	-		3,061		3,061
New Water & Trash	*			2,644		2,644
Office Rent - Other	\$	22,267		33,692		33,692
Office Supplies Expense	\$	5,022		3,837		3,837
Outside Services				_		
Engineering Services-PUC Matter	œ.	4 405		0		0
PUC-Work Raw Water	\$ \$	1,495 581		1,636 0		1,636 0
General	э \$	1,978		U		U
Legal Fees - PUC Matters	Ψ	1,570		53		53
Rate Case- 4 year term	\$	15,000		0		17,500
Complaint, etc.		5,000		0		0
Accounting & Tax Prep Fees	\$ \$ \$	3,128		2,325		2,325
Auditor	\$	4,000		0		0
Administration - Contracted (Bills Mailing and Online Payments) Legal Fees	\$	-		6,093		6,093
General	\$	163		14,153		14,153
Postage	\$	3,459		2,208		2,208
Lien Recording Fees	\$ \$ \$ \$	-		0		0
Tax Penalty Expense	\$	-				
Utilities-Message Services / Cell Phone	\$	1,293		2,336		2,336
Utilities-Telephone-Office	\$	2,158		1,318		1,318
Total ADMINISTRATION & OVERHEAD	\$	208,044	\$	239,262	\$	256,762
Total Expenses	\$	426,501	\$	551,672	\$	495,031
Net Ordinary Income	\$	63,597	\$	(30,420)	\$	3,528
Other Income						
Interest Income	\$	3,060				
(Cell Tower Income)	\$	11,300		40,522		40,522
Interest Income - CFS MMA (Misc & Capital Credits SMPA)		3		0		0
Finance Charges Earned	\$	11,049	_	6,917	•	6,917
Net Other Income	\$	25,412	\$	47,439	\$	47,439

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 14 of 23

EXHIBIT A Stipulated Budget Page 3 of 3

		Ra	UC Ordered tes effective 01-01-2007	lir Fil	5 Actual nked to nancial Stmts	15 Actual w justment s
Net Profit and Loss	Water Tap Revenue Recognized Adjusted Profit per Qbooks	\$	89,009 89,009	\$	17,019 7,000 24,019	50,967 7,000 57,967
Operating Ratio Contribution		\$ \$	595,687 684,698 87% 89,011	\$	771,272 886,520 87% 115,248	\$ 737,325 847,499 87% 110,175

		1		1		1	
ndicator			005 Test		PUC Ordered		045 4 11 0 4 -
ogic .	F= Fixed Expenses		ear with justments	K	ates effective 01-01-2007	2	015 Adj Costs Rate Case
F =	Accounting & Tax Prep Fees	\$	3.128	\$	3.128	\$	2,325
F	Advertising Expense	\$	1,027	\$	1,027	\$	
F	Amortization of Loan Fees	\$	2.642	\$	2.642	\$	3,859
F	Audit	\$	4,000	\$	4,000	\$	-,
F	Auto Maintenance & Repairs	\$	175	\$	175	\$	2,276
F	Auto-License Plates	\$	435	\$	435	\$	508
F	Bad Debt			·		\$	-
F	Bank Charges	\$	1,508	\$	1,508	\$	150
F	Complaint, etc.	\$	5,000	\$	5,000	\$	-
F	Computer Related- software					\$	2,245
F	Compliance Testing & Sampling	\$	4,697	\$	4,697	\$	4,281
F	Depreciation Exp-Plant/Dist Sys	\$	85,672	\$	85,672	\$	108,671
F	Employee Health Ins.	\$	9,327	\$	9,327	\$	-
F	FICA & Medicare Tax Expense	\$	8,407	\$	8,407	\$	11,597
F	Finance Charges Paid	\$	2,919	\$	2,919	\$	-
F	Fuel	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	343	\$	343	\$	1,741
F	FUTA Tax Expense	\$	237	\$	237	\$	210
F	General Engineering	\$	1,978	\$	1,978	\$	-
F	General Legal	\$	163	\$	163	\$	14,249
F	Insurance - Auto	\$	819	\$	819	\$	1,490
F	Insurance-Property & Liability	\$	8,207	\$	8,207	\$	12,416
F	Interest Expense	\$	2,279	\$	2,279	\$	804
F	License Fees	\$	423	\$	423	\$	10
F	Local Travel	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	55	\$	55	\$	-
F	Membership Dues	\$	125	\$	125	\$	947
F	Mortgage Interest	\$	56,611	\$	56,611	\$	38,944
F	Office Maintenance	\$	271	\$	271	\$	7,450
F	Office Rent - Other	\$	22,267	\$	22,267	\$	33,692
F	Office Supplies Expense	\$ \$ \$ \$	5,022	\$	5,022	\$	3,837
F	Postage	\$	3,459	\$	3,459	\$	8,301
F	Property Tax Expense	\$	6,874	\$	6,874	\$	15,335
F	PUC-Work	\$	1,495	\$	1,495	\$	1,636
F	Rate Case	\$	15,000	\$	15,000	\$	17,500
F	Raw Water	\$ \$ \$	581	\$	581	\$	-
F	Salary-Administrator	\$	50,400	\$	50,400	\$	51,652
F	Salary-Manager	\$	41,100	\$	41,100	\$	53,170
F	Salary-Secretary	\$	19,500	\$	19,500	\$	20,756
F	Small Tools	\$	967	\$	967	\$	-
F	SUTA Tax Expense	\$	900	\$	900	\$	614
F	Tax Penalty Expense	\$	710	\$	-	\$	-
F	Utilities-Internet Access	\$	1,000	\$	1,000	\$	1,420
F	Utilities-Message Services	\$	1,293	\$	1,293	\$	2,336
F	Utilities-Plant Telephone	\$	1,002	\$	1,002	\$	1,500
F	Utilities-Telephone Line/Alarm	\$	51	\$	51	\$	
F	Utilities-Telephone-Office	\$	2,158	\$	2,158	\$	1,318
F	Workmens Compensation Insurance	\$	436	\$	436	\$	1,442
	0 (1)	\$	374,661	\$	373,953	\$	428,682
	Contribution	\$	55,984	\$	55,878	\$	64,056
	Total Fixed with Contribution BASE SERVICE FEE	\$	430,645	\$	429,831	\$	492,738

Indicato	V= Variable Expenses	Y	2005 Test Year with Adjustments		PUC Ordered ates effective 01-01-2007	2015 Adj Cost Rate Case		
V	Chemicals & Polymers	\$	7,032	\$	7,032		24,751	
V	Plant Operator Backup-Contract	\$	581	\$	581		0	
V	Emergency Line Repairs						5,900	
V	Distribution System Repairs	\$	23,785	\$	23,785		53,685	
V	Plant Maintenance & Repairs	\$	1,799	\$	1,799		10,325	
V	Pump Repairs & Maintenance	\$	38,397	\$	38,397		361	
V	Plant/Dist Sys Maint Supplies	\$	3,295	\$	3,295		9,227	
V	Training and Seminars-Compliance						420	
	Water Purchases	\$	45,718	\$	45,718		49,267	
		\$	120,607	\$	120,607	\$	153,937	
	Contribution	\$	18,022	\$	18,022	\$	23,002	
	Total Variable with Contribution	\$	138,628	\$	138,629	\$	176,939	
	METER IN SERVICE FEE							

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 16 of 23

EXHIBIT B Cost of Service Assignments Page 2 of 2

Indicato	IM = In Meter Expenses	Υ	2005 Test ear with justments	PUC Ordered Rates effective 01-01-2007	20	015 Adj Costs Rate Case
IM	Plant Operator - Backup					26,000
IM	Plant Operator - Contracted	\$	62,541	\$ 62,541		83,843
IM	Utilities - Electric	\$	38,586	\$ 38,586		31,135
		\$	101,128	\$ 101,127	\$	140,977
	Contribution	\$	15,111	\$ 15,111	\$	21,066
	Total In Meter w Contribuiton	\$	116,239	\$ 116,238	\$	162,043
	DOMESTIC WATER FEE -1,000 G					

Indicato r	RWD= Raw Water Delivery Expenses	2005 Test Year with Adjustment		PUC Ordered Rates effective 01-01-2007		2015 Adj Costs Rate Case
RWD RWD RWD	Electrical - Golf Course Only Plant Operator- Contracted at 10% daily Pump Maintenance & Repairs	time allocation	1		0,00	220
	Contribution Total Raw Water Delivery withContribution RAW WATER DELIVERY FEE- Golf Cou				0,00	
	Percent Fixed Percent Variable Percent In-Meter Percent Raw Water	62.82 20.22 16.96	% %	62.78° 20.25° 16.98°	% %	58.14% 20.88% 19.12% 1.86% 100.00%
	Total Expenses	\$ 596,39 \$ 89,11 \$ 685,51	6 7	\$ 595,68 \$ 89,01 \$ 684,69	7 5	3 737,324 3 110,175

89,117 \$

89,011 \$

110,175

F=Fixed V=Variable IM=In-Meter Customer

Total Contribution

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Rate 1961/616/649/618	2Dallas Cred	ek Water	Company	1			95		XHIBIT C	8
Trate Bevelopment 2010	Dallas Orce	N VValor	Company	1	e vas				ate Development	Workshe
2015 Actual w Adjustments				(d) (d) (d)	CSE Ret. RED PASS	Cat Cabi	Col. Clod Col.	Cd. C. Cd. C	age I of 2	
	Demand	Current Rate	Non-sized Rates	Non-sized Revenues	Re-apportioned Revenues			Difference Current & New Rates		
Raw Water Distribution (Per 1000 Gall	9,775			\$15,780						Q.
Base ervice Charge Per Custoner	866	\$41.01	N/A	N/A	\$465,157	\$44.76	9.15%	\$3.75		
Metron Service Per Connected Custoner	413	\$32.50	N/A	N/A	\$167,034	\$33.70	3.70%	\$1.20		
Water-Jsage Rate (Per 1000 Gallons)	16,188	\$8.56	N/A	N/A	\$152,972	\$9.45	10.39%	\$0.89		
Tota	ıl				\$800,060					
2005 Test Year				(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	Que Lega Raise	SH COR	Cat. Color	6. C.	col.C.x.Col.Hgolol	
Colo	Demand	Current Rate	Non-sized Rates	Non-sized Revenues	Re-apportioned Revenues	New Rates	Percent	Difference Current & New Rates	50% Increrase Rates for 4 Months	
Raw Water Distribution (Per 1000 Gallons	17,416	\$0.76	1.5	\$26,124.00	\$ 24,105	\$1.38	82%	\$0.74	\$1.13	
Base Service Charge Per Customer	806	\$22.50	N/A	N/A	\$396,605	\$41.01	82%	\$18.51	\$31.75	
Meter In Service Per Connected Customer	328	\$0.00	N/A	N/A	\$127,913	\$32.50	N/A	\$32.50	\$16.25	
Water Usage Rate (Per 1000 Gallons)	12,525	\$7.50	N/A	N/A	\$107,253	\$8.56	14%	\$1.06	\$8.03	56 56
					\$ 655,876					1
						1			1	•

Proc. No. 16AL-0829	Páťeľ²Company 9W. Page 18 of 23					Dal	las Creek W	ater Company EXHIBIT	С		
Revenue Re							Revenue Re	Rate Dev	elopment V	/orksheets	
Line #	Item	A	В	С	L	ine		Item	A	В	С
			_				· ·	2005 Test Year		_	
	Adjusted 2015 Adjusted			Re-apportioned Rev. Req.				2005 Test Year			Re-apportioned Rev. Req.
1	Expenses	\$737,324				1		Expenses	\$595,685		
2	Operating Ratio	87%				2		Operating Ratio	87%		
3 Ln 1 / Ln 2	Revenue Requirement	\$847,499				3	Ln 1 / Ln 2	Revenue Requirement	\$684,695		
4	Other Income	\$47,439				4		Other Income	\$26,124		
5 LN 3 - Ln 4	Net	\$800,060				5	LN 3 - Ln 4	Net	\$658,571		
6	Base Service Charge Per Cust Cost	\$428,682				6		Base Service Charge Per Cust Cost	\$373,951		
7	Operating Ratio	87%				7		Operating Ratio	87%		
8 LN 6 / Ln 7	Base Serv Rev. Requirement	\$492,738	94.40%	\$465,157	-	8	LN 6 / Ln 7	Base Serv Rev. Requirement	\$429,829	92.27%	\$396,603
					_						
9	Meter-In-Service Charge Costs	\$153,937				9		Meter-In-Service Charge Costs	\$120,607		
10	Operating Ratio	87%				10		Operating Ratio	87%		
11 Ln9 / Ln 10	Base Service Rev. Requirement	\$176,939	94.40%	\$167,034		11	Ln9 / Ln 10	Base Service Rev. Requirement	\$138,629	92.27%	\$127,913
12	Water Usage Costs	\$140,977				12		Water Usage Costs	\$101,128		
13	Operating Ratio	87%				13		Operating Ratio	87%		
14	Base Service Rev. Requirement	\$162,043	94.40%	\$152,972		14		Base Service Rev. Requirement	\$116,239	92.27%	\$107,254
15 See Rates	Raw Water Revenues @ / 1000 gal	\$15,780	94.40%	\$14,897		15	See Rates	Raw Water Revenues @ 1.50 / 1000 ga	\$26,124	92.27%	\$24,105
16 Lns 8+ 11+14+15	Total Revenue Requirement	\$847,499				16	Lns 8+ 11+14+15	Total Revenue Requirement	\$684,697		
17 LN 5 / Ln 16	Percent of Net Rev. to Rev. Req.	94.40%				17	LN 5 / Ln 16	Percent of Net Rev. to Rev. Req.	92.27%		
40	Total Da allocated Day Daysin	. NIA		# 000.000		40		Total De allegated Day De witness	NIA		ACEE 07.1
18	Total Re-allocated Rev. Requiremen	NA NA		\$800,060		18		Total Re-allocated Rev. Requirement	NA		\$655,874
<u> </u>	1	ı			1			1		ı	

DALLAS CREEK WATER COMPANY, INC. 334 S. 5th Street

334 S. 5th Street Montrose, CO 81401 www.dallascreekwater.com

WATER UTILITY TARIFF

Effective January 1, 2007

REVISIONS

First Revised Tariff Second Revised Tariff Effective November 1, 2010 Effective December 1, 2016

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 20 of 23

Dallas Creek Water Company, Inc. 334 South 5th Street Montrose, CO 81401

EXHIBIT D
Proposed Tariff Sheets
Page 2 of 5
Colorado PUC Tariff Number 1
Second Revised Page 3
Replaces First Revised Page 3

SECTION 6 – RATES AND CHARGES

6.1	General		39
6.2	Rebate Agreements	Fees	39
6.3	Service Connection	Fees	40
6.4	Water Tap Fees		40
6.5	Base Service Charge	<u> </u>	40
6.6	Meter In-Service Ch	narges	40
6.7	Construction Water	Charge	40
6.8	Water Transfer Tap	of Fee	40
6.9	Water Usage Rate		41
6.10	Finance Charges		41
6.11	Return Payment Fee		41
6.12	Deposit		41
6.13	Turn-off/Turn-on Fe	ee	41
8.1	SECTI General	ON 8 – SERVICE LINE STA	43
8.2			43
		OCAL DISTRIBUTION SYS [*]	
9.1			46
9.2	Drawings		46
9.3	Required Easements	5	46
Advic	ce Letter No. 4	Decision No.	
Office	ture of Issuing er s/James Willey	Issue Date September 30, 2010	

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 21 of 23

Dallas Creek Water Company, Inc. 334 South 5th Street
Montrose, CO 81401

EXHIBIT D
Proposed Tariff Sheets
Page 3 of 5
Colorado PUC Tariff Number 1
Second Revised Page 5
Replaces First Revised Page 5

SECTION 1 - GENERAL/EXPLANATORY MATERIAL

1.1 CHECK LIST

The title page and pages listed below are inclusive and effective as of the date shown. Original and revised pages as named below contain all changes from the original tariff that are in effect on the date shown on each page.

Page Number	Revision		Page Number	Revision
1	First Revised			
2 3	First Revised			
3	Second Revised	(T)		
4	First Revised			
4 5	Second Revised	(T)		
6	Second Revised	(T)		
7	First Revised			
8	First Revised			
9	First Revised			
10	First Revised			
11	First Revised			
12	First Revised			
13	First Revised			
14	First Revised			
15	First Revised			
16	First Revised			
17	First Revised			
18	First Revised			
19	First Revised			
20	First Revised			
21	First Revised			
22	First Revised			
23	First Revised			
24	First Revised			
25	First Revised			
26	First Revised			

Advice Letter No. 4	Decision No.	
Signature of Issuing Officer	Issue Date September 30, 2010	
/s/ James Willey Title: President Dallas	Effective Date	
Creek Water Company	December 1, 2016	

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 22 of 23

Dallas Creek Water Company, Inc. 334 South 5th Street
Montrose, CO 81401

EXHIBIT D
Proposed Tariff Sheets
Page 4 of 5
Colorado PUC Tariff Number 1
Second Revised Page 6
Replaces First Revised Page 6

27	First Revised		
28	First Revised		
29	First Revised		
30	First Revised		
31	First Revised		
32	First Revised		
33	First Revised		
34	First Revised		
35	First Revised		
36	First Revised		
37	First Revised		
38	First Revised		
39	First Revised		
40	First Revised		
41	First Revised		
42	Second Revised	(T)	
43	First Revised		
44	First Revised		
45	First Revised		
46	First Revised		
47	First Revised		
48	First Revised		
49	First Revised		

1.2 EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS

The following symbols shall be used in this tariff for the purpose indicated below:

- (C) To signify changed regulation.
- (D) To signify discontinued rate and regulation.
- (I) To signify increased rate.
- (M) To signify a move in the location of text.
- (N) To signify new rate or regulation.
- (R) To signify reduced rate.

Advice Letter No. 4	Decision No.	
Signature of Issuing	Issue Date	
Signature of Issuing Officer	September 30, 2010	
/s/ James Willey	56ptemoer 50, 2010	
Title: President Dallas	Effective Date	
Creek Water Company	December 1, 2016	

Appen. A, Dec. No. R17-0277 Proc. No. 16AL-0829W, Page 23 of 23

> Dallas Creek Water Company, Inc. 334 South 5th Street Montrose, CO 81401

EXHIBIT D
Proposed Tariff Sheets
Page 5 of 5
Colorado PUC Tariff Number 1
Second Revised Page 42
Replaces First Revised Page 42

SECTION 7 - SCHEDULE OF UTILITY RATES AND FEES

	Monthly	
Base Service Charge	\$44.76	(I)
Meter In-Service Charge	\$33.70	(I)
	Non-Recurring	
Water Tap Fee	\$7,000	
Turn-on/Turn-off	\$50.00	
Water Tap Transfer Fee	\$50.00	
After-Hours Fees		
First Hour	\$60.00	
Additional Fifteen (15) Minutes	\$15.00	
	<u>Usage</u>	
Customer Usage Fee per 1,000 gallons	\$9.45	(I)
Water Distribution Fee per 1,000 gallons	\$1.52	(I)
Raw Water Fee per 1,000 gallons	\$7.50	(-)
Service Connection Fee	Individual Case Basis	

Advice Letter No. 4	Decision No.	
Signature of Issuing Officer /s/ James Willey	Issue Date September 30, 2010	
Title: President Dallas Creek Water Company	Effective Date December 1, 2016	