

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

* * *

IN THE MATTER OF COSTING AND PRICING) DOCKET NO. 92M-039T
FOR TELEPHONE SERVICES.) ORDER OF ADMINISTRATIVE NOTICE

Mailed Date: February 24, 1992
Adopted Date: February 19, 1992

ORDER OF ADMINISTRATIVE NOTICE

This matter, referred to as the "Costing and Pricing" docket, shall be heard by the en banc Colorado Public Utilities Commission during the week of February 24, 1992. The Commission hereby notifies the parties that it will take administrative notice of those documents listed on Attachment A.

This Decision is effective immediately.

DONE IN OPEN MEETING February 19, 1992.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

ARNOLD H. COOK

CHRISTINE E. M. ALVAREZ

Commissioners

COMMISSIONER GARY L. NAKARADO ABSENT.

(S E A L)



ATTEST: A TRUE COPY

Robert E. Temmer
Robert E. Temmer
Acting Director

92M-039T—Costing and Pricing for Telephone Services
[from AFOR Docket No. 90A-665T]

INDEX
(Page 3 of 4 page)

Administrative Notice

1. Decision No. C83-1248: Decision and Order of the Commission in Phase II of I & S 1575.
2. Decision No. C87-364: Decision and Order of the Commission in Phase II of I & S 1720.
3. Decision No. C91-1128: Initial Commission Decision on Phase II establishing Rates and Certain Pricing and Costing Policies, in Docket No.s 90S-544T, 91M-119T, 89I-597T, and 91S-366T.
4. Decisions Nos. R87-1665 and C88-1665: Commission order refraining from regulation of certain central office-based services that are subject to competition; Application 37709.
5. Decision No. C87-1347: Commission Order granting flexible regulatory treatment to point-to-point and point-to-multi-point dedicated telecommunications services; Case No. 6633, and Application No. 37367.
6. Decision No. C89-1154: Commission Decision upon examination of the status of competition in private line markets; Application 37367-Reopened (1988), and Concurring Opinion of Commissioner Gary L. Nakarado.
7. Decision No. C88-1467: Commission Order granting relaxed regulatory treatment of certain emerging competitive telecommunications services of AT&T; Application No. 39020.
8. Decision Nos. R89-126, C89-626, and C89-404: Commission Order granting relaxed regulatory treatment of certain emerging competitive telecommunications services of MCI; Application No. 39225.
9. Rules Prescribing the Provision of Certain Products and Services within Open Network Architecture: 4 CCR 723 - 12.
10. Rules Regulating Emerging Competitive Telecommunications Service: 4 CCR 723 - 24.

I N D E X
(Page 4 of 4 page)

Administrative Notice (continued)

11. Cost Allocation Rules for Telecommunications Service Providers and Telephone Utilities: 4 CCR 723 - 27.
12. ALLOCATING COSTS IN THE TELEPHONE INDUSTRY: A REGULATORY OBJECTIVE APPROACH, Mark A. Jamison and Lisa Chalstrom, Utilities Division, Iowa Department of Commerce, July 22, 1988.
13. COST ALLOCATIONS FOR REGULATED TELEPHONE COMPANIES, Lisa Chalstrom, Utilities Division, Iowa Department of Commerce, July 11, 1990.
14. A PROPOSAL FOR TELEPHONE COSTING RULES, Mark A. Jamison, Utilities Division, Iowa Department of Commerce, November 6, 1990.
15. COSTING BY CLASS OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE, Mark A. Jamison, Utilities Division, Iowa Department of Commerce, NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 1991.
16. Computer III Remand Proceedings, Report and Order: CC Docket No. 90-623, adopted November 21, 1991.
17. Amendments of Part 69 of the Commission's Rules Relating to the Creation of Access Charge Subelements for Open Network Architecture, Report and Order & Order on Further Reconsideration & Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 89-79, and Policy and Rules Concerning Rates for Dominant Carriers, CC Docket No. 87-313, adopted June 13, 1991.
18. Report on the Oregon Building Blocks Workshops on behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation, Docket No. 90A-665T, December 31, 1991.
19. Decision, Docket No. 88-03-31, State of Connecticut, Investigation into the Costs of Providing Intrastate Telecommunications Services by the Southern New England Telephone Company, August 8, 1990.
20. Decision, Docket No. 89-12-05, State of Connecticut, Investigation into the Rate Structures and Operations and Financial Status of the Southern New England Telephone Company. Phase II, June 28, 1991.