BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

PROCEEDING NO. 19A-0352G

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF COLORADO NATURAL GAS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A QUALITY OF SERVICE PLAN

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

This Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") is a full and complete resolution of the Colorado Natural Gas, Inc. ("CNG" or "Company") application seeking approval of its first Quality of Service Plan ("QSP") as agreed to by the Settling Parties, which represent all the parties to this proceeding. Along with CNG, the Settlement Agreement is joined by the Colorado Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") Trial Staff ("Staff"), and the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel ("OCC") (collectively "Settling Parties"). The Settlement Agreement is a comprehensive settlement among the Settling Parties, which proposes a resolution of all issues that have been raised, or could have been raised, in this proceeding. The Settling Parties respectfully request that the Commission approve this Settlement Agreement.

II. BACKGROUND

- 1. As part of the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement filed on October 10, 2018 in CNG's most recent base rate case, Proceeding No. 18AL–0305G, the Company agreed to collaborate with Staff and OCC on the development of a QSP. CNG was to file its first QSP within six months of the effective date of the final decision in Proceeding No. 18AL–0305G.
- 2. The Stipulation and Settlement Agreement in Proceeding No. 18AL–0305G was approved by Decision No. R18–0972 issued November 1, 2018. CNG requested and was granted a brief extension of time to make the agreed to QSP filing and filed its QSP commencing this

Attachment A
Decision No. R20-0057
Proceeding No. 19A-0352G
Page 2 of 11

Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Proceeding No. 19A-0352G

proceeding on June 20, 2019. Through this application, CNG proposed "to capture and monitor

data" in key areas for purposes of developing a QSP. The Company proposed a three-year period

of data collection with "no associated goals, and no penalties or incentives."

3. The Staff and OCC, which were each parties to the aforementioned rate case

proceeding, timely filed notices of intervention as of right and requested a hearing on the

application. No other parties intervened in the instant proceeding.

4. By Decision No. C19–0706–I, mailed August 23, 2019, the Commission assigned

this proceeding to an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") and required that CNG submit

Supplemental Direct Testimony in which the Company was required to address enforcement

mechanisms that would apply to its QSP. The Supplemental Direct Testimony was to include

potential negative incentives that the Company proposes to ensure quality of service would be

maintained by the Company over the term of the QSP. On October 18, 2019, CNG filed the

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Matthew S. Kaply, Senior Director of Regulatory Affairs and

Rates, addressing the proposed QSP enforcement mechanisms, requesting positive incentives for

superior performance and describing the level of potential penalties for not meeting the adequate

service metrics that the Company was willing to accept.

5. Pursuant to the procedural schedule established by the ALJ, both Staff and OCC

filed Answer Testimony on November 22, 2019, in support of their positions in this proceeding.

On December 13, 2019, CNG filed the Rebuttal Testimony of Keith Lincoln, Vice President of

Operations, responding to the Answer Testimony filed by Staff and OCC, and withdrawing the

Company's request for positive incentives. Staff filed the Corrected Answer Testimony of Adam

M. Gribb on December 20, 2019.

2

Attachment A
Decision No. R20-0057
Proceeding No. 19A-0352G
Page 3 of 11

Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Proceeding No. 19A-0352G

6. During the course of this proceeding, the Settling Parties have had several rounds

of negotiations in an attempt to reach a resolution of the issues that have arisen in the proceeding.

The Settling Parties are pleased to report that, as a result of those discussions, they have reached a

resolution of all issues which were raised or could have been raised in the proceeding and now

offer this Settlement Agreement for approval by the Commission in full resolution of this

proceeding.

III. SETTLEMENT TERMS

1. In resolution of the issues raised, or which could have been raised, by the parties in

this proceeding, the Settling Parties agree as follows.

A. Damage Prevention Metric

The Settling Parties acknowledge that the Company's current Pipeline and Hazardous

Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") report shows the number of damages per 1,000

pipeline locates as a modest 6.34 damages per 1,000 locates for the Company's current three-year

average. In recognition of CNG's efforts to improve on the number of damages per 1,000 locates,

the Settling Parties have agreed to a three-step process beginning in 2020, whereby the Company

will reduce target hits per 1,000 from 6.34 in 2020, to 4.42 in 2021, and 2.49 in 2022. The 2.49

hits per 1,000 locates to be achieved in 2022 is a figure based on the five-year Colorado average

drawn from Colorado's Underground Damage Prevention Legislation Study of 2016. The Settling

Parties agree that this three-year phase-down of target hits per 1,000 locates is an appropriate

methodology for CNG to follow in improving its damage prevention performance.

3

B. Grade 2 Leak Repair Time

The Company proposed a 15 to 25-day band to repair Grade 2 leaks. In Staff's Answer Testimony, Staff proposed a goal of repairing Grade 2 leaks within 24 hours. After discussion with the Company, Staff filed Corrected Answer Testimony that modified its proposed timeframe for Grade 2 leak repairs to 16 days. The parties have agreed that an appropriate time period for Grade 2 leak repair is 16 days going forward. Grade 2 leaks are by definition "nonhazardous leaks that need to be repaired within one year," under Department of Transportation regulations. The fact that the Company can agree to a 16-day timeframe is indicative of the Company's focus on operating and maintaining its distribution system in a safe, reliable and effective manner. The Settling Parties also acknowledge that to the extent that extenuating circumstances beyond the Company's control require an extension of time beyond the proposed 16-day time period for repair of a Grade 2 leak, the Company may have additional time within which to repair such leaks and report in its annual reporting the rationale for extending the time period beyond the agreed-to 16-day timeframe.

C. Customer-Owned Yard Lines

In its Answer Testimony, Staff recommended that the Company identify all Customer-Owned Yard Lines ("COYL") and that the Company be required to provide individual notice to each customer operating a COYL. CNG currently provides annual notice to all customers regarding customer responsibilities for COYLs. Staff requested that the notification of customer responsibility for COYLs be targeted to the specific customers owning and operating COYLs each year of the QSP after resolution of this proceeding. In settlement of this issue, Staff and CNG have agreed that the Company will have 24 months, ending December 31, 2021, within which to

Attachment A
Decision No. R20-0057
Proceeding No. 19A-0352G
Page 5 of 11

Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Proceeding No. 19A-0352G

conduct its review of its system for the purpose of determining the locations of all Customer-Owned Yard Lines. This will enable the Company to conduct leak surveys and other operational oversight, in addition to identifying the location of COYLs on its system, within a timely fashion. During this period, the Company will continue to provide annual notice to all customers concerning the customer's responsibility for operating and maintaining COYLs. Additionally, the Company will provide door hangers to those customers who have been identified as having a COYL at the time that identification is made and will mark within the customer's account when the door hanger was placed. The door hanger will make the customer aware of their COYL and include a phone number and website which will provide additional information about the operation and maintenance responsibilities related to COYLs. At the end of the 24-month period ending December 31, 2021, after all COYLs in its system have been identified, CNG will provide annual targeted notice to all customers in possession of a COYL to remind them of their responsibilities for operation and maintenance of their COYL.

D. System Safety and Integrity Rider

During the course of settlement negotiations, the parties discussed the fact that CNG does not presently have a System Safety and Integrity Rider ("SSIR") for use in recovering the costs of system safety improvements. As part of the resolution of this proceeding, the Company agrees to file an application for approval of an SSIR by the conclusion of the second quarter of 2020. The Company's intent is to continue analyzing its system for the purpose of determining those portions of the system in need of further upgrade, repair and maintenance, which could be incorporated in cost recovery attributable to an SSIR.

E. Penalty Levels for Failure to Meet Metrics

Attachment A
Decision No. R20-0057
Proceeding No. 19A-0352G
Page 6 of 11

Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Proceeding No. 19A-0352G

In its Direct Testimony, the Company proposed a number of metrics for use in determining

the Company's compliance with the proposed QSP. In negotiations with the other Settling Parties,

the Company agreed to reduce the number of metrics contained within the QSP to those advanced

by the Staff in its Answer Testimony. In addition, the Company had proposed a \$3,500 penalty

for its failure to meet any of the specific service metrics set forth in its Direct and Supplemental

Direct Testimonies. The Settling Parties have agreed that the Staff's proposed penalty of \$9,500

per category for safety, reliability and adequate service metrics is an appropriate penalty to be

applied in the event that CNG fails to meet its service metric goals within a particular calendar

year.

The three performance areas to which the Settling Parties agree the penalties will apply to

are: (1) System Safety; (2) System Reliability; and (3) Adequate Service. The proposed metrics

are therefore captured by the System Safety metric with the damage prevention hits per 1,000

locates measurement. The System Reliability metric is captured by the average time to repair a

Grade 2 leak. The Adequate Service metric is covered by the Company's agreement to survey its

COYLs.

IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. This Settlement Agreement is made for settlement purposes only. Nothing in this

Settlement Agreement is intended to have precedential effect or bind the Settling Parties with

respect to positions they may take in any future QSP Proceeding, rate review or any other

proceeding regarding any of the issues addressed in this Settlement Agreement. No Settling Party

concedes the validity or correctness of any regulatory principle or methodology directly or

indirectly incorporated in this Settlement Agreement. Furthermore, this Settlement Agreement

6

Attachment A
Decision No. R20-0057
Proceeding No. 19A-0352G
Page 7 of 11

Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Proceeding No. 19A-0352G

does not constitute agreement, by any Settling Party, that any principle or methodology contained

within or used to reach this Settlement Agreement may be applied to any situation other than the

above-captioned proceeding, except as expressly set forth herein.

2. Each Settling Party understands and agrees that this Settlement Agreement

represents a negotiated resolution of all issues the Settling Party either raised or could have raised

in this proceeding. The Settling Parties agree that the provisions of this Stipulation and Settlement

Agreement, as well as the negotiation process undertaken to reach this Settlement Agreement, are

just, reasonable, and consistent with and not contrary to the public interest, and should be approved

and authorized by the Commission.

3. The discussions among the Settling Parties that produced this Settlement

Agreement have been conducted in accordance with Rule 408 of the Colorado Rules of Evidence

("CRE").

4. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall constitute a waiver by any Settling

Party with respect to any matter not specifically addressed in this Settlement Agreement. In the

event this Settlement Agreement becomes null and void or in the event the Commission does not

approve this Settlement Agreement, it, as well as the negotiations or discussions undertaken in

conjunction with the Settlement Agreement, shall remain inadmissible into evidence in these or

any other proceedings in accordance with Rule 408 of the CRE.

5. The Settling Parties will support all aspects of the Settlement Agreement embodied

in this document in any hearing conducted to determine whether the Commission should approve

this Settlement Agreement, and/or in any other hearing, proceeding, or judicial review relating to

this Settlement Agreement or the implementation or enforcement of its terms and conditions. Each

7

Attachment A
Decision No. R20-0057
Proceeding No. 19A-0352G
Page 8 of 11

Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Proceeding No. 19A-0352G

Settling Party also agrees that, except as expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement, it will

take no action in any administrative or judicial proceeding, or otherwise, which would have the

effect, directly or indirectly, of contravening the provisions or purposes of this Settlement

Agreement. However, each Settling Party expressly reserves the right to advocate positions

different from those stated in this Settlement Agreement in any proceeding other than one

necessary to obtain approval of, or to implement or enforce, this Settlement Agreement or its terms

and conditions.

6. The Settling Parties agree that approval by the Commission of this Settlement

Agreement shall constitute a determination that this resolution of the matters in this proceeding

represent a just, equitable and reasonable resolution of issues that were or could have been

contested among the parties in this proceeding. The Settling Parties state that reaching agreement

as set forth herein by means of a negotiated settlement rather than through a formal adversarial

process is in the public interest and that the results of the compromises and settlements reflected

in this Settlement Agreement are in the public interest.

7. The Settling Parties do not believe any waiver or variance of Commission Rules

and Regulations is required to effectuate this Settlement Agreement but agree jointly to apply to

the Commission for a waiver of compliance with any requirements of the Commission's Rules and

Regulations if necessary to permit all provisions of this Settlement Agreement to be approved,

carried out, and effectuated.

8. This Settlement Agreement is an integrated agreement that may not be altered by

the unilateral determination of any Settling Party. There are no terms, representations or

agreements among the parties which are not set forth in this Stipulation and Settlement Agreement.

8

Attachment A
Decision No. R20-0057
Proceeding No. 19A-0352G
Page 9 of 11

Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Proceeding No. 19A-0352G

9. This Settlement Agreement shall not become effective until the Commission issues

a final decision addressing the Settlement Agreement. In the event the Commission modifies this

Settlement Agreement in a manner unacceptable to any Settling Party, that Settling Party may

withdraw from the Settlement Agreement and shall so notify the Commission and the other

Settling Parties in writing within ten (10) days of the date of the Commission order. In the event

a Settling Party exercises its right to withdraw from the Settlement Agreement, this Settlement

Agreement shall be null and void and of no effect in this or any other proceeding.

10. There shall be no legal presumption that any specific Settling Party was the drafter

of this Settlement Agreement.

11. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which when

taken together shall constitute the entire Settlement Agreement with respect to the issues addressed

by this Settlement Agreement. This Settlement Agreement may be executed and delivered

electronically and the Settling Parties agree that such electronic execution and delivery, whether

executed in counterparts or collectively, shall have the same force and effect as delivery of an

original document with original signatures, and that each Settling Party may use such facsimile

signatures as evidence of the execution and delivery of this Settlement Agreement by the Settling

Parties to the same extent that an original signature could be used.

DATED this 6th day of January, 2020

9

Respectfully submitted,

FAIRFIELD AND WOODS, P.C.

/s/ Mark A. Davidson

Mark A. Davidson
Fairfield and Woods, P.C.
1801 California Street, Suite 2600
Denver, CO 80202

Phone: (303) 830-2400

E-mail: mdavidson@fwlaw.com

Attorneys for Colorado Natural Gas, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIP J. WEISER Attorney General

BY: s/ Gregory E. Bunker Gregory E. Bunker, No. 24111 Senior Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1300 Broadway, 7th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 (720) 508-6212 gregory.bunker@coag.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR THE COLORADO OFFICE OF CONSUMER COUNSEL

PHILIP J. WEISER Attorney General

<u>__/s/Lauren E.S. Caliendo</u>

Anne K. Botterud, 20726* First Assistant Attorney General Lauren E. S. Caliendo, 50144* Assistant Attorney General Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Proceeding No. 19A-0352G

Revenue and Utilities Section Attorneys for Trial Staff of the Public Utilities Commission

Ralph L. Carr Colorado Judicial Center 1300 Broadway, 8th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203

Telephone: (720) 508-6334 (Botterud) Telephone: (720) 508-6753 (Caliendo)

Fax: (720) 508-6038

Email: anne.botterud@coag.gov
Email: lauren.caliendo@coag.gov

*Counsel of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 6th day January, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the **STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** in Proceeding No. 19A-0352G via the Public Utilities Commission E-filing system and will be mailed to those parties not receiving service through the Public Utilities Commission E-filing system.

/s/Celeste Jourdonais
Celeste Jourdonais