

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

PROCEEDING NO. 23C-0567-INS

IN THE MATTER OF COMMISSION ACTION AGAINST THE CERTIFICATE(S) AND PERMIT(S) OF MOTOR CARRIERS CONCERNING FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY PURSUANT TO § 40-10.1-112, C.R.S., AND RULE 4 CCR 723-6-6008 OF THE RULES REGULATING TRANSPORTATION BY MOTOR VEHICLES.

**RECOMMENDED DECISION OF
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
ALENKA HAN
REVOKING AUTHORITIES AND PERMITS**

Mailed Date: December 27, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. STATEMENT AND SUMMARY	2
II. BACKGROUND, FINDINGS, LAW, ANALYSIS, AND CONCLUSIONS	2
A. Background.....	2
B. Factual Findings	4
C. Applicable Law.	7
1. Financial Responsibility Requirements and the Commission’s Authority to Revoke Permits and Authorities.....	7
2. Notice and Service Requirements	9
3. Burden of Proof	10
D. Findings, Analysis, and Conclusions.....	10
III. ORDER.....	15
A. The Commission Orders That:	15

I. STATEMENT AND SUMMARY

1. Except as noted, this Recommended Decision grants the relief sought in the Public Utilities Commission Staff's (Commission Staff or Staff) Complaints against the motor-carrier Respondents listed in Appendix A to this Recommended Decision, revoking Respondents' authorities and permits based on their failure to keep currently effective proof of financial responsibility on file with the Public Utilities Commission (Commission or PUC). This Recommended Decision provides avenues for Respondents listed in Appendix A to avoid revocation by taking action before this Recommended Decision becomes effective.

II. BACKGROUND, FINDINGS, LAW, ANALYSIS, AND CONCLUSIONS

A. Background

2. Commission Staff instituted the cases in this proceeding by "Order of Summary Suspension and Complaint and Notice of Hearing" (Complaints) against the motor carrier-Respondents¹ in this proceeding on November 17, 2023.²

3. The Complaints against each of the Respondents allege that the Commission received notice from the Respondents' insurance or surety carriers that the Respondents' insurance or surety coverage will be cancelled as specifically identified in each Complaint.³ The Complaints further notify Respondents that their authorities or permits have been, or will be, summarily suspended on the date specified in each Complaint and inform Respondents that a hearing will be held by videoconference on December 13, 2023, at 12:00 p.m. to determine

¹ This proceeding involves numerous Respondents against whom the Commission initiated Complaints by sending them each an "Order of Summary Suspension and Complaint and Notice of Hearing." Hearing Exhibit 2. Each of those Complaints, which is assigned a unique "Case No.," specifies the grounds unique to each Respondent. And each of those case numbers are part of this single proceeding.

² Hearing Exhibit 2.

³ *Id.*

whether their authorities or permits should be permanently revoked for failing to maintain proper evidence of insurance or surety coverage with the Commission.⁴

4. On December 12, 2023, Staff made a filing stating that Hearing Exhibits 1 through 5 were served on Respondents by e-mail that same day; at the same time, Staff filed those exhibits.⁵

5. The Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) held the hearing as noticed in the Complaints, on December 13, 2023, at approximately 12:00 p.m. Staff appeared with counsel, Jennifer Hayden. Representatives for three Respondents initially appeared at the hearing:

- a) Crystal Lopez appeared unrepresented on behalf of Respondent Tow2Go Enterprise LLC (Tow2Go), PUC No. T-05288, but left the hearing before commencement of the evidentiary portion after explaining that she had been wrongfully identified as the designated agent of Tow2Go, and consequently had no knowledge of the entity or its business practices.
- b) Angel Arias appeared unrepresented on behalf of Dolly Daddies Moving Company LLC (Dolly Daddies), PUC No. HHG-00722, but also left the hearing before commencement of the evidentiary portion after learning that the Commission was no longer seeking to revoke the authority and permit issued to Dolly Daddies because the Commission had received the requisite proof of financial responsibility.
- c) Last, Ahmet Akyol appeared unrepresented on behalf of Ahmet Akyol LLC (Akyol), PUC No. LL-03694.

6. During the hearing, Ms. Marquita Riley testified and Hearing Exhibits 1 through 5 were admitted into evidence without objection. Mr. Akyol did not offer any exhibits on behalf of his organization.

⁴ Hearing Exhibits 2-3.

⁵ See Notice Concerning the Service of Exhibits 1 through 5 for the December 13, 2023 Show Cause Hearing on Respondents (Notice), filed on December 12, 2023.

B. Factual Findings

7. Ms. Riley is responsible for reviewing Commission records and coordinating with other Commission Staff to commence proceedings against motor carriers to suspend and revoke their permits and authorities when they do not have currently effective proof of insurance or surety coverage on file with the Commission. Ms. Riley assisted with initiating this proceeding against Respondents because the Commission received notice from each of the Respondents' insurance or surety carriers of the imminent cancellation of their insurance or surety coverage.⁶

8. Ms. Riley explained that the Commission served the Complaints and Attachment A to the Complaints upon the Respondents by United States mail on November 17, 2023, at the addresses, and upon the persons identified as designated agents for the Respondents, as provided in the Commission's files.⁷

9. Respondents provided the Commission the addresses and identities of their designated agents that were used to serve the Complaints in this proceeding. The Certificate of Service for the Complaints demonstrates that the Commission served the Respondents by mailing the Complaints addressed as indicated in the "Hearing Cycle Listing."⁸ The referenced Hearing Cycle Listing is Hearing Exhibit 1.⁹ Hearing Exhibit 1 includes those carriers listed in Hearing Exhibit 5, their designated agents and addresses on file with the Commission as of November 17, 2023, and whose insurance or surety faced imminent termination as of that same date.¹⁰

⁶ Hearing Exhibits 1-3.

⁷ Hearing Exhibits 1-4.

⁸ Hearing Exhibit 4.

⁹ See Hearing Exhibits 1 and 4.

¹⁰ See also Hearing Exhibit 2.

10. On December 12, 2023, Ms. Riley searched Commission records to determine whether any Respondents took other action rendering it unnecessary to revoke their permits, such as coming into compliance with their financial responsibility obligations, cancelling their permits, or initiating a Commission proceeding which may impact this one (*e.g.*, application seeking to suspend a permit). She identified Respondents who came into compliance with their financial responsibility obligations or took other action rendering it unnecessary to revoke their permits after the Complaints were mailed. She created an updated list of Respondents who remained out of compliance with the Commission's financial responsibility requirements as of December 12, 2023. That list is Hearing Exhibit 5.¹¹

11. Ms. Riley testified that on December 12, 2023, Hearing Exhibits 1 through 5 were served on Respondents who remained out of compliance as of that date at their e-mail addresses on file with the Commission.¹² She also explained that the Respondents provided those e-mail addresses to the Commission.

12. On the day of the hearing, December 13, 2023, Ms. Riley again reviewed Commission records to determine if any Respondents listed in Hearing Exhibit 5 took action to eliminate the need to revoke their permits. She discovered that three Respondents had come into compliance, and that proof of their respective financial responsibility had been filed with the Commission. Those three entities are: (1) CHAPA'S TOWING LLC, (CHAPA'S TOWING) (PUC Nos. T-05431, Case No. 13988-INS); (2) Dolly Daddies (PUC No. HHG-00722, Case No. 13962-INS); and (3) Help on the Way Towing (Help on the Way) (PUC No. T-05294,

¹¹ In contrast, Hearing Exhibit 1 is the list of carriers who were non-compliant when the Commission issued the Complaints on November 17, 2023. As the difference in the number of carriers listed in Hearing Exhibits 1 and 5 makes evident, many carriers came into compliance since the Commission issued the Complaints. All the carriers listed in Hearing Exhibit 5 are listed in Hearing Exhibit 1.

¹² See Notice.

Case No. 13977-INS). Based on this, Ms. Riley requested that the Complaints against CHAPA'S TOWING, Dolly Daddies, and Help on the Way be dismissed.

13. Prior to the hearing, Ms. Riley also researched Commission records to determine whether any Respondent has a pending application or proceeding before the Commission that may affect the instant Proceeding (e.g., an application seeking to transfer, to suspend, or to abandon a certificate or permit). Ms. Riley did not discover any Respondents who have a pending application or proceeding before the Commission.

14. Ms. Riley asked that the permits and authorities of the other Respondents listed in Hearing Exhibit 5 be revoked for failing to meet their financial responsibility obligations.

15. Consistent with Rule 1201(b)(II), 4 *Code of Colorado Regulations* (CCR) 723-1, of the Commission's Rules of Practice, Akyol is a closely-held entity solely owned by Mr. Akyol. Therefore, the ALJ determined that Mr. Akyol (a non-attorney) may represent his business in this proceeding and allowed Mr. Akyol to do so.

16. Akyol owns PUC No. LL-03694. The Complaint against Akyol alleges that it failed to ensure that proof of active liability insurance (Commission Form E) is on file with the Commission in the form and manner required.

17. Mr. Akyol chose not to testify. Instead, he cross-examined Ms. Riley and questioned her about the procedure to submit a request to suspend or cancel his permit. Ms. Riley responded that a Commission form to suspend and/or cancel a license or permit is available and promised that she would email the form to Mr. Akyol. Because he did not testify, Mr. Akyol offered no evidence disputing the Complaint's assertion that no valid insurance coverage confirmation for Akyol is currently on file with the Commission.

18. Based on this testimony and the evidence, the undersigned ALJ finds that, as of the date of the hearing, Akyol has not established that Form E has been filed on its behalf with the Commission.

C. Applicable Law.

1. Financial Responsibility Requirements and the Commission's Authority to Revoke Permits and Authorities.

19. Generally, motor carriers holding a Commission permit, authority, or certificate must maintain and file evidence of financial responsibility with the Commission in such sum, for such protection, and in such form as the Commission deems necessary to adequately safeguard the public interest.¹³ Motor carriers must ensure their insurance or surety coverage is kept continuously effective during the life of a certificate or permit to operate.¹⁴ Commission Rule 6008, 4 CCR 723-6 of the Rules Regulating Transportation by Motor Vehicle, identifies the amount, type of protection, and form for the insurance or surety coverage that motor carriers must maintain at all times in order to safeguard the public interest.

20. Specifically, motor carriers must obtain and keep motor vehicle liability insurance or surety bond coverage in force at all times.¹⁵ In addition to motor vehicle liability coverage, towing carriers and household goods movers must maintain and keep cargo liability insurance or surety bond coverage in force at all times.¹⁶ Towing carriers must obtain and keep workers' compensation insurance in force at all times; and towing carriers providing storage must obtain and keep garage keeper's liability insurance in force at all times.¹⁷ And, in addition to motor

¹³ § 40-10.1-107(1), C.R.S.; Rule 6008 of the Rules Regulating Transportation by Motor Vehicle, 4 CCR 723-6.

¹⁴ § 40-10.1-107(3), C.R.S.

¹⁵ Rule 6008(a)(I), 4 CCR 723-6.

¹⁶ Rule 6008(a)(I) and (III), 4 CCR 723-6.

¹⁷ Rule 6008(a)(IV) and (V), 4 CCR 723-6.

vehicle liability and cargo liability coverage, household goods movers must obtain and keep general liability insurance or surety coverage in force at all times.¹⁸

21. Motor carriers are responsible for maintaining and filing evidence of the required financial responsibility coverage with the Commission.¹⁹ They must ensure their insurance or surety coverage is kept continuously effective during the life of a certificate or permit to operate.²⁰ Insurers and sureties must notify the policy or bond holder *and* the Commission when terminating a policy or bond at least 30 days before the effective date of termination; failing that, termination is not valid.²¹ As a result, the Commission regularly receives notice from insurance or surety carriers about imminent policy or bond terminations for motor carriers licensed by the Commission.²²

22. Notice of cancellation from a motor carrier's insurance or surety carrier is evidence that the motor carrier no longer has proof of financial responsibility on file with the Commission.²³ Failure to have proof of current and effective insurance or surety coverage on file with the Commission creates a rebuttable presumption that the carrier is in violation of the financial responsibility requirements.²⁴

23. Section 40-10.1-112(1)(a) and (c), C.R.S., provides that a Commission-issued authority or permit may be suspended, revoked, altered, or amended if it is established to the satisfaction of the Commission at a properly-noticed hearing that the holder of that authority or permit has violated Article 10.1, Title 40 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, or any applicable

¹⁸ Rule 6008(a)(VI), 4 CCR 723-6.

¹⁹ § 40-10.1-107(1), C.R.S., and Rule 6008(a), 4 CCR 723-6.

²⁰ § 40-10.1-107(3), C.R.S.

²¹ § 40-10.1-107(4), C.R.S.

²² *Id.*

²³ Rule 6008(e), 4 CCR 723-6.

²⁴ *Id.*

Commission rule. Rules 6009 and 6011, 4 CCR 723-6, also provide the Commission authority to revoke a permit or authority in the circumstances here.

2. Notice and Service Requirements

24. The Commission must provide Respondents with notice of the Complaints against them, including sufficient facts to adequately advise Respondents of the relief sought and how they are alleged to have violated the law, as well as the time affixed for a hearing on the Complaints.²⁵ Such notice must be served upon the Respondents, which may be accomplished by mail.²⁶

25. Regulated motor carriers must provide the Commission “its designation of the name, mailing address, and physical address of a Person upon whom service may be made of any lawful notice, order, process, or demand.”²⁷ That person is the motor carrier’s designated agent upon whom the Commission may serve complaints and other notices.²⁸ And, regulated motor carriers are responsible for updating the Commission on changes to their designated agent, including the agent’s mailing and email addresses, within two days of the change.²⁹ Service on a motor carrier’s designated agent on file with the Commission is service upon the carrier and is “prima facie evidence” that the carrier received notice.³⁰ A certificate of service issued by the Commission’s Director is *prima facie* evidence that service has been obtained.³¹

²⁵ §§ 40-10.1-112(1) and 40-6-108, C.R.S.; Rule 1302(h), 4 CCR 723-1, of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure; *see also* § 24-4-105(2), C.R.S.

²⁶ § 40-6-108(3), C.R.S.; Rule 1205(a) and (d), 4 CCR 723-1; *see also* § 24-4-104(10), C.R.S.

²⁷ Rule 6006(a), 4 CCR 723-6.

²⁸ *Id.*; Rule 1205(a) and (d), 4 CCR 723-1.

²⁹ Rule 6006(b), 4 CCR 723-6.

³⁰ Rule 6006(c) and (d), 4 CCR 723-6.

³¹ § 40-6-108(3), C.R.S.

26. In addition, Commission Rule 1205(a), 4 CCR 723-1, requires that a person filing any pleading or other document with the Commission must serve all other parties; the same rule allows parties to serve pleadings and documents by e-mail.

3. Burden of Proof

27. Staff carries the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence to demonstrate that the allegations in the Complaints are true and that the Complaints were properly served on each of the Respondents.³² The preponderance standard requires the fact finder to determine whether the existence of a contested fact is more probable than its non-existence.³³ A party has met this burden of proof when the evidence, on the whole, tips in favor of that party.³⁴

D. Findings, Analysis, and Conclusions

28. The ALJ concludes that Staff demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that it properly served the Complaints and Attachment A thereto upon each of the Respondents listed in Hearing Exhibit 5 by mailing them to the designated agents and addresses on file with the Commission for each of the Respondents.³⁵

³² § 24-4-105(7), C.R.S.; Rule 1500, 4 CCR 723-1.

³³ *Swain v. Colorado Dep't of Revenue*, 717 P.2d 507, 508 (Colo. App. 1985).

³⁴ *Schocke v. State Dep't of Revenue*, 719 P.2d 361, 363 (Colo. App. 1986).

³⁵ Hearing Exhibits 1 through 5; § 40-6-108(3), C.R.S.; Rules 1205(a) and (d) and 1302(g)(II)(e), 4 CCR 723-1; and Rule 6006(a) and (c), 4 CCR 723-6.

29. The ALJ finds that the Complaints and Attachment A thereto comply with the relevant notice requirements because they: (a) inform Respondents that the Commission has received insurance or surety cancellation notices for each Respondent and the effective date of such cancellation; (b) advise Respondents that their authorities or permits are summarily suspended as of the coverage cancellation date; (c) notify Respondents that they may not conduct operations under their authorities or permits after the coverage cancellation and summary suspension date; (d) inform Respondents that the Commission has initiated a proceeding to permanently revoke their permits or authorities for failing to maintain and provide proof of effective insurance or surety coverage; (e) notify Respondents of the date, time, and means to attend the remote hearing on the Complaints at which Respondents have an opportunity to present data, views, and arguments; and (f) advise Respondents of the legal authority for the Complaints and relief sought.³⁶

30. In addition, the ALJ concludes that on December 12, 2023, Staff served Hearing Exhibits 1 to 5 on Respondents listed in Hearing Exhibit 5 at the e-mail addresses which Respondents provided. As such, Respondents had the opportunity to review those exhibits before and during the hearing.³⁷

31. The ALJ finds that Staff established by a preponderance of the evidence that the Commission received notice from the insurance or surety providers for the motor carriers identified in Hearing Exhibit 5 that their insurance or surety coverage was or will be cancelled or

³⁶ Hearing Exhibits 1, 2, and 4; Rule 6009(e), 4 CCR 723-6; *see* §§ 40-6-108 and 24-4-105(2), C.R.S.

³⁷ *See* Rule 1205(a), 4 CCR 723-1; *see* Notice and Exhibit A to Notice; Hearing Exhibit 5. Exhibits were displayed on the video-conference screen during the hearing and were available to download during the hearing.

terminated.³⁸ This creates the rebuttable presumption that the Respondent carriers listed in Hearing Exhibit 5 are in violation of their respective financial responsibility requirements.³⁹

32. The ALJ finds that the necessary insurance coverages for Akyol have not been reinstated as of the date of the hearing nor proof of full financial responsibility filed with the PUC. The ALJ therefore finds that Akyol is not in compliance with Commission Rule 6008, 4 CCR 723-6. The ALJ anticipates, though, that Akyol will be filing a petition to suspend and/or cancel its PUC permit before the revocation of its permit becomes effective pursuant to the procedures detailed below.

33. Except as noted above, the preponderance of the evidence established that the Respondents listed in Hearing Exhibit 5 are out of compliance with their respective financial responsibility requirements per § 40-10.1-107(3), C.R.S., and Rule 6008, 4 CCR 723-6, as of the time of the hearing. The ALJ finds that Staff established by a preponderance of the evidence that the Commission's records do not show a currently effective level of financial responsibility in such form and in such manner as required by § 40-10.1-107, C.R.S., and Rule 6008, 4 CCR 723-6, as noted in the Complaints against each Respondent listed in Hearing Exhibit 5. Finally, the ALJ concludes that Staff met its burden of proof to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the allegations in the Complaints against Respondents listed in Hearing Exhibit 5⁴⁰ are true.

34. The Commission's only means of performing its important duty to the public to ensure that persons who hold an active motor carrier authority meet their financial responsibility obligations is to require documentation of the carriers' current and effective insurance or surety

³⁸ Hearing Exhibit 2.

³⁹ Rule 6008(e), 4 CCR 723-6.

⁴⁰ Hearing Exhibit 5 is attached to this Recommended Decision as Appendix A.

furnished in a uniform format to the Commission. The holder of the authority is responsible for ensuring that documentation is provided to the Commission. § 40-10.1-107, C.R.S., and Rule 6008, 4 CCR 723-6. Except as noted, the Respondents listed in Hearing Exhibit 5 have failed to do so. This failure warrants revocation of their permits or authorities.

35. Respondents may take action before the effective date of this Decision to avoid revocation. First, carriers may avoid revocation by: (a) obtaining insurance or surety coverage as required by Rule 6008, 4 CCR 723-6; and (b) causing proof of that insurance to be filed with the Commission in the form and manner required by Rule 6008, 4 CCR 723-6. The Complaints against carriers who take this action before the effective date of this Decision will be dismissed, and their permits will not be revoked.

36. In addition, limited regulation carriers,⁴¹ luxury limousine carriers, household goods movers, towing carriers, and hazardous materials carriers who submit a form to cancel their permits or authorities before the effective date of this Decision may avoid revocation of their permits. The Complaints against carriers who take this action before the effective date of this Decision will be dismissed, and their permits will not be revoked. Permit cancellation forms are available on the Commission's website at:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B3u7jb_duOQ2QWlrMFlvUDJoNjQ/view?,authuser=0.

37. Fully regulated intrastate carriers, including common carriers operating a shuttle service, sightseeing service, charter service, taxicab service, and contract carriers who submit an application to suspend their authority under Rule 6205, 4 CCR 723-6, before the effective date of this Recommended Decision, may also avoid revocation of their permits. The Complaints

against carriers who take this action before the effective date of this Decision will be dismissed, and their permits will not be revoked. Applications to suspend a common carrier authority are available at:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B3u7jb_duOQ2dXZ0UTNlXzBvRIU/view.

And applications to suspend a contract carrier authority are available at:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B3u7jb_duOQ2U2JQS2dvek5HWm8/view.

38. Having a permit revoked, cancelled, or suspended does not always mean that a carrier's business is permanently terminated. Generally, Commission rules allow many types of motor carriers, including luxury limousine, household goods movers, and towing carriers, to obtain new permits without difficulty by filing an application.⁴² For the most part, such permits may be obtained by completing an application that can be submitted to the Commission online, providing related supporting information and proof of financial responsibility, and paying a fee.⁴³

39. Applications for luxury limousine, towing, or household goods mover permits are available at the following link: <https://doraapps.state.co.us/puc/TransportationApplications/>. Referenced carrier types who voluntarily cancel their permits or whose permits are revoked may reapply for a permit.

⁴¹ Limited regulation carriers are defined as carriers who provide transportation service by charter bus, children's activity bus, fire crew transport, luxury limousine, Medicaid client transport, or off-road scenic charter. Rule 6001(qq), 4 CCR 723-6.

⁴² While the ALJ does not warrant or otherwise guarantee this outcome, it is her understanding that carriers may request that a prior permit number be reinstated as part of the carrier's application for a permit.

⁴³ Rule 6302 (luxury limousine application and permit); Rule 6503 (towing carrier application and permit); and Rule 6603 (household goods mover carrier application and permit). Carriers concerned about their ability to comply with application requirements may request that the Commission waive an application requirement, per Rule 1003(a), 4 CCR 723-1. That rule allows parties to request a waiver of a Commission rule; in deciding whether to waive a rule, the Commission may consider hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of a rule on an individual basis. 4 CCR 723-1. Such requests are decided on an individual and case-by-case basis and are outside the scope of this proceeding. See Rule 1003(a), 4 CCR 723-1.

40. As provided below, this Recommended Decision will not become effective for 20 days after the date the Decision is mailed. This allows ample time for Respondents to take action to avoid a final Commission decision revoking their permits or authorities.

41. Pursuant to § 40-6-109, C.R.S., the ALJ transmits the record of this proceeding, this recommended decision containing findings of fact and conclusions thereon, and a recommended order to the Commission.

III. ORDER

A. The Commission Orders That:

1. Consistent with the above discussion, the Complaint against CHAPA'S TOWING LLC, (CHAPA'S TOWING) (PUC No. T-05431, Case No. 13988-INS) is dismissed.

2. Consistent with the above discussion, the Complaint against Dolly Daddies Moving Company LLC (Dolly Daddies) (PUC No. HHG-00722, Case No. 13962-INS) is also dismissed.

3. Consistent with the above discussion, the Complaint against Help on the Way Towing (Help on the Way) (PUC No. T-05294, Case No. 13977-INS) is likewise dismissed.

4. Except for Respondents CHAPA'S TOWING, Dolly Daddies, and Help on the Way, the authorities and permits listed in Appendix A, attached hereto, are revoked as of the effective date of this Recommended Decision.

5. Ordering Paragraph No. 4 will be void and the Complaint dismissed as to any Respondent who takes one of the following actions before the effective date of this Recommended Decision:

- a. files the required Certificate of Insurance or surety with the Commission;
 - b. files an Application to Suspend their permit or authority with the Commission, if allowed by Commission rules; or
 - c. submits a permit cancellation form to the Commission, if allowed by Commission rules.
6. Proceeding No. 23C-0567-INS is closed.
7. This Recommended Decision shall be effective on the day it becomes the Decision of the Commission, if that is the case, and is entered as of the date above.
8. As provided by § 40-6-109, C.R.S., copies of this Recommended Decision will be served upon the parties, who may file exceptions to it.
 - a) If no exceptions are filed within 20 days after service or within any extended period of time authorized, or unless the decision is stayed by the Commission upon its own motion, this Recommended Decision will become the decision of the Commission and subject to the provisions of § 40-6-114, C.R.S.
 - b) If a party seeks to amend, modify, annul, or reverse basic findings of fact in its exceptions, that party must request and pay for a transcript to be filed, or the parties may stipulate to portions of the transcript according to the procedure stated in § 40-6-113, C.R.S. If no transcript or stipulation is filed, the Commission is bound by the facts set out by the administrative law judge and the parties cannot challenge these facts. This will limit what the Commission can review if exceptions are filed.

9. If exceptions to this Recommended Decision are filed, they may not exceed 30 pages in length, unless the Commission finds good cause and permits this limit to be exceeded.

(S E A L)



THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

ALENKA HAN

Administrative Law Judge

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Rebecca E. White".

Rebecca E. White,
Director