BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

PROCEEDING NO. 23A-0585E

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TRI-STATE GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2023 ELECTRIC RESOURCE PLAN

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY AND ATTACHMENTS OF LISA K. TIFFIN ON BEHALF OF TRI-STATE GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION ASSOCIATION, INC.

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

A portion of this document has been filed under seal.

Pages 6, 8, 9

April 22, 2024

Table of Contents

	INTRODUCTION, QUALIFICATIONS, PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4
II.	TRI-STATE'S PREFERRED PLAN UPDATE	6
III.	NOTICE OF LOAD CHANGE	7
I\/	CONCLUSION	q

ATTACHMENTS

Revised LKT-1	Revised ERP Report
Revised LKT-1 (Redline)	Revised ERP Report (Redline)

1	l.	INTRODUCTION, QUALIFICATIONS, PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY AND
2		RECOMMENDATIONS
3	Q:	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
4	A:	My name is Lisa K. Tiffin. My business address is 1100 West 116th Avenue,
5		Westminster, CO 80234.
6	Q:	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
7	A:	I am employed by Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. ("Tri-
8		State") as Senior Vice President, Energy Management.
9	Q:	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS DOCKET?
10	A:	I am testifying on behalf of Tri-State.
11	Q:	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS
12		PROCEEDING?
13	A:	Yes. I submitted Direct Testimony on December 1, 2023, in support of Tri-State's
14		2023 Electric Resource Plan ("ERP") Phase I application in this proceeding. That
15		testimony includes my background and experience in the electric utility industry
16		and my Statement of Qualifications.
17	Q:	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY IN
18		THIS PROCEEDING?
19	A:	The purpose of my Supplemental Direct Testimony is to provide an update on the
20		status of Tri-State's Empowering Rural America ("New ERA") funding request and
21		its implications for the 2023 ERP Phase I. Subsequent to Tri-State filing its ERP
22		Phase I and supporting testimony on December 1, 2023, Tri-State agreed to a
23		modification of the procedural schedule in this proceeding given timing

1		considerations related to the status of Tri-State request for U.S. Department of
2	Agriculture ("USDA") New ERA funding. The revised schedule approved by	
3	Decision No. R24-0138-I on March 5, 2024 accommodated a Supplemental Direc	
4		Testimony filing deadline by Tri-State on April 22, 2024.
5		Additionally, my testimony addresses a future change to Tri-State load and
6		its implications for Phase II of the 2023 ERP associated with LaPlata Electric
7		Association's notice that it will withdraw from Tri-State.
8	Q:	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE OTHER WITNESSES WHO ARE PROVIDING
9		SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF TRI-STATE.
10	A:	Tri-State's witnesses that have also filed Supplemental Direct Testimony in this
11		proceeding are as follows:
12		o Ms. Susan Hunter, Vice President, Energy Resources. Ms. Hunter's
13		testimony addresses updates to certain Phase II documents necessary for
14		New ERA program alignment.
15		o Ms. Jessica Lien, Senior Manager, Financial Planning & Analysis. Ms.
16		Lien's testimony addresses financial updates for the IRA Scenario.
17		o Mr. Chris Pink, Senior Vice President Operations. Mr. Pink's testimony
18		provides an update on the siting study for the natural gas combined cycle
19		plant with carbon capture and storage ("NGCC" and "CCS").
20	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY ATTACHMENTS AS PART OF YOUR
21		SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY?
22	A.	Yes, as part of my Supplemental Direct Testimony, I am sponsoring the following
23		attachments:

1		Revised LK1-1: Revised ERP Report.
2		Tri-State is providing two versions of the report, for ease of review, one
3		identifies the redline changes and the other is an updated, clean version of the
4		revised ERP Report filed December 1, 2023.
5	II.	TRI-STATE'S PREFERRED PLAN UPDATE
6	Q:	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
7	A:	The purpose of this section of my Supplemental Direct Testimony is to provide an
8		update regarding the status of Tri-State's New ERA funding request and Tri-State's
9		preferred plan.
10	Q:	HAS TRI-STATE RECEIVED A NOTICE TO PROCEED TO SUBMIT AN
11		APPLICATION FOR NEW ERA FUNDING?
12	A:	Yes. On March 1, 2024, Tri-State received a notice to proceed in submitting ar
13		application for New ERA funding from USDA.
14	Q:	IS TRI-STATE MODIFYING ITS PREFERRED PLAN (IRA SCENARIO) FILED
15		DECEMBER 1, 2023 AS A RESULT OF THE NOTICE TO PROCEED?
16	A:	No. Tri-State is not modifying its preferred plan, and is not making any changes
17		to resource retirements or procurements during the Resource Acquisition Period.
18	Q:	HAVE ANY ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR THE PREFERRED PLAN (IRA
19		SCENARIO) CHANGED AS A RESULT OF THE NOTICE TO PROCEED?
20	A:	Yes.
21		
22		. Tri-State has therefore updated the
23		financial analysis results for the preferred plan, as described in the Supplementa

1		Direct Testimony of Jessica L. Lien. Tri-State has provided revised financial		
2		results for the preferred plan, the IRA Scenario, in Tables 8, 37, 38, and 111 in		
3		Revised LKT-1, the updated ERP Report.		
4	Q:	DID TRI-STATE MAKE ANY OTHER UPDATES TO THE ERP REPORT?		
5	A:	Yes. Tri-State made minor corrections to the loads and resources ("L&R"), in		
6		Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 1 and 2 of the ERP Report, to align with the updated		
7		L&R submitted in Proceeding No. 24M-0033E on April 1, 2024. Tri-State also		
8		corrected the inadvertent omission of four tables1 that identify each scenarios'		
9		Total Financial Under EWE Sensitivity results.		
10	III.	NOTICE OF LOAD CHANGE		
11	Q:	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?		
12	A:	The purpose of this section of my Direct Testimony is to provide an update		
13		regarding a forthcoming change to Tri-State's load as a result of a Member		
14		providing notice that it will withdraw from Tri-State.		
15	Q:	HAS THE COMMISSION REQUESTED THAT TRI-STATE PROVIDE NOTICE		
16		OF MATERIAL CHANGES TO THE LOAD FORECAST PRIOR TO PHASE II OF		
17		THE 2023 ERP?		
18	A:	Yes. On page 21, lines 2-12, the Direct Testimony of Lisa Lynn describes the		
19		Commission requirement stated in Decision No. C23-0437 and Tri-State's		
20		proposed approach.		
21	Q:	IS A NEW MATERIAL LOAD CHANGE ANTICIPATED PRIOR TO PHASE II OF		

7

¹ Table 19, Table 59, Table 80, and Table 100.

1		TRI-STATE 2023 ERP?
2	A:	Yes. On March 25, 2024, La Plata Electric Association, Inc. ("LPEA") located in
3		southwest Colorado, with office locations in Durango and Pagosa Springs,
4		provided notice to Tri-State that it will exit our system on April 1, 2026. LPEA
5		represented of Tri-State's system energy in 2023, with a Member peak load
6		of approximately
7	Q:	IS LPEA'S LOAD INCLUDED IN THE LOAD FORECAST TRI-STATE USED
8		FOR THE 2023 ERP PHASE I MODELING?
9	A:	In part.
0		, not all of LPEA's load was reflected in the load
11		forecasted used to project Tri-State resource needs in the expansion plan.
2	Q:	HOW ARE TRI-STATE'S ASSUMPTIONS CHANGING REGARDING LOAD TO
13		BE SERVED THROUGH PARTIAL REQUIREMENTS?
4	A:	In Tri-State's 2023 ERP Phase I modeling, Partial Requirements
15		load was assumed as not being served by Tri-State. On August 24, 2023, the
16		Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) accepted Tri-State's withdrawal
17		of the tariff associated with Partial Requirements,2 subsequent to Tri-State
8		beginning Phase I modeling for this proceeding. Tri-State is working with its
9		Members to evaluate potential replacement program options, subject to future
20		FERC approval.
21	Q:	DOES THESE LOAD CHANGES RESULT IN THE NEED TO MODIFY TRI-

² Docket No. ER23-2303-000.

1		STATE'S PREFERRED PLAN?
2	A:	No. Given the unprecedented opportunity represented by USDA New ERA funding
3		to advance Tri-State's clean energy transition, and emissions reductions
4		requirements leading to and including 2030, along with the consideration I noted
5		regarding , Tri-State does not intend to modify its
6		Phase I resource plan.
7	Q:	WILL THESE CHANGES IN LOAD BE REFLECTED IN 2023 ERP PHASE II
8		MODELING?
9	A:	Yes. Tri-State will update its load forecast, partial requirements assumptions, and
10		other modeling inputs based on best available information, in preparation for
11		Phase II portfolio modeling.
12	IV.	CONCLUSION
13	Q:	WHAT RECOMMENDATIONS ARE YOU MAKING IN YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL
14		DIRECT TESTIMONY?
15	A:	I continue to recommend that the Colorado Public Utilities Commission
16		("Commission") approve Tri-State's Phase I 2023 ERP, including the Inflation
17		Reduction Act Scenario ("IRA Scenario") as Tri-State's preferred plan and the
18		following items Tri-State has proposed for Phase II of the 2023 ERP:
19		Phase II timeline;
20		Phase II RFPs;
21		Bid evaluation criteria and bid policy;
22		Independent Evaluator ("IE") Statement of Work;
23		 Model power purchase agreements ("PPAs") and Term Sheets;

- Phase II Implementation Report components;
- 45-Day Report content; and
- RAP Action Plan.
- 4 Q: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 5 A: Yes.

INC. FOR APPI	APPLICATION OF TRI-STATE GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION ASSOCIATIO INC. FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2023 ELECTRIC RESOURCE PLAN			
		VERIFICATION		
STATE OF)) ss:)		
I, Lisa K.	Tiffin, being duly sw	orn, do hereby depose and state that I have read		
the foregoing Di	rect Testimony, and	the facts set forth therein are true and correct to		
best of my know	ledge, information, a	and belief.		
Subscribe	ed and sworn to befo	ore me this 18th day of April 2024, at Westminste		
Colorado.				
		TRI-STATE GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION ASSOCIATION, INC. By: Lisa K. Tiffin Senior Manager, Analytics & Forecastin		
\M/itnoss n	ny hand and official			

My Commission expires: 9/7/2026

NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF COLORADO
NOTARY ID 201840356 Appendix B
MY COMMISSI DECISIONE NO. 23A-0585E
Page 11 of 11

FAITH WARNER