IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION OF REGULATORY AND RATE INCENTIVES FOR GAS AND ELECTRIC UTILITIES

COLORADO ENERGY CONSUMERS' PRELIMINARY COMMENTS

The intervention group collectively referred to as the Colorado Energy Consumers ("CEC"), an unincorporated association comprised of electric consumers, by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully submits the following preliminary comments as solicited by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado's Decision No. C08-0903. CEC states as follows:

- 1. On April 29, 2008, the Commission issued Decision No. C08-0448 through which the Commission opened this investigatory docket concerning regulatory structures and incentives that influence electric and gas utility actions under existing regulatory structures in Colorado and concerning alternative incentives and alternate regulatory and rate structures that may alter or influence utility actions. *See* Commission Decision No. C08-0448 at ¶II, A. 1, p. 4.
- 2. In Decision No. C08-0903, the Commission invited interested persons to submit by September 22, 2008 comments on the "Revised Scoping Questions" set forth in Appendix A to the Order.
- 3. At this time, CEC's comments on these questions are going to be very limited. CEC's comments are limited for two reasons. First, CEC intends to only participate in this investigation with respect to electric issues. Second, and more importantly, CEC does not intend to propose specific changes to the current regulatory system. As such, CEC expects that the vast majority of its comments will be responsive to any proposals made by others through the course

of this investigation.

- 4. Historically, electric consumers have wanted two things reliable electric service and reasonable electric rates. Happily, for many decades now, electric utilities in Colorado have, with a few exceptions, successfully provided both. Further, utilities have provided reliable service at reasonable rates while operating under the traditional regulatory system known as rate of return regulation. More recently, many electric consumers have become increasingly concerned with ensuring that electric service does not unreasonably harm the environment. As a result, citizen initiatives and legislation have been enacted to promote and encourage ways to reduce the negative impacts on our environment from the generation and consumption of electricity.
- 5. Given the extensive and, in CEC's view, generally successful track-record of traditional regulatory systems in Colorado, CEC strongly supports their continued application. These traditional systems have functioned reasonably well over time during periods when demand was growing and utilities were in build-cycles, during times of stable activity, and during times when economic pressures caused electric usage to decline. Of course, the Commission has from time to time ordered modest deviations from traditional rate of return regulation and CEC understands the necessity of flexibility. However, the traditional form of regulation is traditional for a very good reason by and large and over time it works very, very well. Therefore, CEC would urge the Commission to maintain traditional regulatory mechanisms including rate of return regulation for electric utilities.
- 6. CEC also generally supports the principle that the legislature, not the Commission, should make the initial policy decisions about balancing environmental goals with the goals of reliable and reasonably priced utility service. Certainly, the Commission clearly has a critical role to play in implementing the policy directives of the legislature. However, the

Commission should, in CEC's view, look to the legislature to set the State's environmental

goals. Therefore, CEC would also urge the Commission not to adopt regulatory incentives that

unreasonably reward or encourage utilities to raise rates to meet environmental goals above and

beyond those set by the legislature.

7. The Commission's Order in the present docket notes that, given the investigatory

nature of this proceeding, the Commission "can be somewhat flexible" in its procedures, and

states that "we will allow parties to supplement their first round comments after" the September

22 deadline. See Commission Decision No. C08-0903 at ¶I, C. 14-15, pp. 5-6. Under the

circumstances, CEC wishes to take advantage of that flexibility and reserves here the right to

analyze fully the comments and proposals submitted by other parties before responding in full on

any proposed alternatives. Given CEC's position is largely to maintain the status quo it is

difficult to know what more to say until and unless other parties put their proposals on the table.

8. Not withstanding these limited initial comments, CEC looks forward to active

participation in this proceeding. That participation may include the submission of supplemental

written comments, participation in workshops, sponsorship of oral presentations, or conducting

ex parte discussions with the Commission. CEC very much appreciates the Commission's

attention to the critical issues it has brought forward in the present docket.

DATED:

September 22, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & HART LLP

By: /s The

/s Thorvald A. Nelson

Robert M. Pomeroy, Jr. #7640

Thorvald A. Nelson, #24715

Robyn A. Kashiwa, #39051

ATTORNEYS FOR COLORADO

ENERGY CONSUMERS

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 22nd day of September, 2008, an original and three (3) copies and a CD of the foregoing **COLORADO ENERGY CONSUMERS' PRELIMINARY COMMENTS** were served via electronic mail and hand delivery on:

Doug Dean, Director Colorado Public Utilities Commission 1560 Broadway, Suite 250 Denver, CO 80202 Doug.Dean@dora.state.co.us

and copies were sent via electronic mail or U.S. mail (where no email address is listed) to the following::

Leslie Glustrom 4492 Burr Place Boulder, CO 80303 lglustrom@gmail.com Judith M. Matlock
Sam G. Niebrugge
Davis Graham & Stubbs, LLP
1550 17th Street, Suite 500
Denver, CO 80202
Judith.matlock@dgslaw.com
Sam.niebrugge@dgslaw.com

Ronal W. Larson 21758 Mountsfield Drive Golden, CO 80401 rongretlarson@comcast.net Robert M. Pomeroy, Jr. Holland & Hart LLP 8390 East Crescent Parkway Suite 400 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 rpomeroy@hollandhart.com

Tom Konrad, Ph.D. 1556 Williams Street, No. 203 Denver, CO 80218 tom@tomkonrad.com tomkonrad@gmail.com Thorvald A. Nelson Holland & Hart LLP 8390 East Crescent Parkway Suite 400 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 tnelson@hollandhart.com

Steven H. Denman Abel Band, Chartered 240 South Pineapple Avenue Sarasota, FL 34236 sdenman@abelband.com Robyn A. Kashiwa Holland & Hart LLP 8390 East Crescent Parkway Suite 400 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 rakashiwa@hollandhart.com Karen P. Wilkes Vice President, Regulatory & Public Affairs 1301 Pennsylvania Street, Suite 800 Denver, CO 80203 Karen.wilkes@atmosenergy.com Christopher M. Irby, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1525 Sherman Street, 7th Floor Denver, CO 80203 Chris.irby@state.co.us

Nicholas G. Muller CIEA Executive Director 475 17th Street, Suite 940 Denver, CO 80202 ngmuller@aol.com

Stephen W. Southwick, Esq. First Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1525 Sherman Street, 7th Floor Denver, CO 80203 Stephen.southwick@state.co.us

Dr. Robert A. (Andy) Bardwell 4801 W. Yale Avenue Denver, CO 80219 andy@bardwellconsulting.com

Dale Hutchins @state.co.us

Nancy LaPlaca 4801 W. Yale Avenue Denver, CO 80219 nancylaplaca@yahoo.com Chere Mitchell Chere.mitchell@state.co.us

Craig Cox Executive Director P.O. Box 272 Conifer, CO 80433 cox@interwest.org Paula M. Connelly Managing Attorney Xcel Energy Services, Inc. 1225 17th Street, Suite 900 Denver, CO 80202-5533 Paula.connelly@xcelenergy.com

Ron Lehr, Esq. 4950 Sanford Circle West Englewood, CO 80110-5127 rllehr@msn.com

James Greenwood, Director Office of Consumer Counsel 1560 Broadway, Suite 200 Denver, CO 80202 James.greenwood@dora.state.co.us Skip Arnold, Director 225 East 16th Avenue Suite 200 Denver, CO 80203 sarnold@energyoutreach.org

s/ Kathleen O'Riley

3926995_1.DOC